Effects of Lower Tire Pressure On

Frost Weakened Roads

by

Brian Robert Sweet

A study submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

Master of Science in Civil Engineering

University of Washington

1994





TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
List of Tables	i
Chapter 1: Introduction	1
Chapter 2: Literature Review	3
2.1 Introduction	3 3 3 3
2.2 Pavement Failure Properties	3
2.2.1. Pavement Failure Modes	3
	3
2.2.1.1 Fatigue Failure	4
2.2.1.2 Rutting Failure	
2.2.2 Causes of Weakened Pavements	4
2.3 Mechanics of Asphalt Concrete Pavement Response	5
2.3.1 Introduction	5
2.3.2 Tire Contact Pressure	6
2.3.3 Tire Pressure, Axle Loads and Axle Configuration	6
2.3.3.1 Tire Pressure	6
2.3.3.1(a) Pavement Thickness	6
2.3.3.1(b) Stiffness of Base and Subgrade	7
2.3.3.2 Axle Loads	7
2.3.3.3 Axle Configuration	8
2.3.3.4 Summary	8
2.3.4 Federal Highway Administration Study	8
2.3.5 Tire Type	10
2.4 Results of Central Tire Inflation Studies	11
2.4.1 Introduction	11
2.4.2 Nevada Automotive Test Center Study	12
2.4.3 Boise National Forest Field Operational Tests	15
2.4.4 U.S. Army Waterways Experiment Station Study	16
CHAPTER 3: Development of Data and Methods of Analysis	20
3.1 Objective	20
3.2 Determination of Strains	20
3.2.1 ELSYM5	20
3.2.2 Systems Material Properties	21
3.2.3 Loading Conditions	22
3.2.4 Points of Evaluation	22
3.3 Calculation of Loads to Failure	23
Chapter 4: Results	24
4.1 Introduction	24 Accounted For
4.2 Aggregate Surfaced Roads	25 NIIS GRAAI
4.2.1 Effects of Reducing Tire Pressure	25 DITC TAB
4.2.2 Effects of Reducing Tire Load	26 Upenhounce I
4.2.3 Combined Effects of Reducing Tire Pressure and Load	28
•	performs
	Differio Actor bak
	Lrailability (#600
	Aveil end/or
	Plat Spacial

4.3 Asphalt Surfaced Roads	29
4.3.1 Rutting Failure	30
4.3.1.1. Effects of Reduced Tire Pressure on Rutting Failure	30
4.3.1.2. Effects of Reducing Tire Load on Rutting Failure	30
4.3.1.3 Combined Effects of Reducing Tire Pressure and Load	34
4.3.2. Fatigue Failure	36
4.3.2.1. Effects of Reduced Tire Pressure and Tire Load on a	36
Moderate Strength Asphalt Concrete Pavement	
4.3.2.2. Effects of Reducing Tire Pressure and Tire Load on a	39
Weak Asphalt Pavement	
4.4. Comparison of Field Studies and Computer Model	39
4.5 Conclusions and Recommendations	41
References	43
Appendix A: Strains Induced by a Single Axle Load	45
Appendix B: Loads to Rutting and Fatigue Failure for a Single Axle	65
Load	
Appendix C: Strains Induced by a Tandem Axle Load	93
Appendix D: Loads to Rutting and Fatigue Failure for a Tandem Axle	112
Load	
Appendix E: Effects of Lower Tire Pressure on Rutting Failure of	140
Aggregate Roads	
Appendix F: Effects of Lower Tire Load on Rutting Failure on	159
Aggregate Roads	
Appendix G: Effects of Lower Tire Pressure and Tire Load on Rutting	178
Failure of Aggregate Roads	
Appendix H: Effects of Lower Tire Pressure on Fatigue and Rutting	185
Failure of Asphalt Concrete Roads	
Appendix I: Effects of Lower Tire Load on Rutting Failure of Asphalt	213
Concrete Roads	
Appendix J: Effects of Lower Tire Pressure and Tire Load on Rutting	241
Failure of Asphalt Concrete Roads	
Appendix K: Effects of Lower Tire Load on Fatigue Failure of Asphalt	251
Concrete Roads [E(ac)= 1,000,000 psi]	
Appendix L: Effects of Lower Tire Pressure and Tire Load on Fatigue	261
Failure of Asphalt Concrete Roads [E(ac)= 1,000,000 psi]	
Appendix M: Effects of Lower Tire Load on Fatigue Failure of Asphalt	265
Concrete Roads [E(ac)= 1,000,000 psi]	
Appendix N: Effects of Lower Tire Pressure and Tire Load on Fatigue	284
Failure of Asphalt Concrete Roads [F(ac)= 1 000 000 psi	

List of Tables

i

		Page
Table 1.	Average Pavement Material Properties	9
Table 2.	Results of ALF Tests	10
Table 3.	Pavement Test Sections Nevada Automotive Test Center Study	12
Table 4.	Material Required to Repair Roads After of 2,600 Miles of Testing.	13
Table 5.	Cost/Mile During Nevada Test Center Study	14
Table 6.	Pavement Test Sections Used in Waterways Experiment Station Study	16
Table 7.	Summary of Number of Passes at Time of Failure	18
Table 8.	Layer Thicknesses and Moduli of Surface Layers Evaluated	21
Table 9.	Data Analysis Groups	24
Table 10.	Summary of Average Increase in Loads to Rutting Failure by Reducing Tire Pressure - Aggregate Surfaced Roads	26
Table 11.	Summary of Average Increase in Loads to Rutting Failure by Reducing Tire Load - Aggregate Surfaced Roads	27
Table 12.	Summary of Average Increase in Loads to Failure by Reducing Tire Pressure and Tire Load Individually and Combined - Aggregate Surfaced Roads	29
Table 13.	Summary of Average Increase in Loads to Rutting Failure by Reducing Tire Pressure - Asphalt Surfaced Roads	31
Table 14.	Summary of Average Increase in Loads to Rutting Failure by Reducing Tire Load Only - Asphalt Surfaced Roads	33
Table 15.	Summary of Average Increase in Loads to Rutting Failure by Reducing Tire Pressure and Load Individually and Combined - Asphalt Surfaced Roads	35
Table 16.	Summary of Average Increase in Loads to Fatigue Failure by Reducing Tire Pressure - Asphalt Surfaced Roads	37
Table 17.	Summary of Average Increase in Loads to Fatigue Failure by Reducing Tire Load - Asphalt Surface	38

Table 18. Summary of Average Increase in Loads to Fatigue Failure by Reducing Tire Pressure and Load Individually and Combined - Asphalt Surfaced Roads

39

CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION

Every year, thousands of miles of roads are closed or severely restricted to heavy traffic due to structural weakness during spring thaw. Spring thaw, as the period is known, varies in length depending on the severity of the winter. As thawing occurs, pavements become weak due to the high moisture content in the underlying base course and subgrade. In extreme cases, the base course and subgrade can become completely saturated and so weak that less than a hundred passes of an 18,000 pound axle will cause the pavement to fail. Most pavements with high traffic volumes in areas where roads are subject to freezing are designed to resist the effects of spring thaw. For example some agencies construct pavements where the depth of the pavement structure built of non-frost susceptible materials (such as a crushed stone base) is at least half the expected depth of freeze. This type of construction can be expensive when it is considered that the depth of freeze can be over five (5) feet. Other methods used to resist the weakening caused by spring thaw usually increase construction costs.

To reduce damage during spring thaw, some road departments place load restrictions on vehicles. These load restrictions are often as much as a 60 percent reduction of normal loads (1). Even with these load restrictions, large sums of money are still spent each year repairing damage caused during spring thaw.

Although the load restrictions do reduce pavement damage and save pavement maintenance and repair costs, these restrictions cause considerable economic impacts. Load restrictions are placed mostly on low volume roads which have not been designed nor constructed to resist the effects of spring thaw. These load restrictions can prevent transportation of goods by heavy vehicles, typically tractor-semi trailers. In many cases, companies may have to completely stop some or all of their operations during this period. This results in economic losses to employees, companies, state and local governments. Examples of industries that are affected include:

- Logging companies
- Dairy processors
- Heavy transportation companies operating in rural areas.

Essentially any type of business that relies on the use of heavy trucks in its operation can be adversely effected when load restrictions are placed on roads due to weakened pavement.

In this paper the results of a theoretical investigation of the effects of lower tire pressure on roads in a severely weakened condition, such as is found during spring thaw. With the recent technological development of Central Tire Inflation

(CTI) in the trucking industry, trucks may be able to operate on roads subject to load restrictions. CTI would allow trucks to operate at lower tire pressures on load restricted roads and then easily increase tire pressure from inside the truck cab when the truck transfers to a road not subject to load restrictions.

The second chapter reviews the results of previous studies about the effects of tire pressure, axle loads, and tire type on pavement structures. These studies found that tire pressure only had benefits on thin flexible pavements (less than four inches of asphalt concrete) or aggregate surfaced roads which are typical for low volume roads. These studies also found that axle loads played the largest role in reducing stress and strains in flexible pavements. Also in Chapter 2 is a review of the methods and results of three "AASHO type" closed loop road tests and a field test conducted by the Department of Agriculture, U.S. Forest Service on CTI applications.

In Chapter 3, the failure criterion used in this study are discussed. A computer software program designed to calculate strains in multi-layer systems called ELYSM5 was used to determine strains at the bottom of the asphalt layer and top of subgrade. The strains were used to calculate load repetitions to failure for fatigue and rutting using formulas developed by the Asphalt Institute. Strains and load repetitions to failure were determined for multiple pavement sections, subject to various loads, tire pressures, axle configurations and pavement strengths.

Chapter 4 is used to examine the effects of reduced tire load and tire pressure on the increases in the number of load repetitions to failure for rutting and fatigue. By comparing the overall effects on each pavement section, the amount of influence tire pressure and tire load reduction have in relation to pavement thickness will be seen. Also the general effects of tire pressure reduction and tire load reduction by themselves and together are evaluated. Finally recommendations on how the results of this study can be used are discussed.

CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1. Introduction

The number of references on the effects of tire pressure on pavement performance is limited. The study of the effects of tire pressure on pavement performance is a recent item of interest as very few articles were over 10 years old. The most common pavement material investigated quantitatively was asphalt concrete. How well asphalt concrete pavement performed was found to be a function of several parameters. These parameters were tire pressure, axle loads, axle configurations, tire types and pavement material properties. No information specifically addressing the effects of lower tire pressure on severely weak pavements was found.

The results of two "AASHO type" road tests and one field study conducted by the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service on the effects of Central Tire Inflation (CTI) provided excellent information about the effect of lower tire pressure on weaker road surfaces such as thin asphalt concrete, crushed aggregate and native soil. However none of the studies took measurements of actual strains.

2.2. Pavement Failure Properties

2.2.1. Pavement Failure Modes

To properly analyze the effects of lower tire pressures on weakened pavements, such as is found during spring thaw, an understanding of the failure mechanisms for asphalt concrete and aggregate roads is needed. Field testing has shown that asphalt concrete pavements fail in one of two different modes; fatigue, which shows as excessive alligator cracking; and rutting which shows as permanent vertical deformations along the wheel path (2). In one study by Marshek on asphalt concrete pavements, the tensile strain at the bottom of the asphalt concrete and the compressive strain at the top of the subgrade were studied because they were known to be critical to predicting fatigue and rutting failure respectively (3). All of the Forest Service studies used rutting as the primary failure criteria for aggregate surfaced roads, although, the phenomena known as "washboarding" was used also when it was severe enough to significantly restrict travel speeds.

2.2.1.1. Fatigue Failure

As mentioned earlier, fatigue failure is primarily a result of excess tensile strain at the bottom of the asphalt concrete. No matter whether laboratory testing or theoretical analysis was used, the idea of relating tensile strain at the bottom of the asphalt layer to the number of load repetitions to failure was adopted by

most researchers. Therefore, if an asphalt concrete pavement is to resist fatigue damage, the tensile strains in the pavement structure must be kept low (4).

The amount of fatigue cracking and hence pavement life was found to be a function of several factors. These factors included tire pressure, the stiffness of the asphalt concrete and base layer (4). The influence of these factors is discussed later in this Chapter.

2.2.1.2. Rutting Failure

Like tensile strain at the bottom of the asphalt concrete layer, there is a correlation between the vertical compressive strain and the number of load repetitions to failure for pavement rutting (2). Although it is nearly universally accepted that the tensile strain at the bottom of the asphalt concrete surface is directly linked to fatigue failure, researchers are not in total agreement at which point vertical compressive strains are more indicative of rutting failure. Most studies have found that the vertical compressive strain at the top of the subgrade to be the best indicator of rutting failure. Marshek found that 70 to 95 percent of the vertical compressive strain is found in the subgrade layer and therefore, the vertical compressive strain at the top of the subgrade is of most interest in predicting rutting failure. It was their conclusion that these vertical compressive strains, particularly those in the subgrade, are responsible for rutting failure (3).

Other field and research studies have concluded that the rutting in the crushed aggregate base course contributes significantly to overall rutting of flexible pavements. For example, the Probabilistic Distress Models for Asphalt Pavements (PDMAP) study concluded vertical compressive stress of the asphalt concrete/base layer interface was a significant variable in the development of surface rutting. The PDMAP study concluded that the most significant correlation's were obtained with vertical deflection at the surface of the pavement, followed by vertical compressive stress at the interface with asphalt concrete and base layer. However this study did not measure the vertical compressive strains at the top of the subgrade and therefore the study did not compare the correlation of vertical compressive strain at the top of the subgrade to the development of surface rutting. (13)

2.2.2. Causes of Weakened Pavements

All pavements eventually fail, no matter how they are constructed. The rate at which they fail is a function of several factors which include material properties, pavement structural thickness, the environment in which they are built and traffic loading. When designing a pavement section, the designer will usually consider several specific factors which include:

- Expected pavement life (20 years for most roads and up to 40 years for major highways).
- Expected number of Equivalent Single Axle Loads (ESAL) during the design life
- Material properties. The most important is the stiffness of each material layer.

After the pavement is constructed, it is anticipated that with proper maintenance the pavement will perform for the expected life span. Sometimes the pavement fails much earlier than expected. If the cause of the early failure can not be attributed to an unexpected increase in traffic loading or improper construction, then it is usually can be attributed to weakening of the pavement structure. This usually means a decrease in the strength or stiffness of one or all of the materials used to build the pavement.

One of the most common causes of weakening of a pavement section is excess moisture content in the base course or subgrade. As the moisture content of these materials exceeds its optimum, the material looses density and becomes weaker. In the case of paved roads, the most common reason for excess moisture content is the thawing of the pavement section in the spring. During this time water that was drawn to the upper layers of the pavement during freezing and the formation of ice lenses, can not drain through the frozen layers below it.

The severity of the weakening can be quite dramatic. One study done in eastern Washington used a falling weight deflectometer to measure insitu pavement resilient modulus. Base course values ranged from 80,000 to 22,000 psi in the summer and 30,000 to 13,000 during spring thaw. Subgrade resilient modulus values were from 20,000 to 8,500 psi during the summer and 15,000 to 5,000 during spring thaw (1). These low moduli are typical of those that occur during spring thaw and can result in either the placement of load restrictions by road agencies or the premature failure of the road structure if load restrictions are not placed.

2.3. Mechanics of Asphalt Concrete Pavement Response

2.3.1. Introduction:

How well an asphalt concrete pavement performs is directly related to how it responds to the factors that effect it. The essential factors are tire contact pressures, tire inflation pressures, axle loads, axle configuration, tire type, and pavement material properties. The two key elements included in each study reviewed were tire pressure and axle load. With the exception of axle load, all the essential factors sometimes did or did not influence asphalt pavement performance with regard to either fatigue or rutting failure depending on the

values of the other variables. The interaction of these factors is addressed in the following paragraphs.

2.3.2. Tire Contact Pressure:

Tire contact pressure is the actual pressure measured where the tire contacts the pavement surface. Three assumptions are usually made about tire contact pressure in most pavement response studies: it is uniform, it acts on the circular area, and is equal to the tire inflation pressure. This simplified theoretical analysis is believed to be of sufficient accuracy for design work. However, premature failure of some pavements designed using criteria developed from studies using these assumptions could be caused by an underestimation of the strains and stresses due to truck tire loading in those studies (5).

The correlation between inflation pressure and actual contact pressure is one area of tire performance that is not well understood. Due to the many different types of tires and their construction, a reliable model has not been developed to predict actual tire contact pressure. Analytical studies of truck tires show that the contact pressure can be two times the inflation pressure where the tire contacts the road surface. A study by Roberts found that for inflation pressures of 75 and 125 psi, resulted in peak contact pressures of about 150 and 220 psi respectively (5). The scope of the study was expanded when it was found that the basic assumption that tire/pavement contact pressure is equal to the tire inflation pressure was in error. Roberts did find that at a constant tire load, the tire contact pressure becomes more uniform at lower tire pressures(5). Marshek, in another study, used experimentally obtained tire contact pressure distributions as input to an computer analysis program (6).

2.3.3. Tire Pressure, Axle Loads and Axle Configuration

2.3.3.1. Tire Pressure

How much tire pressure effects pavement performance with regards to fatigue and rutting failure depends generally on two pavement properties: pavement thickness and stiffness of the base and subgrade layers.

2.3.3.1(a). Pavement thickness

In the studies reviewed, asphalt pavement thickness ranged from 1 to 10 inches. With regard to fatigue failure, when the asphalt concrete pavement thickness is in excess of 4 in. the effects of tire pressure on tensile strains were found to be relatively minor. Roberts found that for asphalt concrete pavement thickness 4 in. or greater, the effect of tire inflation pressure on tensile strain was less than 10 percent (5), while Sebaaly reached the same conclusion noting that "the effect of inflation pressure was as low as 1 percent for asphalt layers with

thicknesses of 4,6, and 8 in." Sebaaly also found that the effect of tire inflation pressure was greatest for asphalt concrete pavement 2 in. thick (4).

2.3.3.1(b). Stiffness of Base and Subgrade

The stiffness of the base course has been found to have an effect on the amount of influence tire inflation pressure had on strains. Roberts showed that increasing tire inflation pressure from 75 to 125 psi produced a range of 20 to 30 percent increase in the tensile strain for a 1-inch surface. This was supported by an analytical study's results which found an approximate 35 percent increase in tensile strain by increasing the inflation pressure from 75 to 110 psi. for the same thickness (3). The reason for the range of a 20 to 30 percent increase in tensile strains in the Roberts study was determined to be a function of the base course stiffness. The stiffest base course (elastic modulus equal to 60,000 psi) caused a 30 percent increase in tensile strain at the bottom of asphalt while the least stiff (elastic modulus equal to 20,000 psi) caused the lower increase of 20 percent. However, the range of base course stiffness used in the study (elastic modulus equal to 20,000 to 60,000 psi) were relatively stiff compared to values found during spring thaw (1),(4). No studies analyzing the effects of weak bases and tire inflation pressure on pavement strains were found.

The effect of tire pressure on rutting failure, which is a function of compressive strain is minimal in the cases studied. Marshek reported that increasing tire inflation pressure from 75 to 110 psi in asphalt pavements 2 to 4 inches thick, produced only a small increase in the compressive strains at the top of subgrade for the cases modeled. Therefore, they concluded that tire inflation pressure was an insignificant factor in causing subgrade rutting (6). However, this study only examined the effects of a single tire load, thereby omitting the effects of multiple tire loads.

2.3.3.2. Axle Loads

In the studies reviewed, axle load was found to be directly related to both fatigue and rutting failure. Marshek found that increasing axle loads directly resulted in increases in both horizontal tensile strain and horizontal shear strain in the asphalt surface course. In that study, Marshek concluded that of all the factors studied related to fatigue failure, axle load was the primary factor causing fatigue failure (3).

Axle load was found in several studies to be directly related to vertical compressive strain. Sebaaly observed that the effect of the axle load on the compressive strains in the subgrade was relatively uniform for all asphalt concrete surface thicknesses. Any increase in the axle load increased the maximum compressive strain by a proportional amount, regardless of asphalt concrete thickness. Sebaaly noted that a 20 percent increase in axle load

produced approximately a 20 percent increase in the critical subgrade compressive strain for the 2 to 10 in. thickness of asphalt concrete used in the study (3).

2.3.3.3. Axle Configuration

Axle configuration was found to play a minor role in pavement performance. Only a study by Sebaaly addressed this factor (2). The study found that tandem axles produce lower tensile strains but higher compressive stresses (vertical compressive strains not reported) than single axles under the same per-axle load. For example a load of 17,600 lbs. on a single axle (total load 17,600 lbs) produced a horizontal tensile strain of 145 micro strains at the bottom of the asphalt layer, while a load of 17,200 lbs/axle on a tandem axle (total load 34,400 lbs) produced only 133 micro strains. Compress stress for the same loading conditions were found to be 4.2 psi for the single axle and 6.9 for the tandem axle. The reason for lower tensile strains under a tandem axle than single axle is explained by the pavement tensile strain response. When the pavement structure is subject to a tandem-axle load, the axle on top of the point of interest produces horizontal tensile strains while the axle 50 in, away produces horizontal compressive strain. Therefore, a portion of the tensile strain is canceled by the compressive strain. In the case of the single-axle configuration. the point of interest is only subjected to tensile strain, and no canceling effect occurs. Therefore, if we compare tandem-axles with single-axles on the basis of similar per-axle load level, the passage of one tandem axle produces less fatigue than the passage of two single axles. Because tandem axles do not have any canceling effects under compression, they produce higher compressive strains than single axles on an equal per axle load (2).

2.3.3.4. Summary

In summary, tire pressure only played a significant role in pavement fatigue performance if the asphalt concrete thicknesses were less than four inches. Axle configuration's effect on tensile strain was minor, but was evident regardless of asphalt thickness. Changes in tire pressure were found to have little or no effect on pavement compressive strains regardless of the pavement thickness. The predominant factor found affecting pavement performance with regard to both fatigue and rutting failure was axle load.

2.3.4. Federal Highway Administration Study (7)

In 1987, the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) conducted a study at its Turner-Fairbank Highway Research Center in McLean, Virginia. Using the Accelerated Loading Facility test machine the FHWA investigated the effects of tire pressure on flexible pavements. The first part of the two part study

measured actual surface deflections and strains for different combinations of loads and tire pressure using inplace monitoring equipment. The second part evaluated the extent of rutting and fatigue cracking on two pavement test sections using the same load but different tire pressures after 100,000, 200,000, 300,000, 400,000 500,000 and 600,000 passes of a simulated load.

Both parts of the study used the same two pavement sections. Lane 1 consisted of a 2 in. asphalt concrete wearing course, a 3 in. asphalt concrete binder and 5 in. of crushed aggregate base over native subgrade. Lane 2 used a 2 in. asphalt wearing course, a 5 in. asphalt concrete binder and 12 in. of crushed aggregate base.

Part one of the study used insitu strain gauges to measure actual pavement surface strains and the strains at the bottom of the asphalt. Strains at the base-subgrade interface were not measured. Total loads of 9,400, 14,100 and 19,000 lb. were applied on dual tires at tire pressures of 76 psi, 108 psi, and 140 psi. Two different tire types, bias-ply and radial, were used in the study. Table 1 lists pavement material properties.

Table 1
Average Pavement Material Properties

Layer	Pavement Thickness Test 2-2	Composite * Moduli Test 2-2	Pavement Thickness Test 2-3	Composite * Moduli Test 2-3
Asphalt	A CONTRACT BASE INCOME AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE PA			
Concrete	6.8 inches	41,500 psi	7.3 inches	49,400 psi
Base	11.2 inches	12,000 psi	11.8 inches	15,400 psi
Subgrade	_	7,000 psi	-	8,400 psi

^{*}Composite Moduli is the effective stiffness found for the entire pavement structure taken as a whole, not the stiffness for that particular layer alone.

From the data in part one, it was concluded that the effects of tire pressure on the tensile strain was very small. The range of increased tensile strain measurements for a constant tire load and increased tire pressures was from 2 to 10 percent. Note that the asphalt thicknesses in the first part of the study were 5 and 7 inches, therefore the conclusions reached about the effects of tire pressure were consistent with the findings of other studies, in that tire pressure does not significantly effect tensile strains on asphalt concrete pavement over 4 in. thick.

The second conclusion reached from the first part of the study was that axle load played a significant role in the magnitude of the tensile strains. In this study, increasing the load from 9,400 lb. to 19,000 lb. resulted in an increase of 200 to 400 percent in the measured tensile strain at the bottom of the asphalt concrete.

The study did not reach any conclusion about the effect of tire type (bias-ply verses radial) due to differences in pavement temperatures at the times the tests were conducted. The temperature was from 6° to 10°F higher during the bias-ply tests than the radial tire tests. This temperature difference was found in the laboratory to result in a 100,000 psi decrease in the resilient modulus for the asphalt layer making any comparisons suspect.

The second part of the study simulated actual traffic using the Accelerated Loading Facility at the FHWA's Pavement Testing Facility (PTF). Each part of phase two of the test was conducted during different times of the year. Radial Tires were tested in part one from January to June, and bias ply tires were tested from July to December. This part of the study was designed to measure the effects of tire pressure on fatigue cracking and rutting. Table 2 was taken from Figures 8 and 9 of the study.

Table 2
Results of ALF Tests

	Rut Depth	Total		Rut Depth	Total
Number of	(in.) Test	Cracking	Number of	(in.) Test	Cracking
Passes	2-2	Test 2-2	Passes	2-3	Test 2-3
75,000	0.23	0	90,000	0.02	0
125,000	0.38	0	150,000	0.06	0
425,000	0.65	110	280,000	0.18	10
550,000	0.90	340	400,000	0.45	15

Note: Test 2-2 tire pressure = 140 psi Test 2-3 tire pressure = 100 psi

The results tend to indicate that lower tire pressure does increase pavement life for both fatigue and rutting. Unfortunately variables such as asphalt concrete and base thickness, and asphalt temperature during the testing of the two sections were varied enough that their influence on the results could not be ignored. It was recommended that in future tests, the loads be alternated every two weeks to factor out the environmental effects.

2.3.5. Tire Type

Although not included in analytical studies, the type of tire used does play a small role in pavement performance. One study by Sebaaly compared the effects of four different types of tires on pavement response (2). In the study, insitu measurements of horizontal tensile strains were taken when the pavement was subjected to identical axle loads of 17,600 lb. on single axle, 21,600 lb. on a single axle, 17,400 lb./axle on a tandem axle and 14,700 lb./axle on a tandem axle. The tensile strain was measured for each tire type which included dual

tires, 11R22.5 inflated to 105 psi and 120 psi, 245/75R22.5 dual tires at 120 psi, 385/65R22.5 single tire at 120 psi, and 425/65R22.5 single tire at 120 psi. Passes were made at a speed of 40 mph. Sebaaly converted the strain measurements made to Load Equivalency Factors (LEF). Comparing the changes in the LEF Sebaaly, concluded that:

- Tire type has a significant effect on the Load Equivalency Factor of an axle load and configuration.
- Single wide-base tires have LEFs 1.5 to 1.7 times higher than dual tires for any given pavement thickness for both fatigue and rutting.
- The effect of tire type on the LEF was uniform throughout the range of asphalt thicknesses used in the study.

2.4. Results of Central Tire Inflation Studies

2.4.1. Introduction:

The U.S. Army found that lowering tire pressures on low speed, unpaved roads had several potential benefits (8):

- Reduced road maintenance requirements
- Reduced road surfacing requirements.
- Reduced drive fatigue and injury.
- Reduced vehicle operation costs
- Increased vehicle mobility

Although preliminary studies convinced the Army to equip their 5-ton trucks (gross vehicle weight) with CTI systems for mobility purposes, no sufficient quantification of the other benefits had been accomplished.

The first four potential benefits identified by the U.S. Army were of particular interest to the Department of Agriculture, U.S. Forest Service. The Forest Service conducted a proof-of-concept study. Observations made during the proof-of-concept study done on aggregate surfaced roads indicated that high-pressure tires caused faster road surface deterioration than low pressure tires including washboarding. In fact, the low-pressure tires caused no perceptible road damage and made significant improvements in the condition of the road (9). Based on the results of the proof-of-concept study, the Forest Service had two closed loop course studies done, one at the Nevada Automotive Test Center and the other at the U.S. Army's Waterways Experiment Station. In conjunction with the studies, the Forest Service also conducted field tests in Boise, Idaho, Alabama and Oklahoma.

2.4.2. Nevada Automotive Test Center Study (10)

This study was requested by the Department of Agriculture, Forest Service to quantify the effect of lower tire pressure on tire and truck performance. The study also provided much information on roadway performance. The test was conducted over a closed loop track with several types of road surfaces. Track sections included paved highway, unpaved washboarded roads, logging roads with potholes and severe rock sections. Table 3 lists the various sections used.

Table 3
Pavement Test Sections
Nevada Automotive Test Center Study

Section	Description
1	Flat "S" curve, 90 foot radius (gravel)
2	Outslope "S" curve, 90 foot radius (gravel)
3	Potholes - 25 @ 4 foot spacing per lane
4	Curve 200 foot radius (gravel)
5	Rocks - 25 @ 4 foot spacing per lane
6	Round Aggregate, 4-inch radius
7	Washboard (gravel)
8	Double penetration chip seal (straight)
9	Double penetration chip seal (curved)
10	Type II asphalt concrete (curve, 200 foot radius)
11	Type II asphalt concrete (straight)
12	Severe rock course. 4-6 in. height, 2.25 square inch contact area

The track was driven over by two logging trucks which were able to simulate actual off road driving conditions. Each truck operated in its own lane and tire inflation pressure was determined by sidewall deflection rather than actual tire pressure. Low pressure tires had 20-22% sidewall deflection, while high pressure tires had 10-12% sidewall deflection (corresponding tire pressures were listed in the report). The truck with low tire pressure was driven 2,681 miles and the truck with high tire pressure was driven 2,676 miles.

From the proof-of-concept study, it appeared that the larger tire foot print achieved with lower tire pressure would result in reduced road construction, surfacing and maintenance costs. This hypothesis was confirmed by this study. After completion of over 2600 vehicle miles in each lane, the road maintenance material requirements were significantly lower in the low pressure lane as shown in Table 4 below. Material requirements were not broken out by test section.

Table 4

Material Required to Repair Roads After of 2,600 Miles of Testing.

High Pressure Tire Lanes	Low Pressure Tire Lanes
Approximately nine yards Class B, Type II aggregate	None
Approximately 2,200 square feet of double penetration chip seal	Approximately 75 square feet of double penetration chip seal
Approximately 1,800 square feet of 2" AC lift	Approximately 30 square feet of 2" AC lift
Approximately 28,000 gallons of water to restore grade and compaction in rutted areas	Approximately 8,000 gallons of water to restore grade and compaction in rutted areas

In addition to materials, significant differences in labor and equipment repair requirements were noted in the unpaved sections. The low tire pressure test lanes required six hours of grading and four hours of watering to return to their original conditions while, the high tire pressure lanes required 14 hours of loader and ripper time, 14 man-hours of grading and 14 man hours of watering to be returned to original condition.

The closed loop test had four paved sections: two chip sealed and two 2 in. asphalt concrete. After completion of the originally planned number of passes, neither lane required repair or maintenance in the paved sections, although the high pressure lane showed signs of rutting and fatigue cracking in sections 8 and 9. Sections 10 and 11 showed no signs of distress in either lane. During the original phase of the test, the moisture content of the base course was measure at between 4 and 6 percent. After completion of initial testing it was decided to evaluate the effect of higher moisture contents in the paved sections. By filling the ditches along the side of the test track, the moisture content the subgrade and base course of the chip sealed sections was raised between 7 and 8 percent. The effects in these sections (sections 8 and 9), became quickly apparent. Surface and base failure was evident after just 15 passes of a loaded truck in sections 8 and 9 of the high pressure tire lane. After 45 passes of a loaded truck, section 9 (curved section) failed in the low pressure lane. Section 8 (straight section) of the low pressure lane did not fail after 169 passes of a loaded truck when the additional testing was terminated.

The other paved sections (10 and 11) had the base moisture content elevated between 12 and 14% using the same methods as was used in sections 8 and 9. The high tire pressure lane developed linear cracking after just 35 passes of a

loaded truck and was considered to have failed after 55 passes. After 81 passes, testing was stopped in the high tire pressure lane. In the low tire pressure lanes, sections 10 and 11 remained undamaged until section 11 (straight section) reached failure after 121 passes. Section 10 (curved section) had not failed after 169 laps when the additional test was terminated.

One test section was constructed with washboarding already in place. In the closed loop test, the high pressure lane experienced a worsening of the washboarding, resulting in potholing and reduction in speed. No changes were noted in the low pressure lane. Because this section was constructed as a washboard course, maintenance was not required.

One potentially significant benefit found in addition to the effects on road surfaces and conditions, was the lower operating costs of the trucks operating at lower tire pressure. The trucks used in the study were identical except for miles driven. Both the high pressure and low pressure trucks were 1972 Kenworth, Model W925. The low pressure truck had 183,000 miles of use, the high pressure truck had 191,000 miles of use. Costs for fuel, tire wear, damaged parts and related wear were closely monitored. Cost of operation for the low tire pressure truck was \$1.11/mile while cost of operation for the high tire pressure truck was \$4.92/mile. Table 5 shows the break down of the operation costs.

Table 5.
Cost/Mile During Nevada Test Center Study

	Low Pressure Tire 2,681 Miles Driven 20-22% Tire Deflection	High Pressure Tire 2,676 M iles Driven 10-12% Tire Deflection
Fuel (1)	\$0.18	\$0.18
Tire Wear (2)	\$0.46	\$0.59
Damaged Parts (3)	\$0.16	\$2.28
Related Labor Cost (4)	\$0.31	\$1.87
Total Cost/Mile	\$1.11	\$4.92

- (1) Based on \$1.00/gal.
- (2) Based on \$400/tire mounted.
- (3) Based on cost of replacement parts.
- (4) Base on \$45.00/hour.

Based on these lower operating costs, the estimated cost of \$10,000 for a CTI system could be recovered by the lower operating cost in as little 2,700 miles of operation, and that does not include cost savings from reduced road maintenance.

The study concluded that lower tire pressures provided significantly longer pavement life than the higher tire pressures for the pavement sections tested, reduced road maintenance and repair requirements, and increased driver comfort.

2.4.3. Boise National Forest Field Operational Tests (8)

In conjunction with the testing being done at the Nevada Automotive Test Center and U.S. Army Waterways Experiment Station, the Forest Service also conducted a field test in the Boise National Forest. This test entailed the removal of 1.7 million board feet (MMBF) of timber over 5 miles of aggregate surfaced road and 6 miles of native surfaced road using different tire pressures. Tire pressures between 25 and 54 psi were used for hauling 1.0 MMBF and the remaining 0.7 MMBF was hauled using 100 psi tire pressure. The test was done between September and November 1986. Although the test was to determine the potential benefits of Central Tire Inflation (CTI), none of the trucks used were equipped with the system, therefore inflation pressures were adjusted manually. Driving axle tires were set for 41 psi when loaded and 25 psi when empty. Trailer and steering axle tires were kept at a constant pressures of 38 and 54 psi respectively. All tires were kept at a constant 100 psi. for the high pressure portion of the test.

The first phase of the test using lower tire pressures was conducted from September 12 - 26, 1986. During this phase, the lower tire pressure appeared to set the road up so hard and smooth that during the following high pressure runs, only areas of excessive subsurface water did the road surface break down. During the first low pressure phase the roads became saturated and so slick that the operation was temporarily shut down after a rain storm. At this point the Forest Service was preparing to repair the ruts in the road prior to starting the high pressure phase of the test. However, based upon the suggestion of the logging contractor and truck drivers, 2 days of haul were run using lowered tire pressure in lieu of grading the road surface. As the road dried out, the lower tire pressures smoothed the road surface and grader maintenance was not required.

In summary, the results of the test showed positive benefits to using lower tire pressure. Some of the particular benefits noted were:

- Road maintenance was reduced.
- •Rutting was reduced. Rutting developed only in wet spots and was only 3 to 4 inches deep compared to previous years when ruts up to 16 inches deep were reported.
- •Lower tire pressures helped repair damaged roads as the road surface dried out.
- •The haul season was extended by use of lowered tire pressures. In wet conditions, traction improved and road damage was decreased. Several

days of hauling were allowed that would not have been possible with high tire pressures.

•On steep grades, truck traction was improved by the use of lowered tire pressure.

Although this test was qualitative only and that additional field operational tests are necessary to evaluate the benefits of lowered tire pressures over a wider range of soil, climate, and road design conditions, the positive implications of operating at lower tire pressure are worth noting.

2.4.4. U.S. Army Waterways Experiment Station Study (11)

In addition to the Nevada Automotive Test Center study, the Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, conducted another study to quantify the effect of lower tire pressure on road surface deterioration and pavement thickness requirements.

A closed loop two lane track was constructed. A total of 15 test sections, one native soil (a lean clay), five aggregate (3 to 9 in.), and nine asphalt concrete sections with varying combinations of asphalt thicknesses (2, 4, 5 and 6 in.) and base course (0, 4, 6, and 8 in.). Table 6 summarizes the pavement sections and average material properties.

Table 6
Pavement Test Sections Used in Waterways Experiment Station Study

		Surface	Base	Base	Average	Insitu Dry
		Thickness	Course	Moisture	Aggregate	Density
	Surface	(in.)	Thickness	Content	CBR	AASHTO
Section	Material		(in.)	(Agg.)	Value	T-180
1	Aggregate	3	N/A	1.4	35	135.4
2	Aggregate	3	N/A	1.7	22	136.0
3	Native Soil	N/A	N/A	17.3	N/A	111.6
4	Asphalt	2	4	3.5	23	142.6
5	Asphalt	2	6	3.4	28	142.3
6	Asphalt	2	8	3.4	41	142.3
7	Asphalt	4	0	N/A	N/A	114.6
8	Asphalt	4	8	2.3	34	138.6
9	Asphalt	4	6	2.4	44	138.8
10	Asphalt	4	4	2.3	39	138.6
11	Asphalt	6	0	N/A	N/A	113.8
12	Asphalt	5	0	N/A	N/A	110.4
13	Aggregate	3	N/A	2.8	35	139.6
14	Aggregate	6	N/A	2.8	32	139.6
15	Aggregate	9	N/A	3.3	32	141.9

Passes were made over each section until failure. Paved sections were considered failed when there was:

- •A surface rut of 2 in. or more at least 20 ft-long
- •Surface cracking existed to the extent that the pavement was no longer waterproof;
- Severe shoving resulting in 2-in deep ruts or severe cracking of the AC surface existed.

The aggregate sections were considered failed when any of the following conditions existed in a 20-ft-long section of a wheel path:

- •Three inch ruts in test sections 1,2 and 13; or
- •Four-inch ruts in test sections 14 and 15; or
- •Washboarding of 3 in. deep or more.

Shoving was also a major type of distress observed during the test. Shoving occurred in the out side wheel path of a horizontal curve and was visually detected by either the outward movement of the total thickness of asphalt concrete or by the outward movement of the asphalt concrete layer in relation to the underlying layer.

Two trucks were driven over the lanes in both the loaded and unloaded condition. The high tire pressure truck was operated at typical highway pressure of 100 psi in all tires. The low-pressure truck operated at a constant tire deflection (21 percent), which required tire pressures of approximately 25 and 39 psi for the unloaded and loaded conditions, respectively. Axle loads for the high pressure tire truck were 9,590 lb. for the front axle, and an average of 16,750 lb./axle for the other 4 axles. The low pressure tire truck had a front axle load of 9,530 lb. and an average of 16,960 lb./axle for the remaining axles.

The first day of driving began after several days of rain and apparently due to the high moisture content sections 1 to 3 showed rutting after one pass. The benefits of lower tire pressure on aggregate surface roads were seen from the results of section 2 when the trucks operated empty to determine the effects of lower tire pressure on washboarding (washboarding is caused by tires bouncing which occurs mostly when trucks are empty). Washboarding was noticeable in section 2 in the high pressure lane after about 50 passes. The corrugation was 2.5 in. deep and the truck had to reduced speed to maneuver safely over Section 2. After 112 passes, severe washboarding was measured throughout the high-pressure lane of section 2. No washboarding was observed in the low pressure lane. The high-pressure traffic caused severe distress in Section 2, and required grading after a combined total of 172, 541, 624, and 1130 loaded

and unloaded passes. Grading was never required in the low pressure lane of section 2.

The benefits of lower tire pressure on asphalt concrete roads were also evident. In all cases when an asphalt concrete section was judged as failed in the high pressure lane, only hairline cracking and minor rutting were detected in a low pressure lane. Only four failures occurred in the low pressure lane, two in section 4 and one each in sections 5 and 6. The failures in sections 5 and 6 were judged failed after 2,076 passes and those in section 4 after 3,324 and 3,845 passes. Severe cracking and rutting were the mode of each of these failures. Table 7 summarizes the number of load repetitions to failure in the study.

Table 7.
Summary of Number of Passes at Time of Failure

Test Section	Tire Pressure	Number of Passes to Failure
1	High	58
1	Low	66
2	High	58
2	Low	66
3	High	58
3	Low	66
4	High	158
4	Low	3324
5	High	1,414
5	Low	2,076
6	High	1,104
6	Low	2,076
10	, High	2,210
13	High	883
13	Low	1,077
14	High	883
14	Low	1,077
15	High	883
15	Low	1,077

No failure information provided for sections 7, 8, 9, 11, or 12.

Based on the results of the study, the following findings and recommendations were noted:

- •The failures and distresses in the high-pressure lane of the asphalt concrete sections were more pronounced than those in the low-pressure lane.
- •Where pavement failures occurred in both lanes of the same asphalt concrete section, the ratio of the number of passes to failure of the low-pressure lane to high-pressure lane ranged between 1.5 to 1 and 21 to 1.
- •Considerable maintenance was required on aggregate surfaced grades after high-pressure unloaded traffic because of severe washboarding. This type of distress is not a factor under low-pressure traffic.
- •There was no appreciable difference in the amount of shoving of aggregate surface horizontal curves because of different tire pressures.
- •Considerable savings should be realized from operating at lower tire pressure from lower repair and maintenance of roads, the reduction of truck and tire wear and from the extension of haul seasons.

CHAPTER 3: DEVELOPMENT OF DATA AND METHODS OF ANALYSIS

3.1. Objective

The objective of this study was to determine the effects of operating heavy vehicles at lower tire pressures on roads in severely weakened condition. In order to analyze the effects of lower tire pressure on pavement performance, comparison of the number of load repetitions to failure for each pavement type, material condition, tire load and tire pressure was determined. The failure criteria used was developed by the Asphalt Institute for fatigue cracking and rutting. The formula for fatigue failure defines failure as fatigue cracking over 10 percent of the wheel path area, while rutting failure is defined as 0.5 inch depressions in the wheel paths (13). These formulas required determination of two specific strain criteria. For fatigue failure, horizontal tensile strain at the bottom of the asphalt layer was determined and the vertical compressive strain at the top of the subgrade was determined for the rutting failure. The formulas used are shown below:

Fatigue Failure:

 $\log N_f = 15.947 - 3.291 \log (\varepsilon_1/10^{-6}) - 0.854 \log (E/10^{-3})$

N_f = Load repetitions to Failure

ε_t = Horizontal Tensile Strain at Bottom of Asphalt Concrete

E = Elastic Modulus of the Asphalt Concrete

Rutting Failure

 $N_f = 1.077 \times 10^{18} (10^{-6}/\epsilon_V)^{4.4843}$

N_f = Load repetitions to Failure

 ε_V = Vertical Compressive Strain at Top of Subgrade

3.2. Determination of Strains

3.2.1. ELSYM5

Determination of horizontal tensile strain at the bottom of asphalt concrete and vertical compressive strains at the top of subgrade in both asphalt and aggregate roads were determined using the ELSYM5 computer software program developed by the Federal Highway Administration. ELSYM5 uses elastic layer theory to calculate the stresses and strains at specified points in

multi-layer pavement systems. Input variables were material properties, loading condition, and points of evaluation.

3.2.2. System Material Properties

Two road surfaces were modeled in this study, asphalt concrete and aggregate surfaced roads. Asphalt concrete roads consisted of a layer of asphalt surface course over an aggregate base over a semi-infinite subgrade. Aggregate surfaced roads were a layer of aggregate over a semi-infinite subgrade. In each system, material properties were varied under each loading condition. By varying the layer thickness and using two different elastic moduli for the asphalt concrete, a total of nine different road systems were evaluated. Table 8 lists each of the systems evaluated:

Table 8.

Layer Thicknesses and Moduli of Surface Layers Evaluated

	Surface	Base Course
Surface Material	Thickness	Thickness
Asphalt Concrete (E _{ac} =150,000 psi)	1 inch	6 inches
Asphalt Concrete (E _{ac} =150,000 psi)	2 inches	6 inches
Asphalt Concrete (E _{ac} =150,000 psi)	3 inches	8 inches
Asphalt Concrete (E _{ac} =1,000,000 psi)*	1 inch	6 inches
Asphalt Concrete (E _{ac} =1,000,000 psi)*	2 inches	6 inches
Asphalt Concrete (E _{ac} =1,000,000 psi)*	3 inches	8 inches
Crushed Aggregate	4 inches	N/A
Crushed Aggregate	8 inches	N/A
Crushed Aggregate	12 inches	N/A

^{*} Evaluated with tandem axle configuration only

The values for the Elastic Modulus (E) for the crushed aggregate and subgrade were assigned different values. These values were chosen to evaluate road response under varying conditions - weak to strong. The elastic modulus for asphalt concrete (E_{ac}) was held constant for each asphalt concrete system. The only material properties that were varied in each system were the values assigned to the elastic modulus. The elastic modulus of the crushed aggregate (E_{b}) was assigned values of 1,000, 5,000, 10,000, 20,000 or 30,000 psi. The elastic modulus of the subgrade (E_{sg}) was assigned values of 2,500, 5,000 or 10,000 psi . Each loading condition was evaluated for each possible combination of E_{b} and E_{sg} .

The asphalt concrete layer thicknesses were selected in order to model thinner asphalt pavement sections found in rural roads that are generally subject to load restrictions during spring thaw. The asphalt concrete elastic moduli were selected to model a cracked asphalt concrete (E_{ac}=150,000 psi) and a

moderately strong asphalt concrete (E_{ac}=1,000,000 psi). The elastic moduli for the crushed aggregate and subgrade were selected to simulate those that have been found in the field during spring thaw. (1)

Poisson's Ratios were held constant throughout the study. Values used were 0.35 for asphalt concrete, 0.40 for crushed aggregate and 0.45 for subgrade.

3.2.3. Loading Conditions

The strains at the bottom of asphalt and top of subgrade were determined for each road system combination and loading condition to calculate the number of load repetitions to failure. Loading conditions evaluated included two axle configurations, three tire loads, and three tire pressures.

The two axle configurations were a dual tired single axle and a dual tired tandem axle. These are the most common configurations found on tractor- semi trailer vehicles.

Tire loads used were 4,250, 3,750, and 3,250 lb. per tire. The 4,250 lb. per tire load was chosen as it is the maximum per tire load allowed for dual tandem axle configurations (34,000 lb. maximum). Tire loads of 3,750 and 3,250 lb. per tire represent 88 percent and 76 percent of maximum tire loads respectively for dual tandem axles. These loads were used as they were above the commonly used value of 60 percent of maximum load allowed during periods of load restrictions(1). The same tire loads were used for the single axle configuration in order to permit easy comparison of axle configuration.

Tire pressures of 40, 70 and 100 psi were used. To simulate loading conditions found on most operating trucks, 100 psi was selected (6). Selection of 40 psi as the lowest pressure was done to approximate the average low pressures found in the studies reviewed. For a median value, 70 psi was selected. Although other studies have shown that tire contact pressure and tire inflation pressure are not always equal, tire contact pressure and tire inflation pressure are assumed to be equal for this study. Since the ratio between tire contact pressure and tire inflation pressure varies depending on tire type, this assumption eliminated tire type as variable in this study.

3.2.4. Points of Evaluation

Evaluation points were determined depending on failure criteria and axle configuration. In asphalt concrete pavements, the failure criteria were fatigue and rutting, therefore strains at the bottom of the asphalt concrete and top of subgrade were used to determine the load repetitions to failure for fatigue and rutting respectively. In the aggregate surfaced roads, rutting failure was the only

criteria of interest, therefore the strain at the top of subgrade was the only one determined for the aggregate road structure.

Strains directly under a tire, between the dual tires and between the axles were calculated for the dual tandem axle configuration. For the single axle configuration, the strains under and between the tires were determined.

Only the largest strain found for each loading condition was used in determining the load repetitions to failure. Other strains were not considered. Strain values found for single axle and tandem axle are found in Appendix A and Appendix B respectively.

3.3. Calculation of Load repetitions to Failure

For each pavement and loading condition, the strains at the selected points of interest were calculated using the ELSYM5 program. Using the strain calculated by ELSYM5 and the Asphalt Institute failure formulas, the number of load repetitions to failure for each combination of pavement and loading condition was determined. These are shown in Appendices C and D for single and tandem axles respectively.

CHAPTER 4: RESULTS

4.1. Introduction

The results obtained were analyzed by comparing average increases in load repetitions to failure and average percentage increases in load repetitions to failures obtained by reducing tire pressure and tire load separately and combined. Averages were used because of the large number of different pavement sections and loading conditions examined. By using average increases in load repetitions to failure the trends of reducing tire pressure and tire load can be more easily examined and discussed. Although some results show large percentage increases in load repetitions to failure, the reader must be careful to realize that while the percentage increase may extremely large, the actual number of load repetitions to failures may be few, in some less than 100. This is especially true when the increases for load repetitions to failure of very weak soils and thin road surfaces are reviewed. Because of this, it is very important that if the results of this study are used to aide the decision whether to require lower tire loads or tire pressures on weakened roads, that the reader ensure that actual field conditions are considered in conjunction with the results of this study.

For simplicity, the results of the data analysis are divided into four different groups shown on Table 9 below. Each group is used to examine the effect of reducing tire pressure and tire load for a specific pavement type and failure criteria. The effects of reducing tire pressure and tire load on fatigue failure for each asphalt concrete stiffness are examined separately.

Table 9
Data Analysis Groups

Group	Pavement Type	Material Properties	Failure Criteria
1	Aggregate Surface	All	Rutting
2	Asphalt Concrete	All	Rutting
3	Asphalt Concrete	E _{ac} = 1,000,000 psi	Fatigue
4	Asphalt Concrete	E _{ac} = 150,000 psi	Fatigue

In the aggregate surfaced roads only rutting failure is considered. The results indicate that in most cases, rutting failure will also be the failure that governs the asphalt concrete pavement structure as the number of load repetitions to rutting failure are usually far less than the number of load repetitions to fatigue failure. The results show that reducing either tire pressure or tire load will result in increases in load repetitions to failure for both rutting and fatigue, and that if both tire load and tire pressure are reduced together, the resulting increase in load repetitions to failure for both rutting and fatigue is greater than the sum of the two individually.

4.2. Aggregate Surface Roads.

4.2.1. Effects of Reducing Tire Pressure.

Using the load repetitions to failure calculated for each loading condition, the effects of reduced tire pressure were determined. For each tire load, the load repetitions to failure for tires inflated to 100 psi was used as the base against which increases or decreases were measured. Table 10 summarizes the average percentage increase in load repetitions to rutting failure when the tire pressure is reduced from 100 psi to 70 psi and 100 psi to 40 psi respectively. Appendix E contains data used to calculate the averages for single and tandem axles.

The data in Table 10 shows that reducing tire pressure results in an increase in load repetitions to failure and that the larger the pressure reduction the larger the increase in load repetitions to failure, i.e. reducing the pressure from 100 psi to 40 psi will provide 3 to 10 times the increase in load repetitions to failure that are obtained by reducing tire pressure from 100 psi to 70 psi.

Table 10 also shows that as the aggregate layer gets thicker, the percentage increase in load repetitions to failure decreases. This means that as aggregate roads get thicker, the effects of reducing tire pressure becomes less effective in reducing the vertical compressive strain at the top of the subgrade.

It must be cautioned that reduced tire pressure will not always allow usage of aggregate roads in a weakened condition. In some cases, the number of load repetitions to failure even operating at reduced tire pressure could be reached in a very short time. Note for example that the average increases in load repetitions to failure for a 4 inch aggregate road were always less than 100. Even with minimal heavy vehicle traffic, it would not take long to reach 100 load repetitions. However, in thicker aggregate surface roads, the effects of reducing tire pressure may be sufficient by itself to allow trucks to operate without load reductions. This would greatly improve profitability for heavy truck operators.

Table 10.
Summary of Average Increase in Load repetitions to Rutting Failure by Reducing Tire Pressure - Aggregate Surfaced Roads

Increase In Load repetitions to Rutting Failure				
	Tire Pressure Reduction		Tire Pressure Reduction From	
	From 100 psi to 70 psi		100 psi to 40 psi	
	Average	Percentage	Average	Percentage
	Increase in	Increase in	Increase in	Increase in
	Load	Load	Load	Load
Road Structure	repetitions	repetitions	repetitions to	repetitions to
and Tire Load	to Failure	to Failure	Failure	Failure
Single Axle				
4 inch Aggregate				
3,250 lb./tire	45	158%	448	1,756%
3,750 lb./tire	38	179%	417	2,204%
4,250 lb./tire	34	199%	403	2,685%
8 inch Aggregate				
3,250 lb./tire	613	40%	2,978	203%
3,750 lb./tire	417	46%	2,105	243%
4,250 lb./tire	300	52%	1,569	285%
12 inch Aggregate				
3,250 lb./tire	3,527	15%	10,155	49%
3,750 lb./tire	2,180	16%	5,542	51%
4,250 lb./tire	1,342	17%	3,230	47%
Tandem Axle				
4 inch Aggregate				
3,250 lb./tire	46	162%	452	1,758%
3,750 lb./tire	39	180%	421	2,211%
4,250 lb./tire	26	141%	287	2,293%
8 inch Aggregate				
3,250 lb./tire	636	40%	3,086	205%
3,750 lb./tire	433	46%	2,182	246%
4,250 lb./tire	158	47%	809	267%
12 inch Aggregate				
3,250 lb./tire	3,787	15%	10,940	50%
3,750 lb./tire	2,330	16%	5,973	52%
4,250 lb./tire	636	15%	1761	55%

4.2.2. Effects of Reducing Tire Load.

Table 11 summarizes the average percentage increase in load repetitions to rutting failure when the tire load is reduced from 4,250 lb./tire to 3,750 lb./tire

and from 4,250 lb./tire to 3,250 lb./tire respectively. Appendix F contains data used to calculate the averages for single and tandem axles respectively.

Table 11.
Summary of Average Increase in Load repetitions to Rutting Failure by Reducing Tire Load - Aggregate Surfaced Roads

Increase In Load repetitions to Rutting Failure					
Road Structure and Tire	Tire Load Re	duction From	Tire Load Reduction		
Pressure	4250 to 3750 lb./tire		From 4250 to 3250 lb./tire		
	Average	Percentage	Average	Percentage	
	Increase in	Increase in	Increase	Increase in	
	Load	Load	in Load	Load	
	repetitions	repetitions	repetitions	repetitions to	
Single Axle	to Failure	to Failure	to Failure	Failure	
4 inches Aggregate					
40 psi	43	5%	115	34%	
70 psi	14	19%	38	64%	
100 psi	7	31%	20	83%	
8 inches Aggregate					
40 psi	883	40%	2,420	111%	
70 psi	464	54%	1,325	148%	
100 psi	347	52%	1,011	159%	
12 inches Aggregate					
40 psi	7,741	72%	23,298	208%	
70 psi	6,269	66%	18,558	196%	
100 psi	5,430	67%	16,373	201%	
Tandem Axle					
4 inches Aggregate					
40 psi	143	101%	181	132%	
70 psi	20	84%	36	154%	
100 psi	8	78%	16	136%	
8 inches Aggregate					
40 psi	2,027	183%	3,614	327%	
70 psi	911	174%	1,794	341%	
100 psi	635	173%	1,316	360%	
12 inches Aggregate					
40 psi	13,837	200%	30,416	441%	
70 psi	11,197	188%	24,255	417%	
100 psi	9,546	198%	21,145	439%	

Table 11 shows decreasing the tire load results in increases in load repetitions to rutting failure. However, the effects of larger decreases in load are more linear than the effects of larger tire pressure reductions. For example,

decreasing the tire pressure from 100 psi to 40 psi resulted in 3 to 10 times the increase in load repetitions to failure obtained by reducing tire pressure from 100 psi to 70 psi, whereas decreasing the tire load from 4,250 lb./tire to 3,250 lb./tire resulted in only 1 to 3 times the increase load repetitions to failure obtained by decreasing the tire load from 4,250 lb./tire to 3,750 lb./tire. This indicates that vertical compressive strain is a fairly linear function of tire load, that is each pound of tire load reduction results in an equal reduction in vertical compressive strain at the top of the subgrade.

The effect of the aggregate thickness on increase in load repetitions to failure is opposite that of tire pressure reduction. As the aggregate gets thicker, the percentage increase in load repetitions to failure increases, thus indicating that as aggregate gets thicker, tire load is more critical to the development of vertical compressive strain than tire pressure.

4.2.3. Combined Effects of Reducing Tire Load and Pressure:

In order to measure the combined effects of reducing tire load and tire pressure, a base load was selected. The base load was 4250 lb./tire on a tire inflated to 100 psi. Holding tire pressure constant, the average increase and percentage increase in load repetitions to failure were determined for reducing tire load to 3250 lb./tire. Holding load constant, the average increase and percentage increase in load repetitions to failure were determined for reducing tire pressure to 40 psi. Then the average increase and percentage increase in load repetitions to failure for reducing both tire load and pressure were determined by comparing the load repetitions to failure for a load of 4250 lb./tire at 100 psi and a load of 3250 lb./tire at 40 psi. It was noted that the increase in load repetitions to failure of the combined effects of tire pressure and load reduction was greater than the sum of the separate increase due to tire pressure and tire load reduction. A final calculation called the Synergistic Factor was determined by dividing the increase in load repetitions to failure of the combined effects by the sum of the individual increases realized by reducing tire pressure or tire load alone. This synergistic factor shows that when both tire pressure and tire load are reduced simultaneously, much larger increases in load repetitions to failure can be achieved. Table 12 summarizes the results for each aggregate thickness and is listed in appendix G.

Based on the percentage increase in load repetitions to failure, the individual effects of tire load reduction verses tire pressure reduction in Table 11 shows that reducing tire pressure becomes less influential on vertical compressive strain as the aggregate thickness increases while reducing tire load becomes more influential.

When the combined effects of tire pressure and tire load reduction are reviewed, again as aggregate layer gets thicker, the percentage increase in load repetitions to failure gets less, but the actual number of load repetitions to failure increases. This emphasizes the importance of taking into consideration the road structure rather than the percentage increase in load repetitions to failure when determining whether to allow either full loads at reduced tire pressure, require lighter loads at normal tire pressure, or requiring both lighter loads and reduced tire pressure.

Table 12
Summary of Average Increase in Load repetitions to Failure
by Reducing Tire Pressure and Tire Load Individually and Combined Aggregate Surfaced Roads

	Incr	ease In Load re	epetitions to Rutt	ing Failure
Road Structure	Reducing Tire Load From		Reducing Tire Pressure	
	4,250 to 3,250 lb./tire		From 100 psi to 40 psi	
	Average	Percentage	Average	Percentage
Single Axle	Increase	Increase	Increase	Increase
4 Inch Aggregate	18	83%	447	1,465%
8 Inch Aggregate	948	149%	1,471	260%
12 Inch Aggregate	15,349	188%	3,028	38%
Tandem Axle				
4 Inch Aggregate	16	185%	286	2,040%
8 Inch Aggregate	1,316	360%	790	235%
12 Inch Aggregate	21,145	439%	1,678	57%
	Reducing Tire Load and			
	Tire Pressure			
	Average	Percentage	Synergistic	
Single Axle	Increase	Increase	Factor*	
4 Inch Aggregate	550	1,710%	1.18	
8 inch Aggregate	3,470	508%	1.43	
12 Inch Aggregate	24,870	260%	1.35	
Tandem Axle				
4 Inch Aggregate	467	3,977%	1.55	
8 Inch Aggregate	4,403	1,232%	2.09	
12 Inch Aggregate	32,094	683%	1.41	

^{*}See text for description

4.3. Asphalt Surfaced Roads:

The effect of reducing tire pressure and tire load on increases in load repetitions to failure for both rutting and fatigue were reviewed. During the analysis of the data it was noted that the values for load repetitions to rutting failure and the

strains obtained were found to be within a reasonable range for both asphalt stiffnesses evaluated. However, when the load repetitions to failure were determined for the asphalt concrete section with elastic modulus of 150,000 psi (attempting to simulate a cracked pavement) the results obtained were extremely large and are not considered reasonable. Therefore, discussion of the results for the asphalt section is broken into three parts. Part 1 addresses rutting failure, Part 2 addresses fatigue failure for the asphalt sections with an elastic modulus of 1,000,000 psi, and Part 3 discusses fatigue failure for the asphalt section with the lower elastic modulus of 150,000 psi.

4.3.1. Rutting Failure.

4.3.1.1. Effects of Reduced Tire Pressure on Rutting Failure.

The results obtained for average increase in load repetitions to rutting failure show the same trends as those found in the aggregate surface road which were:

- Reducing tire pressure results in increases in load repetitions to rutting failure
- The larger the pressure reduction, the larger the increase in load repetitions to failure.
- Reducing tire pressure from 100 psi to 40 psi provides 3 to 5 times the increase in load repetitions to rutting failure obtained by only reducing tire pressure from 100 psi to 70 psi.
- As the asphalt gets thicker and stiffer, the percentage increase in load repetitions to failure decreases meaning that reducing tire pressure becomes less effective reducing the vertical compressive strain at the top of the subgrade.

The results of the effects of reducing tire pressure are summarized in Table 13. Values in Table 13 are taken from the data and calculations in appendix H.

4.3.1.2 Effects of Reducing Tire Load on Rutting Failure

Using identical methods to analyze the effect of reduced tire load on rutting failure of an asphalt concrete road as was used to analyze the effect of reduced tire load on an aggregate road, the values shown in Table 14 indicated similar trends as was found for the aggregate road. Those trends were:

- Decreasing tire load results in increases in load repetitions to rutting failure.
- Decreasing tire load from 4,250 lb./tire to 3,250 lb./tire results in 2 to 3 times the average increase in load repetitions to rutting failure obtained by reducing tire load from 4,250 lb./tire to 3,750 lb./tire.

• As the asphalt concrete gets thicker, the percentage increase in load repetitions to failure becomes smaller.

Table 13.
Summary of Average Increase in Load repetitions to Rutting Failure by Reducing Tire Pressure - Asphalt Surfaced Roads

	Increase In Load repetitions to Rutting Failure			
Road Structure and	Tire Pressur		Tire Pressure Reduction	
Tire Load	From 100 psi to 70 psi		From 100 psi to 40 psi	
	Average Percentage		Average Percentage	
	Increase in	Increase in	Increase in	Increase in
Single Axle	Load	Load	Load	Load
E(ac) = 150,000 psi	repetitions to	repetitions	repetitions	repetitions to
	Failure	to Failure	to Failure	Failure
1 Inch Asphalt				
3,250 lb./tire	515	37%	2,568	180%
3,750 lb./tire	352	43%	2,258	236%
4,250 lb./tire	256	48%	1,387	250%
2 Inch Asphalt				
3,250 lb./tire	1,350	19%	5,764	78%
3,750 lb./tire	884	21%	3,883	89%
4,250 lb./tire	607	24%	2,659	95%
3 Inch Asphalt				
3,250 lb./tire	3,594	5%	11,697	17%
3,750 lb./tire	1,962	5%	7,119	19%
4,250 lb./tire	1,197	6%	4,675	22%
Tandem Axle				
E(ac) = 150,000 psi				
1 Inch Asphalt				
3,250 lb./tire	529	37%	2,650	182%
3,750 lb./tire	362	43%	1,894	217%
4,250 lb./tire	263	48%	1,433	253%
2 Inch Asphalt				
3,250 lb./tire	1,406	19%	6,004	79%
3,750 lb./tire	910	22%	3,995	90%
4,250 lb./tire	630	24%	2,787	96%
3 Inch Asphalt				
3,250 lb./tire	3,904	5%	13,515	19%
3,750 lb./tire	1,931	5%	6,909	19%
4,250 lb./tire	1,295	6%	5,080	23%

Tandem Axle E(ac)=1,000,000 psi				
1 Inch Asphalt				
3,250 lb./tire	1,085	20%	4,551	88%
3,750 lb./tire	667	24%	3,028	105%
4,250 lb./tire	468	27%	2,173	118%
2 Inch Asphalt				,
3,250 lb./tire	6,436	6%	21,001	19%
3,750 lb./tire	4,282	6%	14,286	19%
4,250 lb./tire	2,455	7%	8,275	23%
3 Inch Asphalt				
3,250 lb./tire	46,990	3%	173,737	13%
3,750 lb./tire	29,379	4%	107,229	15%
4,250 lb./tire	19,059	5%	70,908	17%

Table 14.
Summary of Average Increase in Load repetitions to Rutting Failure by Reducing Tire Load Only - Asphalt Surfaced Roads

	Increase In Load repetitions to Rutting Failure			
Road Structure and		duction From	Tire Load Reduction From	
Tire Pressure		,750 lb./tire	4,250 to 3,250 lb./tire	
	Average	Percentage	Average	Percentage
	Increase in	Increase in	Increase in	Increase in
Single Axle	Load	Load	Load	Load
E(ac)=150,000 psi	repetitions to	repetitions to	repetitions	repetitions to
	Failure	Failure	to Failure	Failure
1 Inch Asphalt				
3,250 lb./tire	1,139	48%	1,958	113%
3,750 lb./tire	382	49%	1,090	144%
4,250 lb./tire	286	57%	795	166%
2 Inch Asphalt				
3,250 lb./tire	3,032	61%	8,711	173%
3,750 lb./tire	2,265	62%	6,737	183%
4,250 lb./tire	1,857	65%	5,605	195%
3 Inch Asphalt				
3,250 lb./tire	16,840	68%	51,013	207%
3,750 lb./tire	15,161	72%	46,387	219%
4,250 lb./tire	14,420	73%	44,015	222%
Tandem Axle				
E(ac)=150,000 psi				
1 Inch Asphalt				
3,250 lb./tire	734	41%	2,010	113%
3,750 lb./tire	372	51%	1,058	146%
4,250 lb./tire	273	54%	793	161%
2 Inch Asphalt				
3,250 lb./tire	3,114	61%	8,950	172%
3,750 lb./tire	2,186	61%	6,509	182%
4,250 lb./tire	1,906	65%	5,703	195%
3 Inch Asphalt				
3,250 lb./tire	17,898	68%	55,073	212%
3,750 lb./tire	16,137	72%	49,283	220%
4,250 lb./tire	15,062	70%	46,437	218%
Tandem Axle			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
E(ac)=1,000,000 psi	1			
1 Inch Asphalt				
3,250 lb./tire	2,267	55%	6,567	158%
3,750 lb./tire	1,612	60%	4,806	178%
4,250 lb./tire	1,412	64%	4,189	195%

2 Inch Asphalt				
3,250 lb./tire	41,024	91%	87,497	209%
3,750 lb./tire	36,839	95%	78,749	217%
4,250 lb./tire	35,018	96%	74,775	219%
3 Inch Asphalt				
3,250 lb./tire	365,986	70%	1,118,350	212%
3,750 lb./tire	339,984	72%	1,043,452	222%
4,250 lb./tire	329,865	75%	1,015,721	228%

See Appendix I for data used to derive figures in Table 14.

4.3.1.3 Combined Effects of Reducing Tire Load and Pressure

Table 15 below summarizes the results of comparing the effects of reducing tire pressure and tire load each individually and combined. When results of the asphalt thicknesses are compared, note that the percentage increase in load repetitions to rutting failure for tire load reduction increases as the asphalt becomes thicker. This indicates that the influence of tire load increases as the asphalt becomes thicker. This is further supported by the smaller percentage increase in load repetitions to failure by reducing tire pressure as the asphalt gets thicker. It can also be seen that as asphalt gets thicker, the synergistic factor decreases. This is explained by the fact that tire load, which effects vertical compressive strain in a linear manner, becomes more influential as the asphalt gets thicker.

It is also noted that axle configuration has little influence on load repetitions to rutting failure. In fact the average increase and percentage increase in load repetitions to rutting failure are nearly identical. There results indicated that axle configuration has little influence on load repetitions to rutting failure for asphalt concrete roads.

When comparisons are made between tire load and tire pressure reduction, the results summarized in Table 15 confirm the results of other studies which concluded that the effects of tire pressure reduction lessened as asphalt layer became thicker.

Table 15
Summary of Average Increase in Load repetitions to Rutting Failure by Reducing Tire Pressure and Load Individually and Combined Asphalt Surfaced Roads

	Increase In Load repetitions to Rutting Failure				
Road Structure	Reducing Tir		Reducing Tire Pressure		
	4,250 to 3,	250 lb./tire	From 100 psi to 40 psi		
Single Axle	Average	Percentage	Average	Percentage	
E(ac)=150,000 psi	Increase	Increase	Increase	Increase	
1 Inch Asphalt,	795	166%	1,405	254%	
2 Inch Asphalt,	5,605	195%	2,659	95%	
3 Inch Asphalt,	44,015	222%	4,699	22%	
Tandem Axle					
E(ac)=150,000 psi					
1 Inch Asphalt,	793	161%	1,432	249%	
2 Inch Asphalt,	5,703	195%	2,787	96%	
3 Inch Asphalt,	46,437	218%	4,880	21%	
Tandem Axle					
E(ac)=1,000,000 psi					
1 Inch Asphalt,	4,189	189%	2,173	118%	
2 Inch Asphalt,	75,755	219%	8,281	23%	
3 Inch Asphalt,	1,015,721	228%	71,108	18%	
	Reducing Ti	re Load and			
	Tire Pr	essure			
Single Axle	Average	Percentage	Synergistic		
E(ac)=150,000 psi	Increase	Increase	Factor		
1 Inch Asphalt	3,363	646%	1.54		
2 Inch Asphalt,	11,370	420%	1.44		
3 Inch Asphalt,	55,712	276%	1.13		
Tandem Axle			!		
E(ac)=150,000 psi					
1 Inch Asphalt,	3,443	635%	1.55		
2 Inch Asphalt,	11,737	423%	1.44		
3 Inch Asphalt,	59,952	277%	1.17		
Tandem Axle					
E(ac)=1,000,000 psi					
1 Inch Asphalt,	8,740	448%	1.42		
2 Inch Asphalt	95,778	279%	1.15		
3 Inch Asphalt,	1,189,458	269%	1.10		

See Appendix J for complete data tables.

4.3.2 Fatigue Failure

In nearly all the asphalt pavement sections and loading conditions examined, fatigue failure was not the governing failure criteria in an asphalt concrete pavement. This is due to rutting failure being mostly a direct function of the stiffness of the base and subgrade, and fatigue failure being strongly dependent on the stiffness of the asphalt. Since the strengths used for the base and subgrade were relatively much weaker than those used for the asphalt pavements, rutting was generally the governing criteria. However the effects of reducing tire pressure and tire load on fatigue failure indicate positive benefits of reducing tire pressure and are worth discussion.

The results of the fatigue failure analysis has been broken down into two parts. Each part addresses a different asphalt stiffness. The first section analyzes the results of asphalt pavement sections with an elastic modulus of 1,000,000 psi. The second discusses asphalt pavements sections with an elastic modulus of 150,000 psi. The value of 150,000 psi was chosen to simulate an asphalt pavement section that already had moderate fatigue cracking.

<u>4.3.2.1 Effects of Reduced Tire Pressure and Tire Load on Fatigue Failure on a Moderate Strength Asphalt Concrete Pavement.</u>

Effects of tire pressure and tire load reduction on an asphalt concrete pavement with an elastic modulus of 1,000,000 psi were examined for tandem axle loading only. The effects of reduced tire pressure are summarized in Table 15. As would be expected, the average increase in load repetitions to failure increases as asphalt thickness increases, however the percentage increase in load repetitions to failure decreases with increased asphalt thickness. This confirms other study findings that the influence of reduced tire pressure on pavement life lessens as asphalt pavement sections thicken. Unlike rutting however, at 3 inch thickness, reducing tire pressure significantly increases average load repetitions to fatigue failure. For example, load repetitions to fatigue failure is increased 105 percent for a tandem axle loaded at 3,750 lb./tire when the tire pressure is reduced from 100 psi to 40 psi (Table 16). For the same axle configuration and tire load, the average increase in load repetitions to rutting failure is only increased 15 percent for the same tire pressure reduction. (Table 13). This demonstrates that tire pressure plays a larger role in tensile strain at the bottom of asphalt than it does in vertical compressive strain at the top of subgrade and that if fatigue failure governs over rutting, then tire pressure reduction will significantly extend the asphalt pavement life.

Table 16.
Summary of Average Increase in Load repetitions to Fatigue Failure by Reducing Tire Pressure - Asphalt Surfaced Roads

	Increase In Load repetitions to Fatigue Failure			e Failure
Road Structure and	Tire Pressur	e Reduction	Tire Pressure Reduction	
Tire Load	From 100 p	si to 70 psi	From 100	psi to 40 psi
	Average	Percentage	Average	Percentage
	Increase in	Increase in	Increase in	Increase in
Tandem Axle	Load	Load	Load	Load
E(ac)=1,000,000 psi	repetitions to	repetitions	repetitions	repetitions to
	Failure	to Failure	to Failure	Failure
1 Inch Asphalt				
3,250 lb./tire	40,262	127%	380,039	995%
3,750 lb./tire	40,290	136%	276,538	820%
4,250 lb./tire	40,976	145%	197,667	649%
2 Inch Asphalt				
3,250 lb./tire	44,255	56%	181,265	205%
3,750 lb./tire	38,563	56%	126,693	168%
4,250 lb./tire	28,968	60%	84,714	161%
3 Inch Asphalt				
3,250 lb./tire	122,921	41%	394,588	120%
3,750 lb./tire	92,535	42%	246,945	105%
4,250 lb./tire	71,561	42%	171,650	97%

Data taken from data and calculations in Appendix H

Table 17 summarizes the effects of tire load on fatigue failure on an asphalt road, however before discussing the results, an explanation of the results listed for the 1-inch thick pavement is needed.

The average increase in load repetitions to fatigue failure for the 1 inch asphalt, listed in Table 17, are much lower than expected. When the strains calculated by ELSYM5 were reviewed it was noted that for the 1 inch asphalt, that as the tire load decreased, the strain at the bottom of the asphalt increased for both the 100 psi and 70 psi. The strain values determined by ELSYM5 were thoroughly checked by entering the same loading conditions into another strain calculating software program, Everstrs (developed for the Washington State Department of Transportation) and found to be accurate. Why strain values increase when tire load is decreased is not clear and goes beyond the scope of this study. However the reasons for this may warrant further study. Exact pavement stiffnesses and loading conditions where this phenomena occurs can be examined in appendix K which lists results for each individual loading condition.

Table 17 shows that reducing tire load increases the average number of load repetitions to failure. Decreasing tire load from 4,250 lb./tire to 3,250 lb./tire resulted in 2 to 3 times the increase in load repetitions to fatigue failure obtained by reducing tire load 4,250 lb./tire to 3,750 lb./tire. It should be noted that the percentage increase in load repetitions to fatigue failure due to tire load reduction was only about half the percentage increase found for increases in load repetitions to rutting failure due to tire load reduction. This small percentage increase in load repetitions to failure indicates that tire load has less influence on tensile strain than it does on vertical compressive strain.

Table 17.
Summary of Average Increase in Load repetitions to Fatigue Failure by Reducing Tire Load - Asphalt Surface Roads

	Increase In Load repetitions to Fatigue Failure			Failure
Road Structure and	Tire Load Re	eduction From	Tire Load Red	uction From
Tire Pressure	4,250 to 3	,750 lb./tire	4,250 to 3,2	50 lb./tire
	Average	Percentage	Average	Percentag
	Increase in	Increase in	Increase in	e Increase
Tandem Axle	Load	Load	Load	in Load
E(ac)=1,000,000 psi	repetitions	repetitions to	repetitions to	repetitions
	to Failure	Failure	Failure	to Failure
1 Inch Asphalt				
40 psi	79,947	35%	185,181	83%
70 psi	390	10%	2,095	26%
100 psi	1,076	14%	2,809	35%
2 Inch Asphalt				
40 psi	60,393	58%	123,253	101%
70 psi	28,008	51%	41,959	71%
100 psi	18,413	54%	26,701	74%
3 Inch Asphalt				
40 psi	123,065	39%	345,929	109%
70 psi	68,745	35%	174,351	87%
100 psi	47,765	34%	122,985	88%

Taken from tables in Appendix L

Table 18 compares the overall effect of tire pressure and tire load reduction on load repetitions to fatigue failure individually and combined. Table 18 supports the previous findings that tire pressure is more influential than tire load on fatigue failure. As was the case for rutting failure, Table 18 shows that the combined effects of tire pressure and tire load reduction together, will double the average increase in load repetitions to fatigue failure than either one alone.

Table 18.

Summary of Average Increase in Load repetitions to Fatigue Failure by Reducing Tire Pressure and Load Individually and Combined - Asphalt Surfaced Roads

	Increase in Load repetitions to Fatigue Failure			e Failure
Road Structure	Reducing Tir	e Load From	Reducing Tire Pressure	
	4,250 to 3,	250 lb./tire	From 100 p	osi to 40 psi
Tandem Axle	Average	Percentage	Average	Percentage
E(ac)=1,000,000 psi	Increase	Increase	Increase	Increase
1 inch Asphalt,	2,809	35%	197,667	649%
2 Inch Asphalt,	26,701	74%	84,713	161%
3 Inch Asphalt,	122,985	88%	171,644	97%
	Reducing Ti	ire Load and		
	Tire Pr	essure		
Tandem Axle	Average	Percentage	Synergistic	
E(ac)=1,000,000 psi	Increase	Increase	Factor	
1 Inch Asphalt,	382,848	1,262%	1.83	
2 Inch Asphalt,	207,967	423%	1.77	
3 Inch Asphalt,	517,573	311%	1.67	

4.3.2.2. Effects of Reducing Tire Pressure and Tire Load on a Weak Asphalt Pavement

In this study, asphalt pavement with an elastic modulus of 150,000 psi. was used to model a cracked pavement. From the load repetitions to fatigue failure calculated and shown in appendix H, it appears that the Asphalt Institute's formula for fatigue failure does not accurately predict load repetitions to failure for a cracked pavement, although their rutting failure formula does appear to accurately predict rutting failure. Therefore, if the pavement section under evaluation is considered to have failed due to fatigue, then that pavement could best be thought of as an aggregate road with a very stiff surface layer of aggregate.

While the average increase in load repetitions to fatigue failure shown in Appendices H, M and N are not realistic in terms of magnitude, they do reflect the same positive trends of tire pressure and tire load reduction on fatigue failure found in Section 4.3.3.1

4.4 Comparison of Field Studies and Computer Model

Most of the field studies reviewed did not contain the information needed to compare the results of the field study with results obtained from ELSYM5 and the Asphalt Institute's failure criteria. Only the U.S. Army Waterways Experiment

Station Study (11) contained enough information that could permit a comparison between the two.

Using section 5 for a comparison, input values were determined from the information given in the study. Pavement section thicknesses of 3.5 inches of asphalt and 4.5 inches of base were used in the low pressure lane, while 2.3 inches of asphalt and 6 inches of base were used in for the high pressure lane.

The study did not give any values for elastic modulus, but did provide California Bearing Ratios (CBR) for the base and subgrade. These values were converted to elastic modulus using Figures 5.34 and 5.35 of reference 12. The low pressure lane had CBRs of 33 and 5 for the base and subgrade. These converted to elastic moduli of 20,000 and 5,000 psi respectively. The high pressure land had CBRs of 20 and 5 which converted to elastic moduli of 15,000 psi and 5,000 psi respectively for the base and subgrade. The only information provided about the asphalt concrete was that it was to meet Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development criteria. From the gradation chart in the report, it appears that the asphalt mix used in the study has a similar gradation as Washington Department of Transportation (WSDOT)class A mix design. WSDOT class a mix design uses a elastic modulus of 500,000 psi for design purposes (12), therefore this value was used in the comparison.

Tire loading was determined by dividing the total loaded weight of the logging truck by 18. This gave tire loads of 4,295 lbs/tire for the low pressure lane and 4,250 lbs/tire for the high pressure lane.

In the field study, failure was due to rutting and occurred after 2,076 logging truck passes in the low pressure lane and 1,414 passes in the high pressure lane. The logging trucks used in the study consisted of two tandem axles and one singe axle, or roughly equivalent to 2-1/2 tandem axle loads per pass. Therefore tandem axle load repetitions to failure would be 5,190 for the low pressure lane and 3,535 for the high pressure lane.

Using the strains at the top of the subgrade determined by ELSYM5 and the Asphalt Institute's load repetitions to rutting failure formula, tandem axle load repetitions to failure for the low pressure lane were estimated to be 119,739 and 2,860 for the high pressure lane. The load repetitions to failure observed in the high pressure lane (3,535) and predicted by ELSYM5 and the failure formula (2,860) are relatively close. However, the comparison of the low tire pressure values, 119,739 predicted and 5,190 observed do not compare favorably. This does not necessarily mean that ELYSM5 should not be used to predict strains in pavement sections. It may indicate that the Asphalt Institutes formula for rutting failure may not be applicable for use with low tire pressures, but further field study is required to determine this. There are many other possible reasons for

the differences, including selection of the values used as input into ELSYM5 or conversion of CBRs to elastic moduli.

4.5 Conclusions and Recommendations

This preliminary study indicates that reducing tire pressure will reduce pavement strains and extend pavement life. This is true for both fatigue and rutting failure. The extent of the increases depends greatly on the pavement material properties. In some instances, the pavement may be so weak that no amount of tire pressure or load reduction would lower strains to an acceptable level. In other instances, reducing tire pressure alone or in combination with reduced tire load would reduce strains to acceptable levels. The pavement section stiffness is critical to making the determination whether to allow any heavy vehicles with or without reduced tire pressure or tire load or both. Therefore it is important to have a reasonable idea of the strength of the pavement before making a decision whether or not to allow heavy vehicle traffic and what loading modifications are required.

As was discussed earlier, the effect of reducing tire pressure is larger on thinner pavement sections and larger for fatigue failure (tensile strain) than rutting failure (vertical compressive strain). While the study indicates that reduced tire pressure will increase load repetitions to failure, the increased number of load repetitions to failure must be considered before deciding to allow heavy vehicles (tractor semi-trailers) on weakened roads. For example reducing tire pressure may increase the load repetitions to failure by 200 percent, but the actual load repetitions to failure may only be 500. Another possible scenario is that reducing tire pressure would result in a 40% increase in load repetitions to failure, but represents an increase of 500,000 load repetitions to failure. These examples emphasize the need to consider the actual number of load repetitions to failure when considering whether reduced tire pressure alone or in combination with reduced tire load would allow the operation of heavy vehicles on weakened roads.

While this study does indicate positive results from reduced tire pressure on increasing the load repetitions to failure, additional studies are warranted. Some items that should be investigated include:

- Development of guidelines to relate pavement stiffness to the pavement freezing index and thawing index. These guidelines would greatly aid road agencies to place vehicle restrictions (tire load and pressure) without having to go to the expense of conducting field tests.
- Measurement of actual in-place strains on various pavement types, pavement conditions, tire types, axle configurations, and loading conditions.

In summary, reducing tire pressure will reduce strains in pavements. Whether the reduced strains are within acceptable levels must be determined by the agency responsible for the road. It is recommended that road agencies follow the following steps when deciding on what combination of tire pressure and tire load is acceptable.

- Determine the horizontal tensile strain at the bottom of the asphalt and vertical compressive strain at the top of the subgrade associated with the acceptable number of load repetitions to failure for the road under review.
- Determine the pavement material properties when it is in a severely weakened condition, preferably by doing a field test.
- Using the pavement stiffness determined in step 2, determine what combination of tire load and/or tire pressure will result in strains less than those determined in step 1.

Using the above procedures in combination with local knowledge, road agencies can establish under what conditions heavy vehicles could possibly operate during periods weakened pavements such as spring thaw.

REFERENCES

- 1. Lary, J. A., Mahoney, J. P., Sharma J., "Evaluation of Frost Related Effects on Pavements", Washington State Transportation Center Report, May 1984.
- 2. Sebaaly, P., Tabatabaee, N., "Effect of Tire Parameters on Pavement Damage and Load-Equivalency Factors." <u>Journal of Transportation Engineering</u>, Vol. 118, No. 6, November/December 1992
- 3. Marshek, K., Chen, H., Connell, B., Saraf, C., "Effect of Truck Tire Inflation Pressure and Axle Load on Flexible and Rigid Pavement Performance.", <u>Transportation Research Record (1070) TRB</u>, National Research Council, Washington, D.C.
- Sebaaly, P., Tabatabaee, N., "Effect of Tire Pressure and Type on Response of Flexible Pavement", <u>Transportation Research Record (1227)</u>. TRB, National Research Council, Washington, D.C.
- 5. Roberts, F. L., Tielking, J. T., Middleton D., Lytton R. L., and Tseng K. H., "The Effects of Tire Pressures on Flexible Pavements" Report No. 372-1F, Texas Transportation Institute, College Station Texas, 1986
- 6. Marshek, K.M., Hudson, W.R., Chenn, H.H., Saraf, C. L. and Connell, R. B. "Effect of Truck Tire Inflation Pressure and Axle Load on Pavement Performance.", Report No. 386-2F, Center for Transportation Research. The University of Texas at Austin. Austin Texas 1985.
- 7. Bonaquist, R., Surdahl, R., Mogawer, W., "Effect of Tire Pressure on Flexible Pavement Response and Performance." <u>Transportation Research Record.</u> (1227), TRB, National Research Council, Washington, D.C.
- 8. Taylor, D.J., "National Central Tire Inflation Program -- Boise National Forest Field Operational Tests" U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service Equipment Development Center, San Dimas California, 1987.
- Stuart III, E., Gililland, E., Della-Moretta, L. "The use of Central Tire Inflation Systems on Low-Volume Roads" <u>Transportation Research Record 1106</u>, TRB Washington, D. C. (1987)
- 10. Nevada Automotive Test Center, "Central Tire Inflation", Final Report, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, San Dimas Equipment Development Center, 1987

- 11. Grau R. W., Della-Moretta L. B., "Effects of Variable Tire Pressure on Road Surfacing" <u>Transportation Research Record 1291</u>, TRB Washington, D.C. (1991)
- 12. Washington State Department of Transportation, "Pavement Guide" (Draft), September 1993.
- 13. Finn, F.N. et. al., "The Use of Distress Prediction Subsystems for the Design of Pavement Structures," <u>Proceedings</u>, 4th International Conference on the Structural design of Asphalt Pavements, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, 1977.

Appendix A: Strains Induced by a Single Axle Load

TABLE A.1
STRAIN (IN/IN) AT TOP OF SUBGRADE FOR 4 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD, SINGLE AXLE, TIRE LOAD, P=3,250 LBS

			222 221	
		E(BASE) = 1		
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.009728	0.016140	0.020790
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.004972	0.008420	0.010950
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.002509	0.004300	0.005626
		E(BASE) = 5	,000 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.008072	0.012150	0.014960
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.004522	0.007160	0.009024
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.002400	0.003951	0.005067
		E(BASE) = 1	0,000 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.006742	0.009567	0.011460
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.004036	0.006076	0.007478
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI			0.004512
		E(BASE) = 2	0,000 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.005280	0.007051	0.008205
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.003371	0.004784	0.005729
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.002018	0.003038	0.003739
	E(BASE) = 30,000 PSI			
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.004464		0.006598
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.002943		0.004745
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001831	0.002665	0.003229
NOTE:	E(BASE) = ACCRE	DATE EL ACT	IC MODULLIO	1

NOTE: E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS E(SG) = SUBGRADE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE A.2 STRAIN (IN/IN) AT TOP OF SUBGRADE FOR 4 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD, SINGLE AXLE, TIRE LOAD, P=3,750 LBS

		E(BASE) = 1		
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.009654	0.016570	0.021810
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.004904	0.008605	0.011450
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.002466	0.004383	0.005868
		E(BASE) = 5	,000 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.008254	0.012750	0.015950
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.004553	0.007430	0.009543
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.002392	0.004065	0.005324
		E(BASE) = 1	0,000 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.007023	0.010170	0.012350
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.004127	0.006375	0.007977
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.002276	0.003715	0.004771
		E(BASE) = 2	0,000 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.005605	0.007600	0.008937
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.003511	0.005086	0.006174
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.002064	0.003188	0.003989
		E(BASE) = 3	0,000 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.004787	0.006258	0.007229
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.003100	0.004319	0.005145
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001893	0.002818	0.003465
NOTE:	F(BASE) = AGGRE	CATE ELAST	IC MODULIES	

NOTE: E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS E(SG) = SUBGRADE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE A.3
STRAIN (IN/IN) AT TOP OF SUBGRADE FOR 4 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD, SINGLE AXLE, TIRE LOAD, P=4,250 LBS

	E(DAOE) = 4 000 DCI			
		E(BASE) = 1		
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.009526	0.016840	0.022580
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.004812	0.008704	0.011810
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.002413	0.004422	0.006043
		E(BASE) = 5	000 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.008374	0.013230	
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.004552	0.007625	0.009960
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI			0.005522
		E(BASE) = 1	0,000 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.007249	0.010690	
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.004187	0.006614	0.008396
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI			0.004980
		E(BASE) = 2	0,000 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.005888	0.008087	0.009597
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.003624	0.005343	
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.002093	0.003307	0.004198
	E(BASE) = 30,000 PSI			
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.005078	0.006708	
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.003233	0.004571	0.005502
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001939	0.002944	0.003667
NOTE:	E(BASE) - ACCES	OATE ELACT	TO MODULIN	

NOTE: E(BASE) = AGG

E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE A.4
STRAIN (IN/IN) AT TOP OF SUBGRADE FOR 8 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD, SINGLE AXLE, TIRE LOAD, P=3,250 LBS

E(BASE) = 1,000 PSI			
TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.006687	0.008223	0.009011
E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.003527	0.004376	0.004813
E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001814	0.002262	0.002492
	E(BASE) = 5	,000 PSI	
TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.004859	0.005734	0.006178
E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.002908	0.003506	0.003810
E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001630	0.001996	0.002184
		0,000 PSI	
TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.003779		
E(SG) = 5,000 PSI		0.002867	0.003089
E(SG) = 10,000 PSI			0.001905
		0,000 PSI	
TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.002753		
E(SG) = 5,000 PSI			0.002321
E(SG) = 10,000 PSI			0.001544
E(BASE) = 30,000 PSI			
TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
E(SG) = 2,500 PSI			0.002599
E(SG) = 5,000 PSI			0.001904
E(SG) = 10,000 PSI			0.001318
	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI E(SG) = 5,000 PSI E(SG) = 10,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE E(SG) = 2,500 PSI E(SG) = 5,000 PSI E(SG) = 10,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE E(SG) = 2,500 PSI E(SG) = 5,000 PSI E(SG) = 10,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE E(SG) = 2,500 PSI E(SG) = 5,000 PSI E(SG) = 10,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE E(SG) = 2,500 PSI E(SG) = 10,000 PSI E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	TIRE PRESSURE 40 PSI 0.006687 (SG) = 2,500 PSI 0.003527 0.001814 E(SG) = 10,000 PSI 0.001814 E(BASE) = 5 TIRE PRESSURE 40 PSI E(SG) = 5,000 PSI 0.002908 E(SG) = 10,000 PSI 0.001630 E(BASE) = 1 TIRE PRESSURE 40 PSI E(SG) = 2,500 PSI 0.003779 E(SG) = 5,000 PSI 0.003779 E(SG) = 5,000 PSI 0.001454 E(BASE) = 2 TIRE PRESSURE 40 PSI E(SG) = 2,500 PSI 0.002753 E(SG) = 5,000 PSI 0.001215 E(SG) = 10,000 PSI 0.001215 E(SG) = 10,000 PSI 0.001230 E(SG) = 2,500 PSI 0.001230 E(SG) = 2,500 PSI 0.001230 E(SG) = 5,000 PSI 0.001230 E(SG) = 5,000 PSI 0.001581 E(SG) = 10,000 PSI 0.0010581 E(SG) = 10,000 PSI 0.0010581	TIRE PRESSURE 40 PSI 70 PSI E(SG) = 2,500 PSI 0.006687 0.008223 E(SG) = 5,000 PSI 0.003527 0.004376 E(SG) = 10,000 PSI 0.001814 0.002262 E(BASE) = 5,000 PSI 0.004859 0.005734 E(SG) = 2,500 PSI 0.004859 0.005734 E(SG) = 5,000 PSI 0.001630 0.001996 E(SG) = 10,000 PSI 0.001630 0.001996 E(BASE) = 10,000 PSI 70 PSI E(SG) = 2,500 PSI 0.003779 0.004353 E(SG) = 2,500 PSI 0.003779 0.004353 E(SG) = 5,000 PSI 0.003779 0.004353 E(SG) = 10,000 PSI 0.001454 0.001753 E(BASE) = 20,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40 PSI 70 PSI E(SG) = 2,500 PSI 0.002753 0.003097 E(SG) = 5,000 PSI 0.001215 0.001434 E(BASE) = 30,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40 PSI 70 PSI E(SG) = 10,000 PSI 0.001215 0.001434 E(BASE) = 30,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40 PSI 70 PSI E(SG) = 2,500 PSI 0.001215 0.001434 E(BASE) = 30,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40 PSI 70 PSI E(SG) = 2,500 PSI 0.002230 0.002477 E(SG) = 2,500 PSI 0.002230 0.002477 E(SG) = 5,000 PSI 0.001581 0.001796

NOTE:

E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE A.5
STRAIN (IN/IN) AT TOP OF SUBGRADE FOR 8 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD, SINGLE AXLE, TIRE LOAD, P=3,750 LBS

		E(DAOE) :	000 001	
		E(BASE) = 1		
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PS!	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.007212	0.009072	0.010050
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.003792	0.004819	0.005363
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001947	0.002488	0.002776
		E(BASE) =5	,000 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.005315	0.006381	0.006936
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.003158	0.003884	0.004264
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001760	0.002204	0.002439
		E(BASE) = 1	0,000 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.004168	0.004869	0.005231
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.002658	0.003191	0.003468
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001579	0.001942	0.002132
		E(BASE) = 2	0,000 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.003061	0.003482	0.003697
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.002084	0.002435	0.002616
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001329	0.001595	0.001734
	E(BASE) = 30,000 PSI			
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.002490	0.002792	0.002946
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.001752	0.002015	0.002150
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSi	0.001162	0.001374	0.001483
NOTE:	E(BASE) = ACCEPE	SATE ELAGT	10 1405111116	

NOTE: E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS E(SG) = SUBGRADE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE A.6
STRAIN (IN/IN) AT TOP OF SUBGRADE FOR 8 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD, SINGLE AXLE, TIRE LOAD, P=4,250 LBS

		E(BASE) = 1	,000 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.007664	0.009840	0.011030
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.004018	0.005219	0.005875
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.002059	0.002692	0.003038
		E(BASE) = 5	,000 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.005728	0.006983	0.007654
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.003379	0.004231	0.004691
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI			0.002676
		E(BASE) = 1	E(BASE) = 10,000 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.004527	0.005355	0.005794
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.002864	0.003491	0.003827
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI			0.002345
		E(BASE) = 2		
STRAIN TOP		40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.003350	0.003849	0.004110
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.002264		0.002897
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001432	0.001746	0.001914
		E(BASE) = 3	0,000 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.002747	0.003095	0.003282
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.001912		0.002386
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001258	0.001508	0.001640

NOTE: E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE A.7 STRAIN (IN/IN) AT TOP OF SUBGRADE FOR A 12 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD, SINGLE AXLE, TIRE LOAD, P=3,250

		E(BASE) = 1	,000 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.004278	0.004688	0.004875
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.002264	0.002491	0.002594
	E(SG) = 10,000 PS	0.001166	0.001286	0.001341
		E(BASE) = 5	000 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.003110	0.003274	0.003380
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.001855	0.002003	0.002075
	E(SG) = 10,000 PS	0.001041	0.001139	0.001183
		E(BASE) = 1	0,000 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PS1	0.002403	0.002472	0.002541
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.001555	0.001637	0.001690
	E(SG) = 10,000 PS	0.000928		0.001038
		E(BASE) = 2	0,000 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.001719	0.001753	0.001778
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.001201		
	E(SG) = 10,000 PS			0.000845
		E(BASE) = 3	0,000 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.001371		
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.000996		
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.000675	0.000701	0.000722
NOTE:	E(BASE) = ACCDE	OATE ELACT	FIG MODULE	0

NOTE: E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS E(SG) = SUBGRADE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE A.8
STRAIN (IN/IN) AT TOP OF SUBGRADE FOR A 12 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD, SINGLE AXLE, TIRE LOAD, P=3,750 LBS

		E(BASE) = 1	,000 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.004828	0.005305	0.005545
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.002530	0.002816	0.002949
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001302	0.001453	0.001524
		E(BASE) = 5	000 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PS!	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.003557	0.003719	0.003855
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.002121	0.002271	0.002364
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001180	0.001289	0.001347
		E(BASE) = 1	0,000 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.002750	0.002821	0.002902
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.001778	0.001859	0.001927
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001060	0.001135	0.001182
		E(BASE) = 2	0,000 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.001969	0.002015	0.002034
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.001375	0.001410	0.001451
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.000889	0.000930	0.000964
	E(BASE) = 30,000 PSI			
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.001571		
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.001141	0.001168	0.001188
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.000773	0.000797	0.000824

NOTE: E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE A.9
STRAIN (IN/IN) AT TOP OF SUBGRADE FOR 12 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD, SINGLE AXLE, TIRE LOAD, P=4,250 LBS

		E(BASE) = 1	,000 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.005417	0.005898	0.006197
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.002839	0.003129	0.003294
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001454	0.001614	0.001701
		E(BASE) = 5	,000 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.003994	0.004150	0.004320
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.002529	0.002529	0.002645
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI			0.001505
		E(BASE) = 1	0,000 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.003090	0.003183	0.003257
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.001997	0.002075	0.002160
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI			0.001323
		E(BASE) = 2		
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.002214	0.002274	0.002297
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.001545	0.001592	0.001628
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.000998	0.001037	0.001080
	E(BASE) = 30,000 PSI			
STRAIN TOP	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.001767	0.001812	0.001829
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.001282	0.001319	0.001334
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.000868	0.000895	0.000924

NOTE: E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS E(SG) = SUBGRADE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE A.10
STRAINS INDUCED BY A DUAL SINGLE AXLE LOAD ON 1 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE PAVEMENT, TIRE LOAD = 3,250 LBS/TIRE

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002618		0.005276
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002454	0.004127	0.005092
OT MOTHWE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.002361	0.003858	0.004988
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.005251	0.005975	0.004337
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002730	0.003132	0.003322
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001387	0.001600	0.001701
000010102	2(00) 10,0001 01		=)=5,000 PSI	0.001701
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000826	0.001602	0.002288
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000775	0.001543	0.002226
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000738	0.001500	0.002180
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.004842	0.005635	0.006026
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002916	0.003464	0.003735
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001631	0.001970	0.002138
		E(BASE)	=10,000 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000332	0.000796	0.001259
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000347	0.000809	0.001271
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000356	0.000815	0.001276
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.003890	0.004503	0.004811
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002543	0.003017	0.003256
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001534	0.001861	0.002027
			=20,000 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000029	0.000274	0.000546
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000071	0.000317	0.000589
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000117	0.000347	0.000619
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002879	0.003291	0.003500
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002014	0.002362	0.002540
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001313	0.001581	0.001719
	E(BASE)=30,000			
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	NO TENSION	0.000089	0.000275
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	NO TENSION	0.000142	0.000327
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000026	0.000182	0.000367
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002362	0.002673	0.002833
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001702	0.001976	0.002116
SUBGRADE NOTE:	E(SG) =10,000 PSI E(BASE) = FLASTIC	0.001154	0.001376	0.001492

NOTE:

E(BASE) = ELASTIC MODULUS OF AGGREGATE

E(SG) = ELASTIC MODULUS OF SUBGRADE

TABLE A.11
STRAINS INDUCED BY A DUAL SINGLE AXLE LOAD ON 1INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE PAVEMENT, TIRE LOAD = 3,750 LBS/TIRE

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002754	0.004286	0.005543
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002545	0.004084	0.005333
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.002427	0.003969	0.005215
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.005802	0.006706	0.007143
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.003008	0.003510	0.003752
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001525	0.001791	0.001919
		E(BASI	E)=5,000 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000900	0.001580	0.002301
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000838	0.001514	0.002231
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000793	0.001466	0.002179
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.005317	0.006291	0.006784
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.003180	0.003851	0.004193
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001768		0.002394
			=10,000 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000382		
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000401	0.000763	0.001233
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000412	0.000770	0.001239
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.004283	0.005032	0.005418
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002776	0.003353	
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001662	0.002059	0.002267
			=20,000 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000014		0.000490
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000085	0.000271	0.000538
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000141	0.000306	0.000574
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.003186	0.003686	0.003948
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002210	0.002631	0.002853
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001248	0.001752	0.001924
			=30,000 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	NO TENSION	0.000043	0.000220
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	NO TENSION	0.000102	0.000280
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000026	0.000148	0.000326
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002623	0.003001	0.003199
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001874	0.002205	0.002381
SUBGRADE NOTE:	E(SG) =10,000 PSI E(BASE) = ELASTIC	0.001259	0.001528	0.001671

TABLE A.12 STRAINS INDUCED BY A DUAL SINGLE AXLE LOAD ON A 1 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE PAVEMENT, TIRE LOAD = 4,250 LBS/TIRE

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002998		
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002767		0.005529
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.002637	0.004045	0.005396
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.006307		0.007935
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.003260	0.003865	0.004163
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001650	0.001970	0.002128
		E(BA	\SE)=5,000 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000992	0.001550	0.002299
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000924	0.001477	0.002222
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000875	0.001424	0.002163
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.005749	0.006906	0.007506
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.003414	0.004210	0.004625
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001887	0.002377	0.002635
			SE)=10,000 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000426		0.001175
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000448		0.001191
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000461	0.000726	0.001198
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.004644		0.005997
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002986	0.003666	0.004029
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001774	0.002241	0.002492
			SE)=20,000 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000021	0.000174	0.000434
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000100	0.000229	0.000489
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000163	0.000269	0.000529
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.003472	0.004060	0.004377
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002388	0.002883	0.003151
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001529	0.001909	0.002120
			SE)=30,000 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	NO TENSION		0.000168
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	NO TENSION	0.000066	0.000236
OTDAIN	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000035	0.000118	0.000288
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002868		0.003552
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002033	0.002421	0.002633
SUBGRADE NOTE:	E(SG) =10,000 PSI E(BASE) = FLASTIC	0.001353	0.001668	0.001840

TABLE A.13
STRAINS INDUCED BY A DUAL SINGLE AXLE LOAD ON A 2 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE PAVEMENT, TIRE LOAD = 3,250 LBS/TIRE

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001952	0.002430	0.002805
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001787	0.002275	0.002648
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001690	0.002184	0.002556
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.003308	0.003404	0.003441
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001725	0.001777	0.001798
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000876	0.000904	0.000914
		E(BAS	E)=5,000 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001044	0.001461	0.001768
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000930	0.001345	0.001650
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000850	0.001260	0.001563
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.003422	0.003676	0.003811
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002037	0.002238	0.002332
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001136	0.001262	0.001320
			=10,000 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000675	0.001010	
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000616	0.000948	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000567	0.000896	0.001152
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002935	0.003201	0.003325
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001917	0.002123	0.002220
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001155	0.001298	0.001366
			=20,000 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000349		0.000786
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000337	0.000575	0.000772
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000324	0.000561	0.000758
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002290	0.002499	0.002599
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001608	0.001785	0.001870
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001051	0.001188	0.001254
			=30,000 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000194	0.000378	0.000539
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000201	0.000386	0.000546
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000206	0.000390	0.000551
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001912	0.002084	0.002166
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001388	0.001539	0.001612
SUBGRADE NOTE:	E(SG) =10,000 PSI E(BASE) = FLASTIC	0.000947	0.001070	0.001130

TABLE A.14
STRAINS INDUCED BY A DUAL SINGLE AXLE LOAD ON 2 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE PAVEMENT, TIRE LOAD = 3,750 LBS/TIRE

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002183	0.002636	0.003060
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001996	0.002458	0.002880
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001885	0.002354	0.002774
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.003777	0.003905	0.003955
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001968	0.002039	0.002066
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000999	0.001036	0.001051
			E)=5,000 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001167	0.001553	0.001894
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001028	0.001420	0.001758
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000926	0.001324	0.001659
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.003915	0.004168	0.004340
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002299	0.002531	0.002651
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001266	0.001424	0.001498
			=10,000 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000746		0.001339
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000672		
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000610	0.000927	0.001206
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.003324		
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002139		
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001282		0.001547
			=20,000 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000380		
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000365		
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000348	0.000568	
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002569	0.002829	0.002956
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001794		
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001166		
			=30,000 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000208		
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000216		
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000222	0.000387	
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002147	0.002359	
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001550		
SUBGRADE NOTE:	E(SG) =10,000 PSI E(BASE) = ELASTIC	0.001050		

NOTE: E(BASE) = ELASTIC MODULUS OF AGGREGATE

E(SG) = ELASTIC MODULUS OF SUBGRADE

TABLE A.15
STRAINS INDUCED BY A DUAL SINGLE AXLE LOAD ON 2 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE PAVEMENT, TIRE LOAD = 4,250 LBS/TIRE

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002395	0.002825	0.003297
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002185	0.002625	0.003093
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.002062	0.002508	0.002974
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.004234	0.004401	0.004465
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002205	0.002296	0.002332
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001119		0.001186
			E)=5,000 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001278		0.002006
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001122		0.001854
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000895		0.001742
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.004398		0.004856
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002581	0.002812	0.002960
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001405		0.001671
	E(BASE)=10,000 PSI			
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000817	0.001097	0.001400
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000735		0.001319
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000666		0.001250
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.003740		0.004230
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002368		0.002811
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001401		0.001721
)=20,000 PSI	0.00000
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000416		0.000828
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000399		0.000812
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000381		0.000793
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002894		0.003304
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001969		0.002365
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001272		0.001577
OTD AIN BOTTON	E(00) =0 500 DOL)=30,000 PSI	0.000540
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000227		
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000237		
OTDAIN	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000243		
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002417		
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001703		
SUBGRADE NOTE:	E(SG) =10,000 PSI E(BASE) = ELASTIO	0.001146		

TABLE A.16
STRAINS INDUCED BY A DUAL SINGLE AXLE LOAD ON 3 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE PAVEMENT, TIRE LOAD = 3,250 LBS/TIRE

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001440	0.001639	
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001346	0.001549	0.001731
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001290	0.001495	
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002113	0.002163	0.002183
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001126	0.001154	0.001166
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000580	0.000595	0.000601
			E)=5,000 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000882	0.001086	
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000804	0.001012	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000745		
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002364	0.002423	
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001454	0.001494	
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000824	0.000848	0.000858
)=10,000 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000615		
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000570		
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000530		
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002029		
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001353	0.001388	
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000826		0.000859
)=20,000 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000366		
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000353	0.000514	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000340	0.000501	0.000620
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001559	0.001592	
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001118	0.001144	
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000740		0.000766
			:)=30,000 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000245		
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000244	0.000380	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000242	0.000377	0.000480
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001288	0.001312	
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000955	0.000975	
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000661	0.000676	

TABLE A.17
STRAINS INDUCED BY A DUAL SINGLE AXLE LOAD ON 3 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE PAVEMENT, TIRE LOAD = 3,750 LBS/TIRE

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSi			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001620	0.001807	0.002017
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001512	0.001704	0.001913
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001448	0.001642	0.001850
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002418	0.002484	0.002511
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001288	0.001325	0.001340
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000663	0.000683	0.000691
		E(BASE	E)=5,000 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000989	0.001180	0.001364
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000899	0.001096	0.001278
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000832	0.001032	0.001213
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002704	0.002780	0.002813
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001661	0.001714	0.001735
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000940	0.000973	0.000986
		E(BASE)	=10,000 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000689	0.000868	0.001029
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000637	0.000818	0.000979
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000592	0.000776	0.000936
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002322	0.002385	0.002410
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001546	0.001594	0.001612
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000944	0.000975	0.000988
			=20,000 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000406	0.000557	0.000690
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000390	0.000542	0.000675
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000373	0.000526	0.000659
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001786	0.001829	0.001846
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001278	0.001313	0.001327
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000846	0.000872	0.000881
			=30,000 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000266	0.000395	0.000508
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000265	0.000394	0.000507
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000262	0.000392	0.000505
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001476	0.001509	0.001521
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001093	0.001121	0.001131
SUBGRADE NOTE:	E(SG) =10,000 PSI E(BASE) = FLASTIC	0.000755	0.000776	0.000788

TABLE A.18
STRAINS INDUCED BY A DUAL SINGLE AXLE LOAD ON 3 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE PAVEMENT, TIRE LOAD = 4250 LBS/TIRE

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001788	0.001966	0.002202
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001667	0.001849	0.002084
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001595	0.001780	0.002014
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002717	0.002802	0.002836
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001446	0.001494	0.001514
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000745	0.000770	0.000781
		E(BASE	E)=5,000 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001087	0.001269	0.001472
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000987	0.001172	0.001375
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000912	0.001101	0.001302
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.003036	0.003137	0.003177
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001863	0.001932	0.001959
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001054	0.001096	0.001113
			=10,000 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000756		0.001101
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000697	0.000867	0.001044
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000647	0.000819	0.000996
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002609	0.002690	0.002722
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001736		0.001821
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001058	0.001099	0.001115
	E(BASE)=20,000 PSI			
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000444	0.000583	0.000728
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000426	0.000566	0.000710
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000408	0.000548	0.000692
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PS!	0.002008	0.002064	0.002086
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001436	0.001482	0.001499
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000950	0.000983	0.000996
OTD 4 11 1 DOTT 6 14	E(BASE)=30,000 PSI			
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000290	0.000407	0.000529
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000289	0.000406	0.000528
CTDAIN	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000286	0.000403	0.000526
STRAIN	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001661	0.001704	0.001720
BOTTOM OF	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001229	0.001265	0.001278
SUBGRADE NOTE:	E(SG) =10,000 PSI E(BASE) = ELASTIC	0.000848	0.000876	0.000886

Appendix B: Loads to Rutting and Fatigue Failure for a Single Axle Load

TABLE B.1 STRAIN AT TOP OF SUBGRADE FOR A 4 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD, TANDEM AXLE, TIRE LOAD OF 4,250 LB./TIRE

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.011480		0.025260
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.005765		
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.003703	0.005030	
	E(BASE)=5,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.008354		0.016770
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.000554		0.009947
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.004343		0.009947
		10,000 PSI	0.004740	0.000193
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.007228		0.013100
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.007228	0.010600	
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.004177	0.003807	0.006364
		0.003007	0.004974	
	E(BASE)=20,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI			
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.007657	0.010090	0.011740
	• •			
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.004587	0.006466 0.003905	0.007777 0.004853
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.002593	0.003905	0.004653
	E(BASE)=30,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.005060		0.009745
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.003222	0.004561	0.005492
110.75	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001934	0.002938	0.003661
NOTE: E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS				

TABLE B.2 STRAIN AT TOP OF SUBGRADE FOR A 4 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD, TANDEM AXLE, TIRE LOAD OF 3,750 LB./TIRE.

	F/PACE) 4 000 PCI				
	E(BASE) = 1,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.009640	0.016550	0.021780	
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.004897	0.008595	0.011440	
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.002463		0.005862	
	E(BASE) =5,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.008237	0.012730	0.015940	
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.004545	0.007420	0.009532	
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.002388		0.005318	
	E(BASE) = 10,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.007005	0.010150	0.012330	
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.004118	0.006365	0.007969	
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.002272		0.004766	
	E(BASE) = 20,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.005587	0.007582	0.008919	
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.003502	0.005076	0.006164	
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.002059	0.003183	0.003985	
	E(BASE) = 30,000 PSI				
//	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.004770	0.006240	0.007212	
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.003091	0.004309	0.005136	
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001888	0.002813	0.003460	

NOTE:

E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE B.3
STRAIN AT TOP OF SUBGRADE FOR A 4 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD, TANDEM AXLE, TIRE LOAD OF 3,250 LB./TIRE.

				
	E(BASE) = 1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.009714	0.016120	0.020770
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.004965	0.008410	0.010940
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.002506	0.004296	0.005612
	E(BASE) =5,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.008056	0.012130	0.014940
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.004515	0.007151	0.009015
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.002400	0.003946	0.005062
	E(BASE) = 10,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.006726	0.009553	0.011440
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.004028	0.006066	0.007470
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.002258	0.003575	0.004508
	E(BASE) = 20,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.005265	0.007036	0.008190
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.003363	0.004776	0.005721
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.002014	0.003033	0.003735
	E(BASE) = 30,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.004448	0.005476	0.006583
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.002935	0.004022	0.004737
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001827	0.002660	0.003225
NOTE:	E/PACE) - ACCREC	ATE EL ACT		

NOTE:

E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE B.4 STRAIN AT TOP OF SUBGRADE FOR AN 8 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD, TANDEM AXLE, TIRE LOAD OF 3,250 LB./TIRE

	E(BASE) = 1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.006657	0.008193	0.008981
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.003512	0.004361	0.004798
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001806	0.002254	0.002485
		E(BASE) =	5,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.004828	0.005704	0.006147
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.002893	0.003491	0.003795
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001622	0.001989	0.002177
		E(BASE) =	10,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.003746	0.004321	0.004609
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.002414	0.002852	0.003074
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001446	0.001745	0.001898
		E(BASE) =	20,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.002718	0.003062	0.003233
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.001873	0.002160	0.002305
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001207	0.001426	0.001537
		E(BASE) =	30,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.002200	0.002443	0.002565
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.001564	0.001779	0.001886
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001050	0.001223	0.001310
NOTE:	E(RASE) = ACCRE	DATE ELAC	TIO MODIL	LIC

TABLE B.5 STRAIN AT TOP OF SUBGRADE FOR AN 8 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD, TANDEM AXLE, TIRE LOAD OF 3,750 LB./TIRE.

		E/DAOE'	4 000 DC:	
		E(BASE) =		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.007177	0.009038	0.010020
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.003775	0.004802	0.005346
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001938	0.002479	0.002767
		E(BASE) =	5,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.005280	0.006346	0.006901
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.003141	0.003866	0.004247
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001752	0.002196	0.002430
		E(BASE) =	10,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.004130	0.004831	0.005193
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.002640	0.003173	0.003450
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001570	0.001933	0.002124
		E(BASE) =	20,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.003020	0.003441	0.003657
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.002065	0.002416	0.002597
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001320	0.001586	0.001725
		E(BASE) =	30,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.002450	0.002753	0.002907
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.001732	0.001995	0.002130
:	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001153	0.001364	0.001474
NOTE:	E(BASE) = AGGRE	CATE ELAC	TIC MODIL	US

NOTE:

TABLE B.6 STRAIN AT TOP OF SUBGRADE FOR 8 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD TANDEM AXLE, TIRE LOAD = 4250 LB./TIRE

		E(BASE) = 1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.008540	0.010770	0.011970	
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.004445	0.005666	0.006328	
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.002267	0.002909	0.003253	
		E(BASE) =	5,000 PSI		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.006602	0.007911	0.008607	
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.003826	0.004705	0.005177	
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.002094	0.002627	0.002914	
		E(BASE) =			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.005337	0.006211	0.006671	
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.003301	0.003956	0.004304	
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001913	0.002353	0.002589	
		E(BASE) =	20,000 PSI		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.004068	0.004587	0.004864	
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.002668	0.003105	0.003335	
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001650	0.001978	0.002152	
			30,000 PSI		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.002700	0.003757	0.003956	
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.002288	0.002618	0.002791	
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001469	0.001731	0.001869	
NOTE:	E(BASE) = AGGREG	DATE ELAC	TIC MODIL	211	

TABLE B.7 STRAIN AT TOP OF SUBGRADE FOR A 12 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD, TANDEM AXLE, TIRE LOAD OF 4,250 LB./TIRE

f	E(BASE) = 1,000 PSI			
	TIDE DECOURE			400 001
		40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.005961	0.006390	0.006692
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.003107	0.003367	0.003534
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001586	0.001730	0.001818
		E(BASE) = 5	,000	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.004511	0.004653	0.004811
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.002651	0.002780	0.002898
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001460	0.001558	0.001630
		E(BASE) = 1	0,000	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.003563	0.003667	0.003710
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.002256	0.002326	0.002406
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001325	0.001390	0.001449
		E(BASE) = 2	20,000	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.002040	0.002183	0.002227
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.001782	0.001833	0.001855
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001128	0.001163	0.001203
		E(BASE) = 3	0,000	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.001750	0.002208	0.002227
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.001503	0.001544	0.001559
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI		0.001021	0.001042
NOTE:	F(BASE) = AGGRE		IC MODILIELIS	

NOTE: E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE B.8 STRAIN AT TOP OF SUBGRADE FOR A 12 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD, TANDEM AXLE, TIRE LOAD OF 3,750 LB./TIRE

	E(BASE) = 1,000 PSI			
	TIDE DDECCLIDE		70 PSI	100 DCI
		40 PSI		100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.004783		0.005502
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.002508		
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001291	0.001443	0.001513
		E(BASE) = 5,00	00 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.003507	0.003670	0.003806
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.002097	0.002247	0.002341
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001168	0.001278	0.001336
		E(BASE) = 10	,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.002703	0.002774	0.002856
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.001753	0.001835	0.001903
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001049	0.001124	0.001170
		E(BASE) = 20	000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.001937	0.001983	0.002002
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.001352	0.001387	0.001428
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.000877	0.000918	
		E(BASE) = 30	000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.001550	0.001588	0.001600
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.001121	0.001149	0.001168
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI			
NOTE:	E(BASE) = AGGRE			

NOTE:

E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE B.9 STRAIN AT TOP OF SUBGRAGE FOR 12 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD, TANDEM AXLE, TIRE LOAD OF 3,250 LB./TIRE.

	E(BASE) = 1,000 PSI			
	TIDE ODEOGUES			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.004185	0.004650	0.004837
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.002245	0.002472	0.002576
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001157	0.001277	0.001332
	E(BASE) =5,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.003067	0.003232	0.003338
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.001835	0.001983	0.002055
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.001031	0.001129	0.001174
		E(BASE) = 1	0,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.002363	0.002432	0.002501
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.001533	0.001616	0.001669
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.000917	0.000991	0.001028
		E(BASE) = 2	0,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.001692	0.001725	0.001750
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.001180	0.001216	0.001250
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.000767	0.000808	0.000834
		E(BASE) = 3	0,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.001360	0.001381	0.001390
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	0.000979	0.000999	0.001022
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.000665	0.000691	0.000711
NOTE:	E(BASE) = AGGREG	SATE FLAST	IC MODULUS	

E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE B.10
STRAINS INDUCED BY A TANDEM AXLE LOAD ON A 1 INCH ASPHALT
CONCRETE PAVEMENT, TIRE LOAD = 3,250 LBS/TIRE, E=150,000 PSI

		E(BASE)	=1,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002614	0.004125	0.005273
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002450	0.003947	0.005090
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.002358	0.003847	0.004986
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.005232	0.005956	0.006298
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002722	0.003124	0.003315
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001384	0.001597	0.001697
			=5,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000830	0.001599	0.002286
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000777		
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000739	0.001495	0.002175
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.004808	0.005601	0.005993
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002902	0.003450	0.003721
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI		0.001963	0.002132
			10,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000342	0.000800	0.001263
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000346	0.000809	0.001271
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000360	0.000812	0.001273
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.003852	0.004466	0.004774
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002526	0.003000	0.003239
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001527	0.001854	0.002019
	TIDE DECOURE		20,000 PSI	400 DOI
CTDAIN DOTTOR	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000024	0.000263	0.000535
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000077	0.000310 0.000343	0.000582
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =10,000 PSI E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000122 0.002842	0.000343	0.000615 0.003463
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002642	0.003253	
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001996	0.002344	0.002522 0.001711
	L(33) - 10,000 P31		30,000 PSI	0.001711
	TIRE PRESSURE			100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000096		
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000090		0.000202
OI AOFIIALI	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.000009	0.000134	0.000320
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000022		0.000363
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002328	0.002039	
OI GODGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001064		0.002096
NOTE:	E(BASE) = AGGRE			

TABLE B.11
STRAINS INDUCED BY A TANDEM AXLE LOAD ON A 1 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE PAVEMENT, TIRE LOAD = 3,750 LBS/TIRE, E=150,000 PSI

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002749	0.004274	0.005539
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002542	0.004073	0.005331
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.002424	0.003959	0.005212
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.005780	0.006685	0.007121
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002999	0.003501	0.003743
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001522	0.001787	0.001915
			=5,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000906	0.001578	0.002298
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000842	0.001511	0.002226
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000795	0.001461	0.002172
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.005278		
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.003163	0.003835	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001761	0.002175	0.002387
			10,000 PSI	100 001
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000395		0.001224
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000408		
CTDAIN TOD	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000417	0.000769	0.001236
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.004239	0.004989	0.005375
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002757	0.003334	0.003634
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001654	0.002051 20,000 PSI	0.002258
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000036	0.000210	0.000477
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000030	0.000210	0.000518
O, AOI HALI	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000037	0.000234	0.000517
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.003143	0.003643	0.003904
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002189		0.002833
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001418	0.001743	0.001914
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		30,000 PSI	-
	TIRE PRESSURE		70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000109		
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000079	0.000094	0.000271
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000045	0.000143	0.000321
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002583	0.002961	0.003159
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001853	0.002184	0.002360
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI			
NOTE:	E(BASE) = AGGRE	GATE ELAS	TIC MODU	LUS

TABLE B.12
STRAINS INDUCED BY A TANDEM AXLE LOAD ON A 1 INCH ASPHALT
CONCRETE PAVEMENT, TIRE LOAD = 4,250 LBS/TIRE, E=150,000 PSI

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002993	0.004386	0.005747
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002764	0.004162	0.005514
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.002635	0.004036	0.005381
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.006282	0.007373	0.007911
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.003250	0.003855	0.004153
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001646	0.001966	0.002124
			=5,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000999	0.001550	0.002295
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000929	0.001476	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000878	0.001421	0.002156
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.005705	0.006862	0.007463
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.003395	0.004191	0.004607
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001879	0.002369	0.002627
			10,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000441	0.000708	0.001179
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000457	0.000721	0.001190
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000466	0.000726	0.001194
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.004594	0.005480	0.005948
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002964	0.003644	0.004007
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001764	0.002231	0.002482
_			20,000 PSI	400 501
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000091	0.000160	0.000420
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000114	0.000220	0.000480
OTDAIN TOD	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000171	0.000267	0.000524
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.003423	0.004012	0.004328
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002364	0.002859	0.003127
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001519	0.001898	0.002105
	TIDE DDECCUDE		30,000 PSI	100 DCI
CTDAIN BOTTOM	TIRE PRESSURE			100 PSI 0.000151
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000120		0.000151
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000087 0.000049	0.000088 0.000112	0.000226
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	0.000049	0.000112	0.000282
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002023		0.003506
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI E(SG) =10,000 PSI			0.002609
NOTE:	E(BASE) = AGGRE			

NOTE: E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE B.13
STRAINS INDUCED BY A TANDEM AXLE LOAD ON A 1 INCH ASPHALT
CONCRETE PAVEMENT, TIRE LOAD = 3,250 LBS/TIRE, E=1,000,000 PSI

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001088	0.001376	0.001593
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000989	0.001282	0.001498
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000930	0.001227	0.001442
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.003437	0.003538	0.003577
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001784	0.001839	0.001860
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000903	0.000932	0.000947
)=5,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000617	0.000871	0.001056
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000540	0.000789	0.000972
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000482	0.000728	0.000910
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.003626	0.003970	0.004130
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002172	0.002409	0.002520
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001206	0.001353	0.001422
			=10,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000428	0.000638	0.000798
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000379	0.000585	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000337	0.000540	0.000697
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.003172	0.003493	0.003645
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002068	0.002316	0.002433
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001241	0.001412	0.001494
	TIDE DESCRIPE		=20,000 PSI	400 DO
OTDAIN BOTTON	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000253	0.000410	
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000232	0.000387	0.000516
OTE AIN TOE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000210	0.000364	0.000492
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002475	0.002730	0.002853
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001743	0.001959	0.002063
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001141	0.001307	0.001388
	TIDE ODECCUDE		=30,000 PSI	400 DCI
OTDAIN BOTTON	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000166		0.000401
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000157		
OTDAIN TOD	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000146		
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002051		
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001497		0.001771 0.001251
NOTE:	E(SG) =10,000 PSI E(BASE) = AGGREC	0.001026	0.001177	

NOTE: E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE B.14
STRAINS INDUCED BY A TANDEM AXLE LOAD ON A 1 INCH ASPHALT
CONCRETE PAVEMENT, TIRE LOAD = 3,750 LBS/TIRE, E=1,000,000 PSI

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001214	0.001490	0.001736
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001101	0.001383	0.001627
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001034	0.001320	0.001562
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.003923	0.004059	0.004111
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002035	0.002109	0.002138
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001030	0.001069	0.001083
)=5,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000688		
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000590		0.001034
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000518	0.000762	0.000963
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.004110		0.004697
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002420		
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001338		0.001611
			=10,000 PSI	1400 501
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000473		
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000411	0.000608	
0704111700	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000358		0.000729
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.003546		
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002299		
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001372	0.001585 =20,000 PSI	0.001689
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000279		
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000279		
OF ASPHALI	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.000235		
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002767		
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001936	•	
OI GODGIVADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001259		
	2(00) 10,0001 01		=30,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000185		
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000173		
. ,	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000160		1
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002294		
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001664		
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001133		
NOTE:	E(BASE) = AGGREC			

TABLE B.15
STRAINS INDUCED BY A TANDEM AXLE LOAD ON A 1 INCH ASPHALT
CONCRETE PAVEMENT, TIRE LOAD = 4,250 LBS/TIRE, E=1,000,000 PSI

			E(BASE)=1,	000 PSI
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001332	0.001592	0.001869
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001206	0.001472	0.001746
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001131	0.001401	0.001673
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.004396	0.004574	0.004641
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002280	0.002376	0.002413
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001153	0.001204	0.001223
			E(BASE)=5,	000 PSI
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000753	0.000972	0.001197
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000644	0.000867	0.001089
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000563	0.000790	0.001009
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.004617	0.004996	0.005249
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002680	0.003015	0.003190
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001461	0.001685	0.001794
	E(BASE)=10,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000518	0.000694	0.000884
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000449	0.000627	0.000814
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000390	0.000569	0.000754
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.003956	0.004380	0.004622
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002514	0.002886	0.003070
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001493	0.001749	0.001876
			E(BASE)=20	·
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000307	0.000429	0.000576
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000277	0.000400	0.000546
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000247	0.000370	0.000514
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.003057	0.003423	0.003614
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002117	0.002437	0.002599
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001367	0.001613	0.001738
			E(BASE)=30	
				100 PSI
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000203	0.000294	0.000415
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000191	0.000282	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000176	0.000266	
		0 000000	0 000004	0.000004
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002533	0.002834	0.002991
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI E(SG) =5,000 PSI E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.002533 0.001820 0.001230		0.002991 0.002230 0.001565

NOTE: E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE B.16
STRAINS INDUCED BY A TANDEM AXLE LOAD ON A 2 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE PAVEMENT, TIRE LOAD = 3,250 LBS/TIRE, E=150,000 PSI

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001942	0.002421	0.002795	
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001781	0.002270	0.002642	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001685	0.002069	0.002470	
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.003290	0.003386	0.003423	
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001719	0.001772	0.001792	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000874	0.000902	0.000912	
			E)=5,000 PSI		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001037	0.001452	0.001762	
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000927			
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000848			
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.003385		0.003774	
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002022			
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001130		0.001314	
		E(BASE)=10,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000671	0.001005		
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000615			
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000567			
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002894			
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001899		0.002202	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001147	0.001290	0.001358	
)=20,000 PSI		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000351	0.000589	0.000787	
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000339		0.000773	
0.75.411.765	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000325	0.000561	0.000758	
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002253	0.002462	0.002562	
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001588	0.001765	0.001850	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001042	0.001179	0.001245	
			=30,000 PSI		
				100 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000201	0.000384		
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000206	0.000389	0.000549	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000208	0.000391	0.000552	
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001881	0.002052	0.002135	
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001368	0.001519	0.001592	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000937	0.001060	0.001120	
NOTE:	E(BASE) = AGGREC	ATE EL AST	IC MODULIUS	•	

TABLE B.17 STRAINS INDUCED BY A TANDEM AXLE LOAD ON A 2 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE PAVEMENT, TIRE LOAD = 3,750 LBS/TIRE, E=150,000 PSI

		F/RAS	E)=1,000 PS	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002172		
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002172		
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001880		
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.003756		
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.003730		
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001901		
	_(00) 10,000 1 01		E)=5,000 PSI	0.001040
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001159	0.001543	
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001024		
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000923		
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.003872	0.004125	
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002282	0.002514	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001259	0.001417	
		E(BASE)=10,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000742	0.001051	0.001333
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000671	0.000983	0.001263
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000610	0.000925	0.001203
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.003277	0.003577	0.003737
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002118	0.002375	0.002499
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001274	0.001452	0.001538
)=20,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000383	0.000600	0.000811
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000367	0.000584	0.000795
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000350	0.000568	0.000778
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002526		0.002913
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001771	0.001991	0.002098
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001155	0.001325	0.001409
			=30,000 PSI	
				100 PSI
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000216		0.000549
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000222		0.000554
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000225		0.000557
370 A IV I 70 5				
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002111	0.002323	0.002428
STRAIN TOP OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI E(SG) =5,000 PSI E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.002111 0.001527 0.001039	0.002323 0.001713 0.001191	0.002428 0.001806 0.001267

TABLE B.18
STRAINS INDUCED BY A TANDEM AXLE LOAD ON A 2 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE PAVEMENT, TIRE LOAD = 4,250 LBS/TIRE, E=150,000 PSI

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002381		
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002176		
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.002055		
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.004210	0.004377	0.004442
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002197	3	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001116		0.001183
		E(BASE)=5,000 PSI		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001268		
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001118		
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001006		
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.004349		
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002562		
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001397		0.001663
)=10,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000812		0.001393
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000733		0.001315
CTDAIN TOD	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000666		0.001247
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.003686		0.004177
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.002344		0.002787
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001390 E/RASE	0.001605)=20,000 PSI	0.001711
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000419		0.000829
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000419		
OI AGITIALI	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000402		0.000812
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002845		0.003255
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001942		0.0032339
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001260	0.001463	0.002566
	//)=30,000 PSI	5.55,550
	TIRE PRESSURE		<u> </u>	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000236		
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000243	0.000380	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000246		0.000559
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002377	0.002585	0.002713
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001677	0.001899	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001133	0.001315	0.001408
NOTE:	E(BASE) = AGGREG	ATE ELAST	IC MODULUS	3

TABLE B.19
STRAINS INDUCED BY A TANDEM AXLE LOAD ON A 2 INCH ASPHALT
CONCRETE PAVEMENT, TIRE LOAD = 3,250 LBS/TIRE, E=1,000,000 PSI

STRAIN BOTTOM E(S OF ASPHALT E(S E(S STRAIN TOP E(S OF SUBGRADE E(S	RE PRESSURE SG) =2,500 PSI SG) =5,000 PSI SG) =10,000 PSI SG) =2,500 PSI	40 PSI 0.000570	70 PSI 0.000630	100 PSI
OF ASPHALT E(SE(STRAIN TOP E(SE(STRAIN TOP E(SE(SE(SE(SE(SE(SE(SE(SE(SE(SE(SE(SE(SE	SG) =5,000 PSI SG) =10,000 PSI		0.000630	
STRAIN TOP E(SOF SUBGRADE E(SOF SUBGRADE)	SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000500	0.00000	0.000693
STRAIN TOP E(S		0.000526	0.000589	0.000651
OF SUBGRADE E(S	SG) =2.500 PSI	0.000498	0.000561	0.000623
	, -,	0.001621	0.001656	0.001670
	SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000879	0.000900	0.000908
E(8	SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000458	0.000469	0.000474
	E(BASE)=5,000 PSI			
	RE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM E(S	SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000442	0.000507	0.000566
	SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000387	0.000455	
	SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000342	0.000412	0.000470
,	SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002105	0.002153	0.002172
	SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001298	0.001331	0.001344
E(\$	SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000737	0.000758	0.000766
			=10,000 PSI	
	RE PRESSURE	40 PSI		100 PSI
	SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000369	0.000434	0.000491
•	SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000323	0.000391	0.000446
	SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000281	0.000351	0.000406
· ·	SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002004	0.002048	0.002064
`	SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001319	0.001351	0.001363
E(8	SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000798	0.000820	0.000828
7.5	or porcours.		=20,000 PSI	
	RE PRESSURE		70 PSI	100 PSI
	SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000280	0.000344	0.000395
	SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000250	0.000315	0.000366
	SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000219	0.000286	0.000336
•	SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001715	0.001748	0.001760
,	SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001198	0.001224	0.001237
E(S	SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000778	0.000800	0.000824
-:-	ar pprocupe		=30,000 PSI	400 DOI
				100 PSI
·	SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000224		
	SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000203		0.000313
	SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000181	0.000243	0.000291
	SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001493		0.001532
	SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001074	0.001097	0.001127
	SG) =10,000 PSI BASE) = AGGREG	0.000726	0.000759	0.000784

TABLE B.20
STRAINS INDUCED BY A TANDEM AXLE LOAD ON A 2 INCH ASPHALT
CONCRETE PAVEMENT, TIRE LOAD = 3,750 LBS/TIRE, E=1,000,000 PSI

		E(BASE)=1,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000598	0.000651	0.000716
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000553	0.000607	0.000671
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000523	0.000578	0.000642
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001776	0.001820	0.001838
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000964	0.000990	0.001001
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000502	0.000517	0.000522
		E(BASE)=5,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000471	0.000526	0.000588
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000412		0.000531
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000364	0.000424	0.000484
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002325	0.002387	0.002411
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001436		0.001495
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000817	0.000844	0.000854
	E(BASE)=10,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000397	0.000453	0.000511
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000348	0.000406	0.000463
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000303	0.000363	0.000420
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002229	0.002285	0.002306
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001468	0.001510	0.001526
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000890	0.000918	0.000929
	TIDE DOCASIIDE		=20,000 PSI	100 001
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000306	0.000360	0.000414
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000272	0.000328	0.000381
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000239 0.001922	0.000296	0.000349 0.001982
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI E(SG) =5,000 PSI		0.001966 0.001377	0.001962
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001343 0.000873	0.001377	0.001390
	L(33) - 10,000 F31		=30,000 PSI	0.000910
	TIRE PRESSURE			100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000248		
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000248	0.000300	0.000330
OF AUCHALI	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000224	0.000277	0.000327
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000199		0.000302
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001002		
C. GODGIVADE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001210		0.001237
NOTE:	E(BASE) = AGGREC			

TABLE B.21 STRAINS INDUCED BY A TANDEM AXLE LOAD ON A 2 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE PAVEMENT, TIRE LOAD = 4,250 LBS/TIRE, E=1,000,000 PSI

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000709	0.000772	0.000844
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000653	0.000718	0.000789
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000617	0.000677	0.000753
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002086	0.002147	0.002171
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001130	0.001165	0.001180
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000588	0.000607	0.000615
)=5,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000549	0.000607	0.000682
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000479	0.000539	0.000613
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000421	0.000484	0.000557
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002707	0.002790	0.002823
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001666	0.001723	0.001746
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000944	0.000980	0.000994
		=10,000 PSI		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000458	0.000516	0.000586
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000399	0.000459	0.000528
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000346	0.000408	0.000477
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002579	0.002655	0.002684
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001694	0.001750	0.001771
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001023	0.001061	0.001075
	TIDE DOCOLIDE		=20,000 PSI	400 001
OTDAIN DOTTON	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000346		
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000307	0.000364	0.000428
CTDAIN TOD	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000268	0.000327	0.000389
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002209	0.002268	0.002290
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001540	0.001587	0.001604
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000998	0.001032	0.001054
	TIRE PRESSURE		=30,000 PSI 70 PSI	100 DCI
CTDAIN BOTTOM				100 PSI
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000278		
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000250		0.000361
STRAIN TOR	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000222	0.000275	
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001926	0.001973	0.001990
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001383 0.000933	0.001421 0.000963	0.001445
NOTE:	E(SG) =10,000 PSI E(BASE) = AGGREG			0.001002

E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE B.22 STRAINS INDUCED BY A TANDEM AXLE ON A 3 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE PAVEMENT, TIRE LOAD = 3,250 LBS/TIRE.

E(AC)=150,000 PSI		Ε(BASE)=1000 I	PSI
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001418	0.001619	
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001340		
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001291	0.001497	
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002071	0.002121	0.002141
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001108	0.001136	0.001148
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000572	0.000588	0.000594
		E(I	BASE)=5,000	PSI
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSi
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000865	0.001070	0.001232
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000796	0.001004	0.001165
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000741	0.000952	0.001113
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002323	0.002382	0.002405
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001434		0.001490
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000816		0.000851
		E(B	ASE)=10,000	PSI
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000604	0.000796	0.000940
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000564	0.000758	0.000902
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000528	0.000724	0.000867
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001996	0.002043	0.002061
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001332	0.001367	0.001381
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000817	0.000841	0.000850
			ASE)=20,000	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000362		
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000351	0.000512	0.000632
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000339	0.000499	0.000619
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001542	0.001574	0.001586
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001099	0.001125	0.001135
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000730	0.000749	0.000756
			ASE)=30,000	
		40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000246		0.000484
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000245	0.000380	0.000483
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000243	0.000378	0.000481
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001282	0.001306	0.001315
	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000893	0.000960	0.000968
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000650	0.000665	0.000679
NOTE:	E(BASE) = AGGREG	ATE ELASTI	CMODULUS	

E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE B.23 STRAINS INDUCED BY A TANDEM AXLE ON A 3 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE PAVEMENT, TIRE LOAD = 3,750 LBS/TIRE.

E(AC)=150,000 PSI		E(BASE)=1,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001595	0.001784	0.001993
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001505	0.001698	0.001906
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001450	0.001645	0.001852
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002369	0.002435	0.002462
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001267	0.001304	0.001320
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000654	0.000674	0.000683
	E(BASE)=5,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000969	0.001163	0.001346
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000890	0.001087	0.001270
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000827	0.001028	0.001209
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002656	0.002734	0.002765
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001638	0.001692	0.001713
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000932	0.000964	0.000977
			=10,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000675	0.000855	0.001016
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000630	0.000811	0.000973
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000589	0.000772	0.000933
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002283		0.002371
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001522	0.001569	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000933	0.000964	0.000977
			=20,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000402	0.000553	
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000388	0.000540	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000373	0.000525	0.000658
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001766	0.001809	0.001825
SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001257	0.001292	0.001305
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000834	0.000859	0.000869
			30,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE		70 PSI	100 PSI
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000268	0.000396	0.000509
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000266	0.000395	0.000508
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000263	0.000392	0.000505
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001469	0.001502	0.001514
	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001076	0.001104	0.001114
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000743	0.000764	0.000776
NOTE:	E(BASE) = AGGREGA	TE ELASTIC	MODULUS	

TABLE B.24 STRAINS INDUCED BY A TANDEM AXLE ON A 3 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE PAVEMENT, TIRE LOAD = 4,250 LBS/TIRE.

E(AC)=150,000 PSI		E(BASE)=1,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001761	0.001940	0.002176
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001661	0.001843	0.002077
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001599	0.001783	0.002016
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002661	0.002747	0.002781
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001422		0.001490
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000734	0.000760	0.000771
			:)=5,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001065	0.001248	0.001451
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000977	0.001163	0.001365
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000906	0.001096	0.001297
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002983		
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001838		
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001044	0.001086	0.001103
		E(BASE)	=10,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000740	0.000908	0.001086
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000690	0.000859	0.001037
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000643		0.000992
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.002565		
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001708		0.001793
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.001046		0.001103
			=20,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000439		0.000722
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000424		0.000707
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000407	0.000547	0.000690
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001986		0.002063
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001412	0.001458	0.001475
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000936	0.000969	0.000982
			=30,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000292		
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000290	0.000407	0.000529
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000287	0.000404	0.000526
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001653	0.001696	
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001210	0.001245	0.001259
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000835	0.000862	0.000872
NOTE:	E(BASE) = AGGREGA	TE ELASTIC	MODULUS	

TABLE B.25
STRAINS INDUCED BY A TANDEM AXLE LOAD ON A 3 INCH ASPHALT
CONCRETE PAVEMENT, TIRE LOAD = 3,250 LBS/TIRE, E=1,000,000 PSI

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000334	0.000361	0.000391
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000316	0.000343	0.000373
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000305	0.000332	0.000362
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000889	0.000902	0.000907
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000482	0.000489	0.000492
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000251	0.000255	0.000257
	E(BASE)=5,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000281	0.000309	0.000338
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000257		
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000237	0.000267	0.000295
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001219		0.001248
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000766		0.000788
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000446		0.000460
)=10,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000247		
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000225		0.000282
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000205		0.000263
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001197	0.001218	0.001226
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000805		0.000828
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000503		0.000520
	TIDE DDECOURE)=20,000 PSI	400 DC
OTDAIN BOTTON	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000200		0.000256
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000184		0.000240
CTDAIN TOD	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000169		0.000225 0.001087
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001061	0.001079	
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000755 0.000507	0.000771 0.000519	0.000777
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI		0.000519)=30,000 PSI	0.000524
	TIRE PRESSURE			100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM		0.000160		
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000160		0.000223
OF ASPIALI	E(SG) =5,000 PSI E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000157		0.000212
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	0.000143		0.000200
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000943		0.000900
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000690	0.000704	0.000710
NOTE:	E(BASE) = AGGREC			0.000437

NOTE: E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE B.26
STRAINS INDUCED BY A TANDEM AXLE LOAD ON A 3 INCH ASPHALT
CONCRETE PAVEMENT, TIRE LOAD = 3,750 LBS/TIRE, E=1,000,000 PSI

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000378	0.000403	0.000436	
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000357	0.000382	0.000416	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000344	0.000370	0.000403	
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001021	0.001038	0.001045	
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000553	0.000563	0.000567	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000288		0.000295	
		E(BASE)=5,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000318	0.000344	0.000377	
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000290			
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000268		0.000327	
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001397	0.001425		
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000878			
	E(SG) =10,000 PSi	0.000511	0.000524	0.000529	
	E(BASE)=10,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000278			
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000253	0.000281	0.000312	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000231	0.000259	0.000290	
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001372	0.001400	0.001412	
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000922	0.000944	0.000953	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000576	0.000592	0.000598	
			=20,000 PSI		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000225	0.000253	0.000283	
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000207	0.000236	0.000265	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000189	0.000218	0.000247	
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001217	0.001241	0.001251	
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000865	0.000886	0.000894	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000580	0.000596	0.000603	
			=30,000 PSI		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI		100 PSI	
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000190			
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000176			
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000162	0.000191	0.000219	
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001082	0.001103		
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000791	0.000809		
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000551	0.000565	0.000571	

NOTE:

TABLE B.27
STRAINS INDUCED BY A TANDEM AXLE LOAD ON A 3 INCH ASPHALT
CONCRETE PAVEMENT, TIRE LOAD = 4,250 LBS/TIRE, E=1,000,000 PSI

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000420	0.000451	0.000481
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000397	0.000427	0.000458
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000382	0.000412	0.000443
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001151	0.001173	0.001182
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000623	0.000636	0.000641
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000324	0.000331	0.000334
)=5,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000353	0.000381	0.000414
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000322	0.000349	0.000383
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000296	0.000323	0.000358
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001574	0.001610	0.001624
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) ≈5,000 PSI	0.000988	0.001014	0.001024
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000574	0.000591	0.000598
	E(BASE)=10,000 PS			
İ	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000308	0.000334	0.000369
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000280	0.000306	0.000341
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000255	0.000282	0.000317
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001545	0.001581	0.001596
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.001037	0.001065	0.001077
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000647	0.000667	0.000675
			=20,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
STRAIN BOTTOM	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000249	0.000276	0.000309
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000229	0.000255	0.000288
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000209	0.000236	0.000269
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001370	0.001402	0.001414
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000973	0.001000	0.001010
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000652	0.000672	0.000681
			=30,000 PSI	
			70 PSI	100 PSI
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.000210	0.000236	0.000267
OF ASPHALT	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000194		0.000252
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	0.000179		
STRAIN TOP	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	0.001219		0.001257
OF SUBGRADE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	0.000890		
NOTE:	E(SG) =10,000 PSI E(BASE) = AGGREG	0.000619	0.000638	0.000646

NOTE: E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS

Appendix C: Strains Induced by a Tandem Axle Load

TABLE C.1 LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE FOR A 4 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD CAUSED BY A SINGLE AXLE, WITH TIRE LOAD OF 3250 LBS.

		E(BASE) = 1	,000 PSI	E(BASE) = 1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI			
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	ñ	0	0			
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	29	3	1			
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	614	55	16			
		E(BASE) = 5					
-	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI			
	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	3	1	D			
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	44	6	2			
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	749		26			
	E(BASE) = 10,000 PSI						
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI			
	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	7	2	1			
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	73	12	9			
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	978		44			
		E(BASE) = 2					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI			
	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	22	6	3			
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	163		15			
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	1,629		103			
	E(BASE) = 30,000 PSI						
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI			
LOADS TO		46	15	8			
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	300		35			
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	2,520	468	198			

NOTE: E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE C.2 LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE FOR A 4 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD CAUSED BY A SINGLE AXLE, WITH TIRE LOAD OF 3,750 LBS.

E(BASE) = 1,000 PSI			
TIRE PRESSURE			100 PSI
	1	0	0
	30	2	1
	663	50	14
	E(BASE) =5,	000 PSI	
TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	3	0	0
E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	42	5	2
E(SG) = 10,000 PSI			21
	E(BASE) = 1		
TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	6	1	0
E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	66	9	3
E(SG) = 10,000 PSI			34
TIRE PRESSURE		70 PSI	100 PSI
E(SG) = 2,500 PSI		4	2
E(SG) = 5,000 PSI			
E(SG) = 10,000 PSI			77
E(BASE) = 30,000 PSI			
TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	34	10	5
			6
E(SG) = 10,000 PSI			144
	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI E(SG) = 5,000 PSI E(SG) = 10,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE E(SG) = 2,500 PSI E(SG) = 5,000 PSI E(SG) = 10,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE E(SG) = 2,500 PSI E(SG) = 5,000 PSI E(SG) = 10,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE E(SG) = 2,500 PSI E(SG) = 5,000 PSI E(SG) = 5,000 PSI E(SG) = 5,000 PSI E(SG) = 5,000 PSI E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	TIRE PRESSURE E(SG) = 2,500 PSI E(SG) = 5,000 PSI E(SG) = 10,000 PSI E(BASE) = 5, TIRE PRESSURE E(SG) = 2,500 PSI E(SG) = 2,500 PSI E(SG) = 5,000 PSI E(SG) = 10,000 PSI E(BASE) = 1 TIRE PRESSURE E(BASE) = 1 TIRE PRESSURE E(SG) = 2,500 PSI E(SG) = 2,500 PSI E(SG) = 2,500 PSI E(SG) = 5,000 PSI E(SG) = 5,000 PSI E(SG) = 10,000 PSI E(BASE) = 2 TIRE PRESSURE 40 PSI E(BASE) = 2 TIRE PRESSURE E(SG) = 2,500 PSI E(SG) = 2,500 PSI E(SG) = 5,000 PSI E(SG) = 10,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE E(SG) = 10,000 PSI E(SG) = 34 E(SG) = 5,000 PSI E(SG) = 5,000 PSI E(SG) = 5,000 PSI E(SG) = 5,000 PSI E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI

TABLE C.3 LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE FOR A 4 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD CAUSED BY A SINGLE AXLE, WITH TIRE LOAD OF 4250 LBS.

	E(BASE) = 1,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	2	0	0	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	33	2	1	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	731	48	12	
		E(BASE) =5	,000 PSI		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	3	0	0	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	42	4	1	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	798		18	
	E(BASE) = 10,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	5	1	0	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	62	8	3	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	950		28	
	***	E(BASE) = 2			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	13	3	1	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	118		8	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	1,383		61	
	E(BASE) = 30,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	26		4	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	197		18	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	1,949	300	112	

TABLE C.4 LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE FOR A 8 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD CAUSED BY A SINGLE AXLE, WITH TIRE LOAD OF 3250 LBS.

	E(BASE) = 1,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	8	3	2	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	133	51	33	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	2,627	976	633	
		E(BASE) =5	000 PSI		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	32	15	11:	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	317	137	94	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	4,244	1,711	1,143	
		E(BASE) = 1	0,000 PSI		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	98	52	39	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	709	337	241	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	7,085		2,110	
		E(BASE) = 2	0,000 PSI		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	405	239	187	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	2,186	1,159	870	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	15,851	7,539	5,412	
	E(BASE) = 30,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	1,041	650	524	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	4,867	2,747	2,114	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	29,479	14,948	11,005	
NOTE:	E(BACE) = ACCRECA		MODILLIS		

TABLE C.5 LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE FOR A 8 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD CAUSED BY A SINGLE AXLE, WITH TIRE LOAD OF 3,750 LBS.

		E(BASE) = 1	,000 PSI	E(BASE) = 1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI			
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	5	2	1			
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	96	33	20			
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	1,913	637	390			
		E(BASE) =5					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI			
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	21	9	6			
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	219		57			
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	3,009		697			
	E(BASE) = 10,000 PSI						
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI			
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	63	31	23			
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	474	209	144			
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	4,895	1,935	1,273			
		E(BASE) = 2					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI			
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	252	141	108			
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	1,410	702	509			
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	10,602	4,678	3,216			
	E(BASE) = 30,000 PSI						
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI			
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	635	380	299			
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	3,071	1,640				
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI E(BASE) = AGGREGA	19,360		6,484			

TABLE C.6 LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE FOR A 8 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD CAUSED BY A SINGLE AXLE, WITH TIRE LOAD OF 4,250 LBS.

	E(BASE) = 1,000 PSI			
1	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	4	1	1
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	74	23	14
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	1,489	447	260
		E(BASE) = 5	000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	15	6	4
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	161	59	37
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	2,276		460
		E(BASE) = 1	0,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	43	20	14
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	339	139	92
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	3,609	1,317	831
		E(BASE) = 2	0,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	168	90	67
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	973	458	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	7,586		2,065
	E(BASE) = 30,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	409	239	184
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	2,075	1,056	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	13,562	6,016	4,129

NOTE:

TABLE C.7 LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE FOR A 12 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD CAUSED BY A SINGLE AXLE, WITH TIRE LOAD OF 3,250 LBS.

	E(BASE) = 1,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	56	37	31	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	973	634	528	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSi	19,064	12,287	10,183	
		E(BASE) =5,	,000 PSI		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	234	186	161	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	2,377	1,685	1,438	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	31,700	21,176	17,866	
	E(BASE) = 10,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	745	656	580	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	5,243	4,163	3,609	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	53,172	37,789	32,113	
		E(BASE) = 2	0,000 PSI		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	3,344	3,063	2,874	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	16,696	14,678	12,997	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	117,340	93,343	80,824	
	E(BASE) = 30,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	9,221	8,504	8,262	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	38,613	35,195	31,975	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	220,751	186,708	163,763	

NOTE: E(BASE

TABLE C.8 LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE FOR A 12 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD CAUSED BY A SINGLE AXLE, WITH TIRE LOAD OF 3,750 LBS.

		E(BASE) = 1	,000 PSI		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	33	21	18	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	591	366	297	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	11,624	7,107	5,738	
		E(BASE) =5	000 PSI		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	128	105	89	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	1,303			
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	18,071	12,159	9,981	
	E(BASE) = 10,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	407	363	319	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	2,874	2,354	2,004	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	29,231		17,934	
		E(BASE) = 2			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	1,819	1,640		
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	9,102			
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	64,271		44,805	
	E(BASE) = 30,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	5,007	4,549		
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	21,010	,		
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	120,715	104,945	90,432	

TABLE C.9
LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE FOR A 12 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD
CAUSED BY A SINGLE AXLE, WITH TIRE LOAD OF 4,250 LBS.

	E(BASE) = 1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	19	13	11
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	353	228	181
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	7,085	4,436	3,506
		E(BASE) =5	,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	76	64	54
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	592	592	484
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	10,783		6,070
	E(BASE) = 10,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	241	211	190
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	1,707	1,438	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	17,400		10,819
		E(BASE) = 2		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	1,075	954	912
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	5,396		4,268
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	38,233		26,880
	E(BASE) = 30,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	2,956	2,640	2,532
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	12,460		
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	71,690	62,456	54,081

TABLE C.10 LOADS TO FAILURE BASED ON STRAINS CAUSED BY DUAL SINGLE AXLE, TIRE LOAD = 3,250 LBS/TIRE AND ASPHALT INSTITUTE FAILURE CRITERIA FOR FATIGUE AND RUTTING. 1INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE (E=150,000 PSI).

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	100 PSI		
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	692	154	69
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	856	155	77
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	972	193	83
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	22	13	10
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	420	227	174
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI		4,613	3,506
		E(BASE	E)=5,000 PSI	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	30,845	3,484	1,078
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	38,061	3,942	1,180
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	44,752		
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI		16	12
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI			103
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI			1,257
			=10,000 PSI	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI			7,699
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI			
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI			
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI			33
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI			
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI			1,597
			=20,000 PSI	100.005
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI			
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI			
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI			
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI			
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI			
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	11,194		
	E (0.0) -0 F00 B01)=30,000 PSI	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI			
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI			
DUTTING	E(SG) =10,000 PSI			
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI		1	
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PS			
	E(SG) =10,000 PS	19,969	9,072	0,311

NOTE: E(BASE) = ELASTIC MODULUS OF AGGREGATE E(SG) = ELASTIC MODULUS OF SUBGRADE

TABLE C.11 LOADS TO FAILURE BASED ON STRAINS CAUSED BY DUAL SINGLE AXLE, TIRE LOAD = 3,750 LBS/TIRE AND ASPHALT INSTITUTE FAILURE CRITERIA FOR FATIGUE AND RUTTING. 1 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE (E=150,000 PSI).

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	586	137	59	
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	759	160	67	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	888	176	72	
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	14	7	6	
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	272	136	101	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI			2,041	
		E(BASI	E)=5,000 PSI		
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	23,213	3,646	1,058	
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PS!	29,438	4,196	1,171	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	35,305	4,665	1,266	
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	21	10	7	
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	212	90	61	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI			757	
)=10,000 PSI		
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	389,313		8,539	
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	333,500		8,246	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	305,300		8,116	
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI			19	
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	390		114	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	3,890		967	
			=20,000 PSI		
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI			172,210	
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI			126,130	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI			102,279	
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI		109	80	
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI			345	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI			2,018	
	E(BASE)=30,000 PSI				
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI			2,407,994	
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI			1,086,531	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI			655,756	
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI		275	206	
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI			776	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	13,514	5,671	3,797	

NOTE: E(BASE) = ELASTIC MODULUS OF AGGREGATE E(SG) = ELASTIC MODULUS OF SUBGRADE

TABLE C.12 LOADS TO FAILURE BASED ON STRAINS CAUSED BY DUAL SINGLE AXLE, TIRE LOAD = 4,250 LBS/TIRE AND ASPHALT INSTITUTE FAILURE CRITERIA FOR FATIGUE AND RUTTING. 1 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE (E=150,000 PSI).

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	443	126	52
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	577	149	59
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	676	165	64
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	10	5	4
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	190	88	63
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	4,018	1,815	1,284
		E(BAS	E)=5,000 PSI	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	16,864	3,884	1,061
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	21,326	4,552	1,187
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	25,496	5,134	1,297
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	15	7	5
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	154	60	40
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	2,201	782	493
)=10,000 PSI	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	271,785	,	9,663
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	231,494		9,243
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	210,678	47,064	9,066
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	39	18	12
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	281	112	73
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	2,904	1,018	633
)=20,000 PSI	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	###########		255,847
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	31,897,922		172,674
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	6,392,644		133,326
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	143	71	51
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	766	329	221
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	5,654	2,090	1,306
EATION E	E(0.0) -0.500 DOL		=30,000 PSI	5 000 570
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI		O TENSION	5,868,570
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	O TENSION	######################################	
DUTTING	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	##########		
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	337	177	129
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,576		494
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	9,784	3,828	2,465

TABLE C.13
LOADS TO FAILURE BASED ON STRAINS CAUSED BY DUAL
SINGLE AXLE, TIRE LOAD = 3,250 LBS/TIRE AND ASPHALT
INSTITUTE FAILURE CRITERIA FOR FATIGUE AND RUTTING.
2 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE (E=150,000 PSI).

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,818	884	551
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	2,432	1,098	666
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	2,922	1,256	749
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	178	156	149
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	3,292	2,882	2,734
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	68,730	59,775	56,729
		E(BASE	E)=5,000 PSI	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	14,259	4,718	2,519
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	20,861	6,194	3,161
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	28,070		3,778
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	153		94
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,562		852
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	21,428		10,930
			=10,000 PSI	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	59,835		7,521
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	80,800		8,894
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	106,064		10,313
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	304		174
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	2,051	1,298	1,062
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	19,892		9,374
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI		=20,000 PSI	36,350
FAILURE	E(SG) =2,300 PSI E(SG) =5,000 PSI	526,016 589,674	94,477 101,520	
PAILORE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	669,164	110,034	38,435 40,873
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	924	625	524
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	4,511	2,824	2,292
ALONE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	30,370	17,531	13,757
	2(00) 10,0001 01		=30,000 PSI	10,707
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	3,638,621	403,029	125,745
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	3,205,962	377,807	120,225
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	2,971,580	363,964	117,092
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	2,075	1,410	1,186
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	8,726	5,491	4,461
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	48,527	28,025	21,943

TABLE C.14
LOADS TO FAILURE BASED ON STRAINS CAUSED BY DUAL
SINGLE AXLE, TIRE LOAD OF 3,750 LBS/TIRE AND ASPHALT
INSTITUTE FAILURE CRITERIA FOR FATIGUE AND RUTTING.
2 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE (E=150,000 PSI)

		E(BAS	E)=1,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,258	677	414
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,690	852	506
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	2,040	982	572
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	98	84	80
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,823	1,555	1,466
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	38,130	32,392	30,370
		E(BASE	E)=5,000 PSI	_
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	9,883	3,859	2,008
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	15,002		2,566
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	21,197	6,524	3,105
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	83	63	53
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	908	590	479
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	13,182	7,779	6,198
			=10,000 PSI	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	43,020	The state of the s	6,286
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	60,659	· ·	7,540
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	83,399		8,870
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	174	118	97
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,255	754	602
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	12,460		5,365
			=20,000 PSI	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	397,468		32,883
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	454,652		34,970
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	530,006		37,437
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	552	358	294
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	2,761	1,644	1,300
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	19,064	10,390	7,903
			=30,000 PSI	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	2,901,502	421,074	122,870
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	2,530,967		116,673
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	2,337,239		113,256
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,234	809	665
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	5,319	3,200	2,532
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	30,500	16,634	12,636

TABLE C.15 LOADS TO FAILURE BASED ON STRAINS CAUSED BY DUAL SINGLE AXLE, TIRE LOAD = 4250 LBS/TIRE AND ASPHALT INSTITUTE FAILURE CRITERIA FOR FATIGUE AND RUTTING. 2 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE (E=150,000 PSI).

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	928	539	324
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,255	686	400
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,518	797	455
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	59	49	46
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,095	913	852
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	22,927	18,991	17,664
		E(BASE	E)=5,000 PSI	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	7,329	3,264	1,662
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	11,248	4,472	2,154
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	23,651	5,733	2,644
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	50	39	32
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	540	368	292
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	8,262	4,908	3,797
			=10,000 PSI	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	31,939		5,429
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	45,316		6,605
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	62,630		
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	102		59
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	795		369
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	8,368		3,327
			=20,000 PSI	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	295,734		
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	338,759		32,657
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	394,384		
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	323	222	179
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,819		800
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	12,905		4,922
	4		=30,000 PSI	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	2,174,992		123,019
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,886,842		115,979
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,735,216		112,059
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	725		
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	3,487	2,013	1,559
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	20,602	10,638	7,878

TABLE C.16
LOADS TO FAILURE BASED ON STRAINS CAUSED BY DUAL
SINGLE AXLE, TIRE LOAD =3,250 LBS/TIRE AND ASPHALT
INSTITUTE FAILURE CRITERIA FOR FATIGUE AND RUTTING.
3 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE (E=150,000 PSI).

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	4,948	3,232	2,285
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	6,179	3,892	2,700
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	7,107	4,374	2,997
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,325	1,193	1,145
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	22,295	19,969	19,064
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	436,355	388,568	371,204
		E(BAS	E)=5,000 PSI	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	24,873	12,523	7,925
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	33,753	15,797	9,718
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	43,325	19,012	11,449
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	801	717	688
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	7,085	6,273	5,980
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	90,679	79,508	75,554
		E(BASE)=10,000 PSI	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	81,320	33,273	19,417
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	104,722	39,895	22,670
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	132,418	46,957	26,040
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,590	1,432	1,377
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	9,784	8,726	8,342
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	89,260	78,672	74,965
)=20,000 PSI	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	449,358		68,784
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	506,170		
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	573,829		
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	5,182		
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	23,019		20,047
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	146,194		125,156
)=30,000 PSI	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,682,180		· ·
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,695,809		
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,742,293		
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	12,202		10,856
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	46,643		41,012
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	243,815	219,874	200,822

TABLE C.17 LOADS TO FAILURE BASED ON STRAINS CAUSED BY DUAL SINGLE AXLE, TIRE LOAD = 3,750 LBS/TIRE AND ASPHALT INSTITUTE FAILURE CRITERIA FOR FATIGUE AND RUTTING. 3-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE, E=150,000 PSI

		E(BASI	E)=1,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	3,358	2,344	1,632
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	4,214	2,843	1,943
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	4,859	3,212	2,169
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	724	642	611
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	12,202	10,746	10,217
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI			198,617
			E)=5,000 PSI	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	17,067	9,529	5,915
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	23,315	12,151	7,329
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	30,083		8,702
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	439		367
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	3,900		
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI			40,510
			=10,000 PSI	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	56,115		
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	72,664		17,624
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	92,340		20,424
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	868		735
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	5,381	'	4,461
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	49,223		40,144
	E (0.0)		=20,000 PSI	55.000
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	318,607		55,688
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	364,271		60,040
DUTTING	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	420,332	The second secon	64,943
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	2,817	2,532	2,429
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	12,636	11,194	10,674
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	80,312	70,263	66,862
FATIGUE	E(CC) =2 500 DCL		=30,000 PSI	450 227
FAILURE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,283,313		152,327
AILUKE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,299,319		153,218
RUTTING	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	1,343,856 6,623	359,094 5,998	155,627
FAILURE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI E(SG) =5,000 PSI			5,789
FAILURE	, ,	25,476 123,530	22,744	21,856
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	133,539	118,088	110,364

TABLE C.18
LOADS TO FAILURE BASED ON STRAINS CAUSED BY DUAL
SINGLE AXLE, TIRE LOAD = 4250 LBS/TIRE AND ASPHALT
INSTITUTE FAILURE CRITERIA FOR FATIGUE AND RUTTING.
3 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE (E=150,000 PSI).

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	2,427	1,776	1,223	
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	3,057	2,173	1,466	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	3,535	2,463	1,640	
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	429	374	354	
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	7,262	6,273	5,910	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	142,189	122,340	115,199	
**		E(BASE	E)=5,000 PSI		
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	12,485	7,501	4,603	
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	17,169	9,745	5,761	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	22,287	11,970	6,893	
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	261	225	213	
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	2,331	1,980	1,861	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	29,984	25,165	23,486	
			=10,000 PSI		
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	41,338			
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	53,868		14,259	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	68,819		16,669	
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	515		426	
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	3,200		2,582	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	29,479	24,858	23,298	
			=20,000 PSI		
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	237,200		46,787	
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	272,205		50,714	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	315,019		55,160	
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,666		1,404	
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	7,492	6,504	6,180	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	47,889	41,012	38,735	
			=30,000 PSI	400 400	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	966,850		133,409	
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	979,023	319,901	134,242	
DUTTUE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,013,252	326,742	136,525	
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	3,900		3,335	
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	15,057	13,229	12,636	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	79,382	68,765	65,286	

Appendix D: Loads to Rutting and Fatigue Failure for a Tandem Axle Load

TABLE D.1 LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE FOR A 4 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD CAUSED BY A TANDEM AXLE WITH A LOAD OF 4,250 LB./TIRE.

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	1	0	0	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	15	1	0	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	330	28	8	
		E(BASE)=5,	000 PSI		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	3	0	0	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	43	4	1	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	342	35	11	
	E(BASE)=10,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	5	1	0	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSi	62	8	3	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	959	95	29	
		E(BASE)=20	,000 PSI		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	4	1	1	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	41	9	4	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	529	84	32	
	E(BASE)=30,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	26	3	1	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	200	42	18	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	1,971	302	113	

NOTE:

TABLE D.2 LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE FOR A 4 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD CAUSED BY A TANDEM AXLE, WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 3,750 LB./TIRE.

		E(D10E) (000 001		
Ĭ	E(BASE) = 1,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	1	0	0	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	31	2	1	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	667	51	14	
	E(BASE) =5,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	3	0	0	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	43	5	2	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	766	71	21	
	E(BASE) = 10,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	6	1	0	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	67	9	3	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	957	106	35	
		E(BASE) = 2	0,000 PSI		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	17	4	2	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	138	26	11	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	1,489	211	77	
	E(BASE) = 30,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	34	10	5	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	241	54	25	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSi	2,196	367	145	

NOTE:

TABLE D.3 LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE FOR A 4 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD CAUSED BY A TANDEM AXLE, WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 3,250 LB./TIRE

	E(BASE) = 1,000 PSI			
	TIDE DECCLIDE			400 DOI
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO		1	0	0
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	29	3	1
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	617		17
		E(BASE) = 5	,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	3	1	0
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	44	6	2
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	749	81	26
	E	E(BASE) = 1	0,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	7	2	1
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	73	12	5
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	984	125	44
		E(BASE) = 2	0,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	22	6	3
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	165	34	15
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	1,644	262	103
	E(BASE) = 30,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	47	19	8
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	304	74	35
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	2,544	472	199

TABLE D.4 LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE FOR AN 8 INCH AGGREGATE RO. CAUSED BY A TANDEM AXLE WITH A LOAD OF 3,250 LBS/TIRE

		E(BASE) =	1,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	8	3	2
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	136	51	34
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSi	2,680	992	641
		E(BASE) =	5,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	33	15	11
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	324	139	96
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	4,339	1,738	1,159
	E(BASE) = 10,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	102	54	40
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	729	345	247
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	7,262	3,126	2,145
		E(BASE) =	20,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	429	251	197
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	2,276	1,201	897
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	16,327	7,730	5,523
	E(BASE) = 30,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	1,106	691	556
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	5,109	2,867	2,207
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	30,500	15,391	11,309

NOTE:

TABLE D.5 LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE FOR AN 8 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD CAUSED BY A TANDEM AXLE, WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 3,750 LB./TIRE.

	E(BASE) = 1,000 PSI				
	TIDE PRESSURE			400 DOI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	6	2	1	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	98	33	21	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	1,953	648	396	
		E(BASE) =	5,000 PSI		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	22	10	7	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	224	88	58	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	3,071	1,115	708	
	E(BASE) = 10,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	66	33	24	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	488	214	147	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	5,022	1,976	1,295	
		E(BASE) =	20,000 PSI		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	267	149	113	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	1,469	727	526	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	10,930	4,798	3,292	
	E(BASE) = 30,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	683	405	317	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	3,233	1,715	1,279	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	20,047	9,436	6,664	

NOTE: E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS

E(SG) = SUBGRADE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE D.6 LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE FOR AN 8 INCH AGGREGATE ROA CAUSED BY A TANDEM AXLE, WITH A LOAD OF 4250 LBS/TIRE.

		E(BASE) =	1,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	3	1	1,
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	47	16	10
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	967	316	191
		E(BASE) =	5,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	8	4	2
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	92	37	24
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	1,380	499	314
	E(BASE) = 10,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	21	11	8
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	179	80	55
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSi	2,070		
		E(BASE) =	20,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	70	41	32
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	466	236	171
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	4,018	1,782	1,221
	E(BASE) = 30,000 PSI			
))	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	442	100	80
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	928	507	381
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	6,766	3,241	2,298

NOTE:

TABLE D.7 LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE FOR A 12 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD CAUSED BY A TANDEM AXLE WITH A LOAD OF 4,250 LB./TIRE.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	E(BASE) = 1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2.5KSI	13		8
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5.0KSI	235		132
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10.0KSI	4,798		
ALORE	E(3G) = 10.0K31	E(BASE) =		2,001
	TIDE DDECCUDE			100 PSI
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	
	E(SG) = 2.5KSI	44	38	-
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5.0KSI	479	387	
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10.0KSI	6,955		4,244
	E(BASE) = 10,000			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2.5KSI	127	112	106
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5.0KSI	988	862	740
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10.0KSI	10,746	8,670	7,195
		E(BASE) =	20,000	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2.5KSI	1,552	1,145	1,047
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5.0KSI	2,846	2,507	2,377
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10.0KSI	22,118	19,286	16,572
	C E(BASE) = 30,000			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2.5KSI	3,086	1,088	1,047
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5.0KSI	6,106	5,412	5,182
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10.0KSI	39,494	34,581	31,564

TABLE D.8 LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE FOR A 12 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD CAUSED BY A TANDEM AXLE WITH A LOAD OF 3,750 LB./TIRE.

		E(BASE) =	1,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	34	22	18
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	615	378	307
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	12,075	7,330	5,927
		E(BASE) =	5,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	137	111	
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	1,371	1,006	837
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	18,918		
		E(BASE) =	10,000 PS	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	439	391	343
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	3,063	2,495	2,119
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	30,630		
			20,000 PS	
///	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	1,958		
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	9,817		
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	68,484		
	E(BASE) = 30,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	5,319		
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	22,744		
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	129,722	112,332	96,635

NOTE:

E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS

E(SG) = SUBGRADE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE D.9 LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE FOR A 12 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD CAUSED BY A SINGLE AXLE, WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 3,250 LB./TIRE.

	E(BASE) = 1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	62	39	32
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	1,010	656	545
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PS	19,738	12,680	10,495
		E(BASE) =	5,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	249	197	171
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	2,495	1,762	1,502
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	33,102	22,030	18,489
		E(BASE) =	10,000 PS	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	803	706	622
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	5,588	4,412	3,817
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	55,902	39,458	33,538
		E(BASE) =	20,000 PS	1
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	3,590	3,292	3,086
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	18,071	15,792	13,955
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PS	124,937	98,744	85,486
	E(BASE) = 30,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	9,561	8,926	8,670
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	41,730	38,061	34,430
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PS	236,982	199,781	174,886

NOTE:

TABLE D.10
LOADS TO FAILURE ON A 1 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE
PAVEMENT, E=150,000 PSI, FOR A TANDEM AXLE WITH TIRE
LOAD = 3,250 LBS/TIRE.

	E(BASÉ)=1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI			100 PSI
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	695 861		
FAILURE				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =10,000 PSI			
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI E(SG) =5,000 PSI	23		
FAILURE		426		
PAILORE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI			3,543
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	E)=5,000 PSI 70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO				
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI E(SG) =5,000 PSI	30,393		,
FAILURE	, ,	37,690		· ·
LOADS TO	E(SG) =10,000 PSI E(SG) =2,500 PSI		4,374	
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI E(SG) =5,000 PSI	33 319	17 147	12
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI			105
FAILURE	E(3G) -10,000 P31	4,303 E/BASE		1,273
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	i)=10,000 PSI 70 PSI	400 DOI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI			100 PSI
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	562,826	34,198	
FAILURE		539,107	33,042	
LOADS TO	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	476,206		
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI E(SG) =5,000 PSI	90 595	46 275	34
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI			195
ALCONE	L(33) - 10,000 F31	5,688 E(BASE	2,382)=20,000 PSI	1,626
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	3,418,795,691	1,330,400	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	75,240,464	778,707	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	16,642,507	556,904	
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	351	191	145
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,711	832	599
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	11,505	4,979	3,415
TAILOILE	L(00) -10,000 1 OI)=30,000 PSI	3,413
	TIRE PRESSURE			100 PSI
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	36,951,133	39,358,291	1,347,178
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	106,777,575	12,134,950	700,635
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	4,827,693,385	4,876,403	460,844
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	858	489	376
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	3,667	1,870	1,368
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	20,683	9,312	6,465
· / (120/1/L	_(30) 10,000 1 01	20,000	7,512	0,405

TABLE D.11 LOADS TO FAILURE ON A 1 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE PAVEMENT, E=150,000 PSI, FOR A TANDEM AXLE WITH TIRE LOAD = 3,750 LBS/TIRE.

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	589	138	59
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	762	162	67
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	891	177	72
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	15	8	6
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	276	138	102
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	5,772	2,810	2,061
			E(BASE)=5,0	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	22,718	3,661	1,063
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	28,967		
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	34,939	4,718	1,279
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	22	10	7
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	217	92	62
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	3,001	1,164	767
	E(BASE)=10,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	349,591	41,626	8,447
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	313,233	39,825	8,246
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	293,391	39,046	
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	58	28	
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	402	171	117
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	3,975	1,515	984
			E(BASE)=20,	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	, ,	890,113,914		
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	35,492,306		
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	8,797,080		
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	223	115	
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,131	513	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	7,928	3,142	
			E(BASE)=30,	
				100 PSI
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	24,251,648		3,024,252
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	70,446,256	39,579,572	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	439,024,091	9,871,655	689,925
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	539	292	218
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	2,388	1,143	807
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	14,006	5,840	3,890

TABLE D.12 LOADS TO FAILURE ON A 1 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE PAVEMENT, E=150,000 PSI, FOR A TANDEM AXLE WITH TIRE LOAD = 4,250 LBS/TIRE.

			E(BASE)=1,0	000 PSI
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	445	127	52
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	579	150	60
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	677	167	65
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	10	5	4
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	192	89	64
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	4,062	1,831	1,295
			E(BASE)=5,0	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	16,488	3,883	1,067
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	20,964	4,562	1,199
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	25,200	5,169	1,311
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	15	7	5
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	158	61	40
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	2,244	794	499
	E(BASE)=10,000 PSI			
		40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	` ' '	243,263	51,113	9,555
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	216,804	48,320	9,268
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	202,170	47,062	9,166
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	41	18	13
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSi	291	115	75
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	2,978	1,039	644
			E(BASE)=20,	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	44,286,566		286,543
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	20,920,903	2,393,522	183,419
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	5,461,407	1,273,780	137,983
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	152	75	53
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	801	342	229
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	5,823	2,145	1,348
			E(BASE)=30,	
				100 PSI
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	17,476,152		
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	51,667,710		2,193,962
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	340,416,315	22,046,920	1,056,372
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	362	188	137
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,662	752	515
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	10,149	3,943	2,532

TABLE D.13
LOADS TO FAILURE ON A 1 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE
PAVEMENT, E=1,000,000 PSI, FOR A TANDEM AXLE WITH TIRE
LOAD = 3,250 LBS/TIRE.

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	2,463	1,137	702
FATIGUE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	3,374	1,435	860
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	4,129	1,658	975
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	150	131	125
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	2,831	2,471	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	59,923		48,504
			E)=5,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	15,911	5,125	
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	24,760		
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	35,802		
	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	118		
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	1,171	736	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	16,388	9,784	7,828
	TION DOCUMENT		=10,000 PSI	100 001
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	52,916		
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	79,264	,	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	117,136		
	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	214	139	139
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	1,460	878	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	14,415		6,273
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	=20,000 PSI 70 PSI	100 PSI
	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	298,289	60,945	
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	399,403		The second secon
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	554,547	90,697	33,644
	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	652		345
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	3,142		
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	21,010		
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		=30,000 PSI	-,
	TIRE PRESSURE			100 PSI
	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	1,191,362	186,610	65,612
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	1,439,774	209,522	71,474
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,824,468	241,759	79,540
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	1,515	980	806
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	6,217	3,697	2,926
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	33,832	18,278	13,905

TABLE D.14
LOADS TO FAILURE ON A 1 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE
PAVEMENT, E=1,000,000 PSI, FOR A TANDEM AXLE WITH TIRE
LOAD = 3,750 LBS/TIRE.

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,717	875	529
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	2,369	1,118	655
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	2,912	1,304	749
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	83	71	67
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,569	1,337	1,257
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	33,247	28,143	26,548
		E(BASE	E)=5,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	11,125	4,202	2,174
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	18,435	5,967	2,912
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	28,395	7,952	3,683
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	67	45	37
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	721	428	341
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	10,286	5,755	4,473
		E(BASE)	=10,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	38,197	12,253	5,685
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	60,701	16,690	7,299
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	95,977	22,370	9,216
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	130		65
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	908	516	402
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	9,191	4,812	3,619
			=20,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI		100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	216,008		
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	301,018		
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	438,594		
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	396		
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,962		
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	13,514		5,065
			=30,000 PSI	
				100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	843,446		
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,042,171	208,304	67,699
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,364,014	245,029	
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	917	569	456
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	3,869	2,180	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	21,684	11,005	8,080

TABLE D.15
LOADS TO FAILURE ON A 1 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE
PAVEMENT, E=1,000,000 PSI, FOR A TANDEM AXLE WITH TIRE
LOAD = 4,250 LBS/TIRE.

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,265		415
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,755		519
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	2,168		
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	50	42	39
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	942	783	731
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	20,047		15,391
	-)=5,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	8,287	· ·	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	13,856		
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	21,506		
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	40		22
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	456	269	209
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	6,934		2,761
			=10,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	28,269		4,887
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	45,238		6,394
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	71,896		8,226
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	80	50	40
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	608	327	248
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	6,292	3,094	2,260
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	=20,000 PSI 70 PSI	400 DCI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	158,426		100 PSI
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI E(SG) =5,000 PSI	221,701		19,985 23,875
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	324,067		29,018
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	253		119
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,314		524
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	9,343		
			=30,000 PSI	5,130
	TIRE PRESSURE			100 PSI
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	614,069	182,262	58,983
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	759,217	210,503	65,612
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	994,160	252,678	74,949
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	588	355	279
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	2,589	1,386	1,041
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	15,002	7,151	5,094

TABLE D.16
LOADS TO FAILURE ON A 2 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE
PAVEMENT, E=150,000 PSI, FOR A TANDEM AXLE WITH TIRE
LOAD = 3,250 LBS/TIRE.

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE		70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,849	895	558
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	2,459		
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	2,950	1,501	838
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	182	160	152
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	3,344	2,918	2,775
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	69,402		57,261
			=5,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	14,578	4,815	2,547
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	21,122	6,271	3,180
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI			
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	160		
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,615		877
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	21,943		11,156
			10,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI		100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	60,987		7,619
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	81,364		8,967
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	106,434		10,402
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	323	216	183
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	2,140	· ·	1,102
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	20,522		9,624
	TIDE BREAKINE		20,000 PSI	
LOADO TO		40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	515,243	93,950	36,214
	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	579,424		
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	661,746		40,926
LOADS TO RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI E(SG) =5,000 PSI	994		559
FAILURE		4,771 31 564	2,971	2,406
AILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	31,564 E(BASE)=		14,208
	TIRE PRESSURE	E(BASE)=: 40PSI		100 DCI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	3,237,596		100 PSI
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	2,995,429	383,340 368,608	121,391 118,148
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	2,995,429 2,865,007	360,606	116,146
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	2,233	1,512	
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	2,233 9,312	5,823	1,265 4,718
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	50,772	29,231	22,835
ALONE	L(00) - 10,000 POI	30,112	23,231	22,033

TABLE D.17
LOADS TO FAILURE ON A 2 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE
PAVEMENT, E=150,000 PSI, FOR A TANDEM AXLE WITH TIRE
LOAD = 3,750 LBS/TIRE.

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,279	685	419
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,709	857	510
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	2,058	987	576
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	100	86	82
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,853	1,579	1,485
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	38,526	32,674	30,762
			=5,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	10,109	3,942	2,040
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	15,196	5,242	2,585
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	21,379		3,124
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	88		55
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	939		493
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	13,514		6,330
			10,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	43,846		6,380
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	61,047	,	7,619
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	83,624	21,250	8,943
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	185	125	103
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,311	785	625
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	12,815		5,507
		E(BASE)=		
		40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	387,309		32,763
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	444,941		· ·
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	520,593		37,500
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	595		314
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	2,926	,	1,368
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	19,892		8,157
		E(BASE)=		
		40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	2,534,820	396,437	118,148
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	2,337,239	378,453	114,471
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	2,232,834	368,920	112,522
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,331	867	711
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	5,688	3,397	2,680
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	31,975	17,334	13,135

TABLE D.18
LOADS TO FAILURE ON A 2 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE
PAVEMENT, E=150,000 PSI, FOR A TANDEM AXLE WITH TIRE
LOAD = 4,250 LBS/TIRE.

			E(BASE)=	1,000 PSI
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	946	547	328
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,272	693	403
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,535	803	458
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	60	51	47
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,113	926	863
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	23,205		
			E(BASE)=	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI		100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	7,521	3,338	1,692
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	11,381	4,532	2,181
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	16,109	5,788	
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	52	41	33
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	559		301
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	8,476		3,879
	E(BASE)=10,0			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	32,577		5,519
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	45,601		6,672
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI			7,946
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	109		62
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	832		383
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	8,670		
			E(BASE)=2	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI		100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	287,907	85,085	30,406
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	331,046		
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI			
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	349	238	191
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,935		840
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	13,466	6,891	5,079
	TIDE DOCCOURS	40001	E(BASE)=3	
LOADOTO	TIRE PRESSURE			100 PSI
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,892,101	417,382	117,583
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,737,571	395,409	113,458
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,655,347	383,669	111,269
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	782	537	432
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	3,736	2,140	1,647
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	21,684	11,118	8,183

TABLE D.19
LOADS TO FAILURE ON A 2 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE
PAVEMENT, E=1,000,000 PSI, FOR A TANDEM AXLE WITH TIRE
LOAD = 3,250 LBS/TIRE.

		E(BASE)=1,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	20,721	14,857	10,878
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	26,879	18,611	13,371
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	32,284	21,785	15,429
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	4,351	3,954	3,807
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	67,719	60,945	58,487
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,265,389	1,133,158	1,084,622
)=5,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	47,602	,	'
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	73,683		
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI			
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,348		,
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	11,786		10,082
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	148,881		125,523
			=10,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	86,635		
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	134,034		· ·
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	210,996		
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,681	•	
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	10,967		9,467
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	104,181		88,634
	TIPE PRESSURE		=20,000 PSI	400 001
LOADS TO	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI		100 PSI
LOADS TO FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI E(SG) =5,000 PSI	214,488		
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI E(SG) =10,000 PSI	313,502		
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	482,952 3,379		
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	3,379 16,885		
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	117,138		
ALONE	E(00) - 10,000 P31		=30,000 PSI	30,303
	TIRE PRESSURE			100 PSI
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	445,062	202,118	120,998
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	617,059	258,058	149,119
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	909,774	341,031	189,116
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	6,292	5,823	5,605
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	27,560	25,062	22,206
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	159,656	130,490	113,105
/ / ILOINE	<u> </u>	133,030	100,490	110,100

TABLE D.20 LOADS TO FAILURE ON A 2 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE PAVEMENT, E=1,000,000 PSI, FOR A TANDEM AXLE WITH TIRE LOAD = 3,750 LBS/TIRE.

		E(BASE)=1,000 PSI		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	17,626	13,378	9,779	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	22,867	16,845	12,073	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	27,476	19,792	13,984	
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	2,889	2,589	2,477	
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	44,742	39,691	37,789	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	833,056	734,504	698,630	
1	E(BASE)=5,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	38,842	26,879	18,684	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	60,169	39,006	26,121	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	90,533	54,702	35,342	
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	863	767	734	
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	7,492	6,563	6,254	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	93,804	81,298	76,953	
	E(BASE)=10,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	67,924	44,001	29,563	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	105,270	63,350	40,922	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	165,774	91,440	56,612	
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,043		896	
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	6,787		5,704	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	64,045		52,915	
			=20,000 PSI		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI		100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	160,482			
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	235,387			
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	363,150			
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	2,027		1,766	
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	10,115			
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	69,903		56,369	
	E(BASE)=30,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE			100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	320,636	170,920	102,913	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	446,371	221,964	128,973	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	660,987	299,454	166,678	
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	3,687	3,362	3,258	
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	16,147	14,572	13,610	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	93,548	81,601	69,831	

TABLE D.21
LOADS TO FAILURE ON A 2 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE
PAVEMENT, E=1,000,000 PSI, FOR A TANDEM AXLE WITH TIRE
LOAD = 4,250 LBS/TIRE.

TIRE PRESSURE 40 PSI LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 10,072 FATIGUE E(SG) =5,000 PSI 13,190 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 15,954 LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 21,943 FAILURE E(SG) =5,000 PSI 412,243 TIRE PRESSURE 40 PSI LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 23,350 FATIGUE E(SG) =5,000 PSI 36,695 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 36,695 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 3,848 FAILURE E(SG) =5,000 PSI 3,848 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 49,060 TIRE PRESSURE 40 PSI	7,612 9,663 11,736 1,234 19,138 355,829 E(BASE)=5, 70 PSI 16,808 24,851 35,462 381 3,309	7,085 8,258 1,174 18,071 336,031 000 PSI 100 PSI 11,444 16,247 22,291 362 3,118 38,980
FATIGUE E(SG) =5,000 PSI 13,190 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 15,954 LOADS TO RUTTING E(SG) =5,000 PSI 21,943 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 412,243 TIRE PRESSURE 40 PSI LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 23,350 FATIGUE E(SG) =5,000 PSI 36,695 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 55,995 LOADS TO RUTTING E(SG) =2,500 PSI 3,848 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 3,848 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 49,060 TIRE PRESSURE 40 PSI	9,663 11,736 1,234 19,138 355,829 E(BASE)=5, 70 PSI 16,808 24,851 35,462 381 3,309 41,521 E(BASE)=10	7,085 8,258 1,174 18,071 336,031 000 PSI 100 PSI 11,444 16,247 22,291 362 3,118 38,980
FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 15,954 LOADS TO RUTTING E(SG) =2,500 PSI 21,943 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 412,243 TIRE PRESSURE 40 PSI LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 23,350 FATIGUE E(SG) =5,000 PSI 36,695 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 55,995 LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 3,848 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 3,848 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 49,060 TIRE PRESSURE 40 PSI	9,663 11,736 1,234 19,138 355,829 E(BASE)=5, 70 PSI 16,808 24,851 35,462 381 3,309 41,521 E(BASE)=10	7,085 8,258 1,174 18,071 336,031 000 PSI 100 PSI 11,444 16,247 22,291 362 3,118 38,980
LOADS TO RUTTING	1,234 19,138 355,829 E(BASE)=5, 70 PSI 16,808 24,851 35,462 381 3,309 41,521 E(BASE)=10	1,174 18,071 336,031 000 PSI 100 PSI 11,444 16,247 22,291 362 3,118 38,980
RUTTING E(SG) =5,000 PSI 21,943 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 412,243 TIRE PRESSURE 40 PSI LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 36,695 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 55,995 LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 436 RUTTING E(SG) =5,000 PSI 3,848 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 49,060 TIRE PRESSURE 40 PSI	19,138 355,829 E(BASE)=5, 70 PSI 16,808 24,851 35,462 381 3,309 41,521 E(BASE)=10	18,071 336,031 000 PSI 100 PSI 11,444 16,247 22,291 362 3,118 38,980
TIRE PRESSURE 40 PSI LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 23,350 FATIGUE E(SG) =5,000 PSI 36,695 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 55,995 LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 436 RUTTING E(SG) =5,000 PSI 3,848 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 49,060 TIRE PRESSURE 40 PSI	355,829 E(BASE)=5, 70 PSI 16,808 24,851 35,462 381 3,309 41,521 E(BASE)=10	336,031 ,000 PSI 100 PSI 11,444 16,247 22,291 362 3,118 38,980
TIRE PRESSURE 40 PSI LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 23,350 FATIGUE E(SG) =5,000 PSI 36,695 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 55,995 LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 436 RUTTING E(SG) =5,000 PSI 3,848 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 49,060 TIRE PRESSURE 40 PSI	E(BASE)=5, 70 PSI 16,808 24,851 35,462 381 3,309 41,521 E(BASE)=10	000 PSI 100 PSI 11,444 16,247 22,291 362 3,118 38,980
LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 23,350 PATIGUE E(SG) =5,000 PSI 36,695 PAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 55,995 PAILURE E(SG) =2,500 PSI 436 PAILURE E(SG) =5,000 PSI 3,848 PAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 49,060 PSI PRESSURE 40 PSI	70 PSI 16,808 24,851 35,462 381 3,309 41,521 E(BASE)=10	100 PSI 11,444 16,247 22,291 362 3,118 38,980
LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 23,350 PATIGUE E(SG) =5,000 PSI 36,695 PAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 55,995 PAILURE E(SG) =2,500 PSI 436 PAILURE E(SG) =5,000 PSI 3,848 PAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 49,060 PAILURE PRESSURE 40 PSI	16,808 24,851 35,462 381 3,309 41,521 E(BASE)=10	11,444 16,247 22,291 362 3,118 38,980
FATIGUE E(SG) =5,000 PSI 36,695 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 55,995 LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 436 RUTTING E(SG) =5,000 PSI 3,848 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 49,060 TIRE PRESSURE 40 PSI	24,851 35,462 381 3,309 41,521 E(BASE)=10	16,247 22,291 362 3,118 38,980
FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 55,995 LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 436 RUTTING E(SG) =5,000 PSI 3,848 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 49,060 TIRE PRESSURE 40 PSI	35,462 381 3,309 41,521 E(BASE)=10	22,291 362 3,118 38,980
LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 436 RUTTING E(SG) =5,000 PSI 3,848 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 49,060 TIRE PRESSURE 40 PSI	381 3,309 41,521 E(BASE)=10	362 3,118 38,980
RUTTING E(SG) =5,000 PSI 3,848 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 49,060 TIRE PRESSURE 40 PSI	3,309 41,521 E(BASE)=10	3,118 38,980
FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 49,060 TIRE PRESSURE 40 PSI	41,521 E(BASE)=10	38,980
TIRE PRESSURE 40 PSI	E(BASE)=10	
	I / N DC1	
LOADO TO TOO TOO		100 PSI
LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 42,563		
FATIGUE E(SG) =5,000 PSI 66,865		
FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 106,881		
LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 542 RUTTING E(SG) =5,000 PSI 3,571		
FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 34,279		
TIRE PRESSURE 40 PSI	E(BASE)=20 70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 106,475		
FATIGUE E(SG) =5,000 PSI 157,749		
FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 246,836		
LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 1,086		
RUTTING E(SG) =5,000 PSI 5,475		
FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 38,250		
(3)	E(BASE)=30	
TIRE PRESSURE 40 PSI		100 PSI
LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 220,913		73,371
FATIGUE E(SG) =5,000 PSI 310,217		92,780
FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 463,847		
LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 2,008		
RUTTING E(SG) =5,000 PSI 8,868		· ·
FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 51,781	45,056	37,621

TABLE D.22 LOADS TO FAILURE FOR A TANDEM AXLE, TIRE LOAD OF 3,250 LB./TIRE ON A 3 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD

TIRE PRESSURE 40PS 70 PS 100 PS LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PS 5,205 3,365 2,370 FATIGUE E(SG) =5,000 PS 6,271 3,942 2,731 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PS 7,089 4,355 2,991 LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PS 1,450 1,303 1,249 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PS 23,965 21,428 20,442 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PS 463,664 411,929 392,990	E(AC)=150,000 PSI		E(BASE)=1000 PSI			
FATIGUE E(SG) =5,000 PSI		TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
FAILURE	LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	5,205	3,365	2,370	
LOADS TO RUTTING E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	6,271	3,942	2,731	
RUTTING FAILURE E(SG) = 5,000 PSI E(SG) = 10,000 PSI E(BASE) = 5,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI 10	FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	7,089	4,355	2,991	
FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI	LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,450	1,303	1,249	
E(BASE)=5,000 PS TIRE PRESSURE 40PS 70 PS 100 PS 100 PS E(SG) =2,500 PS 34,840 16,215 9,939 11,551 E(SG) =10,000 PS 44,099 19,290 11,551 E(SG) =5,000 PS 7,539 6,664 6,349 E(SG) =10,000 PS 70 PS 100 PS	RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	23,965	21,428	20,442	
TIRE PRESSURE	FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	463,664	411,929	392,990	
LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI						
FATIGUE E(SG) =5,000 PSI					100 PSI	
FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 44,099 19,290 11,551 LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 867 774 742 RUTTING E(SG) =5,000 PSI 7,539 6,664 6,349 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 94,373 82,607 78,465 TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI		. , ,	26,479	13,150	8,268	
LOADS TO RUTTING E(SG) =2,500 PSI	FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI			9,939	
RUTTING E(SG) =5,000 PSI 7,539 6,664 78,465 E(BASE)=10,000 PSI 94,373 82,607 78,465 E(BASE)=10,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI E(SG) =5,000 PSI 108,246 40,855 23,111 E(SG) =10,000 PSI 134,829 47,579 26,308 LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 1,711 1,542 1,482 RUTTING E(SG) =5,000 PSI 10,495 9,343 8,926 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 10,495 9,343 8,926 FAILURE E(SG) =5,000 PSI 10,495 9,343 8,926 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 100 PSI 100 PSI E(BASE)=20,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI E(SG) =5,000 PSI 514,760 148,639 74,535 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 578,861 161,445 79,816 LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 5,444 4,965 4,798 E(BASE)=30,000 PSI FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 156,057 139,148 133,301 E(BASE)=30,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI E(BASE)=30,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI E(BASE)=30,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI E(BASE)=30,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI E(BASE)=30,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI E(BASE)=30,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI E(BASE)=30,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI E(BASE)=30,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI E(BASE)=30,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI E(BASE)=30,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI E(BASE)=30,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI E(BASE)=30,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI E(BASE)=30,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI E(BASE)=30,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI E(BASE)=30,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI E(BASE)=30,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI E(BASE)=30,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI E(BASE)=30,000 PSI E(FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	44,099			
FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 94,373 82,607 78,465 E(BASE)=10,000 PSI 100	1		867			
E(BASE)=10,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE			7,539	6,664		
TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 86,578 34,840 20,168 FATIGUE E(SG) =5,000 PSI 108,246 40,855 23,111 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 134,829 47,579 26,308 LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 1,711 1,542 1,482 RUTTING E(SG) =5,000 PSI 10,495 9,343 8,926 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 93,959 82,695 78,755 E(BASE)=20,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 464,220 138,076 70,166 FATIGUE E(SG) =5,000 PSI 514,760 148,639 74,535 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 578,861 161,445 79,816 LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 5,444 4,965 4,798 RUTTING E(SG) =5,000 PSI 5,444 4,965 4,798 RUTTING E(SG) =5,000 PSI 156,057 139,148 133,301 E(BASE)=30,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI	FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	94,373	82,607	78,465	
LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI						
FATIGUE E(SG) =5,000 PSI 108,246 40,855 23,111 E(SG) =10,000 PSI 134,829 47,579 26,308 LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 1,711 1,542 1,482 E(SG) =5,000 PSI 10,495 9,343 8,926 E(SG) =10,000 PSI 93,959 82,695 78,755 E(BASE)=20,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI LOADS TO E(SG) =5,000 PSI 514,760 148,639 74,535 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 578,861 161,445 79,816 LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 578,861 161,445 79,816 LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 5,444 4,965 4,798 RUTTING E(SG) =5,000 PSI 54,858 22,384 21,513 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 156,057 139,148 133,301 E(BASE)=30,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 1,653,136 393,024 178,853 FATIGUE E(SG) =5,000 PSI 1,653,136 393,024 178,853 FATIGUE E(SG) =5,000 PSI 1,673,173 396,437 180,074 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 1,725,837 405,141 183,301 LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 1,2460 11,465 11,118			40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 134,829 47,579 26,308 LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 1,711 1,542 1,482 RUTTING E(SG) =5,000 PSI 10,495 9,343 8,926 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 93,959 82,695 78,755 E(BASE)=20,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI LOADS TO E(SG) =5,000 PSI 514,760 148,639 74,535 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 578,861 161,445 79,816 LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 5,444 4,965 4,798 RUTTING E(SG) =5,000 PSI 24,858 22,384 21,513 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 156,057 139,148 133,301 E(SG) =10,000 PSI 1,673,173 396,437 180,074 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 1,673,173 396,437 180,074 FAILURE E(SG) =2,500 PSI 1,725,837 405,141 183,301 LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 1,725,837 405,141 183,301			86,578		' '	
LOADS TO RUTTING E(SG) =2,500 PSI						
RUTTING E(SG) =5,000 PSI						
FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 93,959 82,695 78,755 E(BASE)=20,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 464,220 138,076 70,166 FATIGUE E(SG) =5,000 PSI 514,760 148,639 74,535 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 578,861 161,445 79,816 LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 5,444 4,965 4,798 RUTTING E(SG) =5,000 PSI 24,858 22,384 21,513 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 156,057 139,148 133,301 E(BASE)=30,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 1,653,136 393,024 178,853 FATIGUE E(SG) =5,000 PSI 1,673,173 396,437 180,074 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 1,725,837 405,141 183,301 LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 1,725,837 405,141 183,301			, ,			
E(BASE)=20,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 464,220 138,076 70,166 FATIGUE E(SG) =5,000 PSI 514,760 148,639 74,535 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 578,861 161,445 79,816 LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 5,444 4,965 4,798 RUTTING E(SG) =5,000 PSI 24,858 22,384 21,513 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 156,057 139,148 133,301 E(BASE)=30,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 1,653,136 393,024 178,853 FATIGUE E(SG) =5,000 PSI 1,673,173 396,437 180,074 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 1,725,837 405,141 183,301 LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 1,2460 11,465 11,118						
TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 464,220 138,076 70,166 FATIGUE E(SG) =5,000 PSI 514,760 148,639 74,535 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 578,861 161,445 79,816 LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 5,444 4,965 4,798 RUTTING E(SG) =5,000 PSI 24,858 22,384 21,513 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 156,057 139,148 133,301 E(BASE)=30,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 1,653,136 393,024 178,853 FATIGUE E(SG) =5,000 PSI 1,673,173 396,437 180,074 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 1,725,837 405,141 183,301 LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 1,2460 11,465 11,118	FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI				
LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI						
FATIGUE E(SG) =5,000 PSI 514,760 148,639 74,535 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 578,861 161,445 79,816 LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 5,444 4,965 4,798 EUTTING E(SG) =5,000 PSI 24,858 22,384 21,513 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 156,057 139,148 133,301 E(BASE)=30,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 1,653,136 393,024 178,853 FATIGUE E(SG) =5,000 PSI 1,673,173 396,437 180,074 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 1,725,837 405,141 183,301 LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 12,460 11,465 11,118			40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 578,861 161,445 79,816 LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 5,444 4,965 4,798 RUTTING E(SG) =5,000 PSI 24,858 22,384 21,513 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 156,057 139,148 133,301 E(BASE)=30,000 PSI 100 PSI 10		, , ,				
LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 5,444 4,965 4,798 RUTTING E(SG) =5,000 PSI 24,858 22,384 21,513 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 156,057 139,148 133,301 E(BASE)=30,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 1,653,136 393,024 178,853 FATIGUE E(SG) =5,000 PSI 1,673,173 396,437 180,074 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 1,725,837 405,141 183,301 LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 12,460 11,465 11,118			514,760			
RUTTING E(SG) =5,000 PSI 24,858 22,384 21,513 E(SG) =10,000 PSI 156,057 139,148 133,301 E(BASE)=30,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 1,653,136 393,024 178,853 FATIGUE E(SG) =5,000 PSI 1,673,173 396,437 180,074 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 1,725,837 405,141 183,301 LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 12,460 11,465 11,118						
FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 156,057 139,148 133,301 E(BASE)=30,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 1,653,136 393,024 178,853 FATIGUE E(SG) =5,000 PSI 1,673,173 396,437 180,074 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 1,725,837 405,141 183,301 LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 12,460 11,465 11,118						
E(BASE)=30,000 PSI TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 1,653,136 393,024 178,853 FATIGUE E(SG) =5,000 PSI 1,673,173 396,437 180,074 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 1,725,837 405,141 183,301 LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 12,460 11,465 11,118						
TIRE PRESSURE 40PSI 70 PSI 100 PSI LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 1,653,136 393,024 178,853 FATIGUE E(SG) =5,000 PSI 1,673,173 396,437 180,074 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 1,725,837 405,141 183,301 LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 12,460 11,465 11,118	FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI				
LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 1,653,136 393,024 178,853 FATIGUE E(SG) =5,000 PSI 1,673,173 396,437 180,074 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 1,725,837 405,141 183,301 LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 12,460 11,465 11,118						
FATIGUE E(SG) =5,000 PSI 1,673,173 396,437 180,074 FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 1,725,837 405,141 183,301 LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 12,460 11,465 11,118		TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 1,725,837 405,141 183,301 LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 12,460 11,465 11,118			1,653,136	393,024	178,853	
LOADS TO E(SG) =2,500 PSI 12,460 11,465 11,118						
RUTTING [F(SG) =5.000 PSI						
	RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	62,959	45,499	43,940	
FAILURE E(SG) =10,000 PSI 261,980 235,866 214,982	FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	261,980	235,866	214,982	

NOTE: E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS

E(SG) = SUBGRADE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE D.23 LOADS TO FAILURE FOR A TANDEM AXLE, TIRE LOAD OF 3,270 LBS/TIRE ON A 3 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD

E(AC)=150,0	C)=150,000 PSI		E(BASE)=1,000 PSI		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	3,535	2,445	1,698	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	4,279	2,877	1,967	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	4,837	3,193	2,162	
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	794	702	668	
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	13,135	11,545	10,930	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSi	254,696	222,076	210,360	
	E(BASE)=5,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	18,229	9,995		
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	24,109	,		
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	30,661		8,797	
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	475			
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	4,152			
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	52,181		42,076	
	E(BASE)=10,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	59,835	27,565	15,593	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	75,160	· ·	18,002	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	94,055	38,484	20,670	
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	937			
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	5,772			
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	51,880		42,192	
			20,000 PSI		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	329,963			
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	370,801			
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	423,683			
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	2,528			
	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	7,739			
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	29,893		26,080	
			30,000 PSI		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,258,234	346,132		
4	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,278,561	349,315	152,524	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,328,793	357,890	155,425	
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	6,766	6,125	5,910	
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	27,331	24,357	23,392	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	143,654	126,780	118,224	

TABLE D.24 LOADS TO FAILURE FOR A TANDEM AXLE, TIRE LOAD OF 4,250 LBS/TIRE ON A 3 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD

E(AC)=150,000 PSI		E(BASE)=1,000 PSI			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	2,552	1,856	1,272	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	3,093	2,197	1,482	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	3,506	2,450	1,635	
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	471	409	387	
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	7,828	6,725	6,349	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	151,721	129,799	122,055	
	E(BASE)=5,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	13,354	7,925	4,826	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	17,767	9,995	5,901	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	22,711	12,151	6,981	
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	282	244	230	
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	2,477	2,100	1,971	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	31,294	26,221	24,457	
	E(BASE)=10,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	44,237	22,580	12,523	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	55,847	,	14,578	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	70,238			
LOADS TO	, , ,	556			
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	3,442			
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	31,026		24,457	
			20,000 PSI		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI		100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	246,017	•		
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	277,097			
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI		120,008		
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,750			
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	8,080			
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	51,188		41,275	
11//			30,000 PSI		
	TIRE PRESSURE			100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	947,354	314,004	132,500	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	962,473	317,063	133,492	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,001,671	325,411	136,269	
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	3,986	3,552	3,406	
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	16,147	14,208	13,514	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	85,440	73,840	70,047	

TABLE D.25
LOADS TO FAILURE ON A 3 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE
PAVEMENT, E=1,000,000 PSI, FOR A TANDEM AXLE WITH TIRE
LOAD = 3,250 LBS/TIRE.

		E(BASE	=)=1,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	119,929	93,374	71,654
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	143,906	110,200	83,465
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	162,221	122,686	92,190
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	64,304	60,251	58,718
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,005,182	936,168	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	18,813,297	17,431,367	16,919,444
			E)=5,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE		70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	211,243	154,418	115,772
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	284,023	200,032	146,943
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	369,227	251,123	180,842
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	15,619	· ·	14,056
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	125,376		
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,414,145	1,281,639	1,232,436
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	326,235		
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	441,813		210,750
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	595,563		
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	16,948		
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	100,461		
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	824,923		714,440
			=20,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	648,031	411,547	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	852,527	517,932	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,140,932		
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	29,107		
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	133,618		
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	796,857	718,151	689,112
			=30,000 PSI	
	TIRE PRESSURE			100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,344,509	666,485	452,322
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,451,912	814,074	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,891,799	1,007,307	652,313
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	49,293	45,755	
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	200,171	183,166	176,885
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,008,934	910,855	874,437

TABLE D.26
LOADS TO FAILURE ON A 3 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE
PAVEMENT, E=1,000,000 PSI, FOR A TANDEM AXLE WITH TIRE
LOAD = 3,750 LBS/TIRE.

	E(BASE)=1,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	79,817	64,970	49,940	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	96,154	77,034	58,471	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	108,524	86,096	64,758	
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	34,581	32,113	31,160	
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	542,969	500,206	483,801	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	10,161,223	9,319,158	8,998,165	
		,	E)=5,000 PSI		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	140,949		80,939	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	190,230	142,417	103,594	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSi	248,051		128,455	
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	8,476	7,755	7,468	
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	68,135	61,495	59,039	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	771,303		658,142	
	E(BASE)=10,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	219,868	161,522	117,022	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	299,454	211,986	149,907	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	406,284		190,445	
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	9,191	8,395	8,080	
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	54,688		47,150	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	450,808		381,621	
			=20,000 PSI		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	437,954		207,337	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	578,697		257,417	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	779,206		322,345	
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	15,734		13,905	
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	72,699			
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	436,018		368,175	
			=30,000 PSI		
	TIRE PRESSURE			100 PSI	
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	771,129	490,288	328,422	
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	988,594	604,237	395,460	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,295,997	756,602	482,227	
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	26,658	24,457	23,677	
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	108,870	98,198	94,269	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	551,422	489,583	466,948	

NOTE:

TABLE D.27
LOADS TO FAILURE ON A 3 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE
PAVEMENT, E=1,000,000 PSI, FOR A TANDEM AXLE WITH TIRE
LOAD = 4,250 LBS/TIRE.

			E(BASE)=1,	000 PSI
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	56,523	44,810	36,196
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	68,262		
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	77,300	60,121	47,284
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	20,204		
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	317,793	289,462	278,883
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	5,961,853	5,401,956	5,194,725
			E(BASE)=5,	000 PSI
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	100,343	78,037	59,359
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	136,103	103,985	76,506
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	178,442	134,307	95,537
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	4,965		
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	40,144		,
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	456,111		
	E(BASE)=10,000 I			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI		100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	156,573		
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	214,488		
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	292,548		
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	5,396	,	,
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	32,252	· ·	
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	267,101		
			E(BASE)=20	
				100 PSI
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	314,746		•
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	418,100		
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	566,007		
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	9,252		
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	42,877		
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	258,396		
	E(BASE)=30,000 F			
1045070	TIRE PRESSURE		70 PSI	
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	555,418	375,960	249,581
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	716,321	468,007	302,987
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	943,754	591,760	372,832
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	15,619	14,157	13,610
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	64,142	57,036	54,476
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	326,875	285,213	270,270

Appendix E: Effects of Lower Tire Pressure on Rutting Failure of Aggregate Roads

INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF A 4 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE ON A SINGLE AXLE WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 3250 LBS. TABLE E.1

		E(BASE) = 1	1,000 PSI		100 PSI TO PERCENT	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
LOADS TO		-	0	0	0	211%	7	2913%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	29	က	_	2	225%	28	3348%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	614	55	16	38	234%	597	3638%
		E(BASE) =5,000 PSI	,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	3	1	0	0	154%	က	1491%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	44	9	2	4	182%	42	2116%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	749	80	26	54	205%	723	2753%
		E(BASE) = 1	10,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	7	2	_	_	125%	7	%6/6
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	73	12	S.	7	154%	68	1489%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	978	125	44	80	182%	934	2116%
		E(BASE) = 2	20,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	10 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	22	9	3	3	%26	19	622%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	163	34	15	19	124%	148	%6/6
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	1,629	260	103	158	154%	1,527	1489%
		E(BASE) = 3	30,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	46	15	ω	7	84%	38	477%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	300	73	35	38	108%	265	752%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	2,520	468	198	270	137%	2,322	1173%
			A	AVERAGE =	45	158%	448	1756%
NOTE:	E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS	TE ELASTIC	MODULUS					

TABLE E.2 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF A 4 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE ON A SINGLE AXLE WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 3,750 LBS.

		E(BASE) = 1	1,000 PSI		100 PSI TO PERCENT	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	1	0	0	0	243%	-	3766%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	30	2	-	7	260%	30	4381%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	663	50	14	37	270%	649	4779%
		E(BASE) =5,000 PSI	000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	1Sd 02	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	3	0	0	0	173%	က	1818%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	42	5	2	ಣ	207%	41	2662%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	760	70	21	49	235%	739	3516%
		E(BASE) = 10,000 PS	0,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	9	1	0	-	139%	9	1157%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	99	0	3	9	173%	62	1821%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	950	106	34	71	207%	915	2663%
		E(BASE) = 20,000 PS	0,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	ISd 0 <i>L</i>	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	17	4	2	7	107%	15	710%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	136	26	11	15	139%	125	1157%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	1,473	210	77	133	173%	1,396	1820%
		E(BASE) = 30,000 PS	0,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	1Sd 0 <i>L</i>	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	34	10	5	2	91%	29	535%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	238	54	25	29	119%	213	870%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	2,170	364	144	220	153%	2,026	1404%
			/	AVERAGE =	38	179%	417	2204%
LHOI		(in ()	01.1001.					

INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF A 4 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE ON A SINGLE AXLE WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 4250 LBS. TABLE E.3

		F(RASE) = 1 000 PSI	OOO PSI		100 PSI TO PERCENT	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	DEDCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	2	0	0	0	273%	2	4695%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	33	2	4-	7	293%	32	5505%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	731	48	12	36	306%	719	%9609
		E(BASE) =5,000 PSI	000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	1Sd 04	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	3	0	0	0	191%	က	2164%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	42	4	Ann	ဗ	231%	4	3249%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	798	65	18	47	265%	780	4373%
		E(BASE) = 10,000 PS	0,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	5	1	0	-	151%	5	1330%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	62	80	3	5	191%	29	2165%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	950	94	28	99	232%	921	3249%
		E(BASE) = 20,000 PS	0,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	10 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	13	က	1	2	115%	12	794%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	118	21	80	12	151%	110	1333%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	1,383	178	61	117	191%	1,322	2167%
		E(BASE) = 30,000 PS	0,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	120 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO		26	7	4	4	%86	22	288%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	197	42	18	24	130%	179	985%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	1,949	300	112	188	168%	1,837	1642%
			4	AVERAGE =	34	199%	403	2685%
LLFC	H * () 1 () () () () ()	LOCAL CITCA LT TTA	01111001					

INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF AN 8 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE ON A SINGLE AXLE WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 3250 LBS. TABLE E.4

		E(BASE) = 1,000 PSI	.000 PSI		100 PSI TO PERCENT	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	8	3	2	1	51%	9	281%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	133	51	33	81	53%	100	303%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	2,627	976	633	344	54%	1,995	315%
		E(BASE) =5,000 PSI	000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	32	15	11	4	40%	21	194%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	317	137	94	43	45%	222	236%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	4,244	1,711	1,143	568	%09	3,101	271%
		E(BASE) = 10,000 PSI	0,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	86	52	39	13	33%	59	152%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	200	337	241	96	40%	468	194%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	7,085	3,063	2,110	953	45%	4,975	236%
		E(BASE) = 20,000 PSI	0,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	120 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	405	239	187	51	27%	217	116%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	2,186	1,159	870	289	33%	1,316	151%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	15,851	7,539	5,412	2,127	39%	10,439	193%
		E(BASE) = 30,000 PSI	0,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	1Sd 02	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	LOADS TO E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	1,041	099	524	126	24%	517	%66
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	4,867	2,747	2,114	633	30%	2,752	130%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	29,479	14,948	11,005	3,943	36%	18,474	168%
			1	AVERAGE =	614	40%	2,978	203%

TABLE E.5 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF A 8 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE ON A SINGLE AXLE WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 3,750 LBS.

		E(BASE) = 1	1,000 PSI		100 PSI TO PERCENT	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	ISd 0/	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	5	2	1	-	%85	4	343%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	96	33	20	13	62%	9/	373%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	1,913	637	390	247	63%	1,523	391%
		E(BASE) =5,000 PSI	000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	21	6	9	က	45%	15	230%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	219	86	57	30	52%	162	284%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	3,009	1,097	697	401	28%	2,312	332%
		E(BASE) = 1	10,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	63	31	23	6	38%	40	177%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	474	209	144	65	45%	330	230%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	4,895	1,935	1,273	662	52%	3,621	284%
		E(BASE) = 2	20,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	18d 07	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	252	141	108	33	31%	144	133%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	1,410	702	509	193	38%	901	177%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	10,602	4,678	3,216	1,462	45%	7,386	230%
		E(BASE) = 3	30,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	ISd 04	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	635	380	299	81	27%	336	113%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	3,071	1,640	1,226	414	34%	1,844	150%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	19,360	9,131	6,484	2,647	41%	12,876	199%
			f	AVERAGE =	417	46%	2,105	243%

TABLE E.6 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF A 8 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE ON A SINGLE AXLE WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 4,250 LBS.

		E(BASE) = 1	1,000 PSI		100 PSI TOI PERCENT	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	4	1	1	1	%29	3	412%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	74	23	14	6	%02	61	449%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	1,489	447	260	187	72%	1,228	472%
		E(BASE) =5,000 PSI	000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	1Sd 07	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	15	9	4	2	51%	7-	267%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	161	59	37	22	26%	124	335%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	2,276	757	460	298	%59	1,816	395%
		E(BASE) = 10,000 PSI	0,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	43	20	14	9	42%	29	202%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	339	139	92	47	51%	247	267%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	3,609	1,317	831	486	26%	2,778	334%
		E(BASE) = 20,000 PS	0,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	ISd 04	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	168	06	29	23	34%	101	150%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	973	458	322	136	42%	651	202%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	7,586	3,118	2,065	1,053	51%	5,521	267%
		E(BASE) = 30,000 PS	0,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO		409	239	184	55	30%	225	122%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	2,075	1,056	692	287	37%	1,306	170%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	13,562	6,016	4,129	1,887	46%	9,432	228%
			4	AVERAGE =	300	25%	1,569	285%
LHC		CITO LIL	Q: - = 1Q \ .					

INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE OF A 12 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE ON A SINGLE AXLE WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 3,250 LBS. TABLE E.7

		E(BASE) = 1	= 1,000 PSI		100 PSI TO PERCENT	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	99	37	31	9	19%	25	%08
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	973	634	528	105	20%	444	84%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PS	19,064	12,287	10,183	2,104	21%	8,881	87%
		E(BASE) = 5,	=5,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	234	186	161	25	15%	73	45%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	2,377	1,685	1,438	247	17%	939	65%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PS	31,700	21,176	17,866	3,310	19%	13,834	77%
		E(BASE) = 10	= 10,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	745	656	580	92	13%	165	28%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	5,243	4,163	3,609	554	15%	1,633	45%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PS	53,172	37,789	32,113	5,676	18%	21,059	%99
		E(BASE) = 20	20,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	1Sd 0/	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	LOADS TO E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	3,344	3,063	2,874	188	%2	470	16%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	16,696	14,678	12,997	1,682	13%	3,700	28%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PS	117,340	93,343	80,824	12,518	15%	36,516	45%
		E(BASE) = 30,000 PSI	0,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	LOADS TO E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	9,221	8,504	8,262	242	3%	959	12%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	38,613	35,195	31,975	3,221	10%	6,638	21%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PS	220,751	186,708	163,763	22,944	14%	56,988	35%
			A	AVERAGE =	3,527	15%	10,155	49%
LHOI		100						

TABLE E.8 IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF A 12 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE ON A SINGLE AXLE WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 3,750 LBS.

		E(BASE) = 1,000 PSI	1,000 PSI		100 PSI TO PERCENT	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	33	21	18	4	25%	15	%98
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	591	366	297	68	23%	294	%66
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	11,624	7,107	5,738	1,369	24%	5,886	103%
		E(BASE) =	=5,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	128	105	89	16	17%	39	43%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	1,303	959	801	158	20%	502	63%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	18,071	12,159	9,981	2,178	22%	8,089	81%
		E(BASE) =	10,000 PS					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	407	363	319	43	14%	87	27%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	2,874	2,354	2,004	350	17%	871	43%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	29,231	21,513	17,934	3,579	20%	11,297	63%
		E(BASE) =	20,000 PS					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	1,819	1,640		89	4%	247	16%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	9,102	8,132	7,151	981	14%	1,951	27%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	64,271	52,635	44,805	7,830	17%	19,466	43%
		E(BASE) =	30,000 PS					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	5,007	4,549	4,387	162	4%	620	14%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	21,010	18,918	17,531	1,387	%8	3,479	20%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	120,715	104,945	90,432	14,513	16%	30,283	33%
			AV	AVERAGE =	2,180	16%	5,542	51%

TABLE E.9 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF A 12 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE ON A SINGLE AXLE WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 4,250 LBS.

		E(BASE) = 1,000 PSI	000 PSI		100 PSI TO PERCENT	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	19	13	11	ဇ	25%	6	83%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	353	228	181	47	26%	172	%56
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	7,085	4,436	3,506	931	27%	3,579	102%
		E(BASE) =5,000 PSI	,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	9/	64	54	11	20%	23	42%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	592	592	484	108	22%	108	22%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	10,783	7,539	6,070	1,469	24%	4,713	78%
		E(BASE) = 10,000 PS	0,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	241	211	190	21	11%	51	27%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	1,707	1,438	1,201	237	20%	506	42%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	17,400	13,229	10,819	2,409	22%	6,580	61%
		E(BASE) = 20,000 PS	0,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	1Sd 02	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	1,075	954	912	42	2%	164	18%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	5,396	4,718	4,268	450	11%	1,129	26%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	38,233	(C)	(1)	5,372	20%	11,352	42%
		E(BASE) = 30,000 PS	0,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	ISd 0 <i>L</i>	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	2,956	2,640	2,532	108	4%	423	17%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	12,460	10,967	10,425	542	2%	2,035	20%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	71,690	62,456	54,081	8,375	15%	17,610	33%
			1	AVERAGE =	1,342	17%	3,230	47%
THO!		CIR CITO VILL LITY OL						

INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF A 4 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE ON A TANDEM AXLE WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 4,250 LB./TIRE TABLE E.10

		E(BASE)=1,000 PSI	000 PSI		100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	1	0	0	0	237%	1	3334%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	15	_	0	-	39%	41	3909%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	330	28	8	20	267%	323	4284%
		E(BASE)=5,000 PSI	000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	V0 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	3	0	0	0	193%	က	2176%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	43	4	-	က	43%	42	3259%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	342	35	11	25	230%	331	3111%
		E(BASE)=10,000 PSI	,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	9	_	0	-	152%	သ	1339%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	62	80	က	2	52%	9	2175%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	959	95	29	99	232%	931	3264%
		E(BASE)=20,000 PS	,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	ISd 0 2	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	4		1	-	%26	4	280%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	41	6	4	2	%87	37	%296
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	529	84	32	53	165%	497	1562%
		E(BASE)=30,000 PS	1,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	ISd 0/	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	26	3	1	-	81%	25	1790%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	200	42	18	24	77%	182	%866
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	1,971	302	113	190	168%	1,859	1649%
			A\	AVERAGE =	26	141%	287	2293%

NOTE: E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS E(SG) = SUBGRADE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE E.11 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF A 4 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD CAUSED BY A TANDEM AXLE, WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 3,750 LB./TIRE.

		E(BASE) = 1	1.000 PSI		100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	1	0	0	0	243%	+	3767%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	31	2	-	2	260%	30	4392%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	667	51	14	37	270%	653	4783%
		E(BASE) =5,	=5,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	1Sd 02	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	LOADS TO E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	3	0	0	0	174%	က	1831%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	43	5	2	က	207%	41	2669%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	766	71	21	50	235%	745	3525%
		E(BASE) = 1	10,000 PSI				:	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	1Sd 02	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	LOADS TO $E(SG) = 2,500 PSI$	9	1	0	~	139%	9	1162%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	67	O	3	ဖ	174%	63	1831%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	957	106	35	72	207%	923	2672%
		E(BASE) = 20,000 PS	0,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	17	4	2	7	107%	15	715%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	138	26	11	15	139%	127	1162%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	1,489	211	77	134	174%	1,412	1832%
		E(BASE) = 30,000 PS	0,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	LOADS TO $E(SG) = 2,500 PSI$	34	10	9	2	91%	29	538%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	241	54	25	30	120%	216	875%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	2,196	367	145	222	153%	2,051	1413%
			A\	AVERAGE =	39	180%	421	2211%
1201				ļ				

TABLE E.12 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF A 4 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD CAUSED BY A TANDEM AXLE, WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 3,250 LB./TIRE.

		E(BASE) = 1,000 PSI	1,000 PSI		100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
LOADS TO	LOADS TO E(SG) = 2,500 PSI		0	0	0	212%	1	2920%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	29	3	-	2	225%	28	3356%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	617	55	17	38	231%	900	3616%
		E(BASE) =5,000 PSI	,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	120 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	LOADS TO E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	3	1	0	0	155%	ဗ	1495%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	44	9	2	4	183%	42	2122%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	749	81	26	54	206%	722	2741%
		E(BASE) = 10,000 PS	10,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	ISd 02	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	LOADS TO E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	7	2	1	-	124%	7	982%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	73	12	5	7	154%	69	1495%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	984	125	44	81	183%	940	2121%
		E(BASE) = 20,000 PS	20,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	1Sd 02	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	LOADS TO E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	22	9	3	က	%86	19	625%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	165	34	15	19	125%	150	983%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	1,644	262	103	159	154%	1,541	1495%
		E(BASE) = 30,000 PS	30,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	ISd 02	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	LOADS TO E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	47	18	8	10	128%	39	480%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	304	74	35	38	108%	268	756%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	2,544	472	199	273	137%	2,345	1178%
			A	AVERAGE =	46	162%	452	1758%

INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF AN 8 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE ON A TANDEM AXLE WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 3,250 LB./TIRE TABLE E.13

		E(BASE) = 1,000 PSI	1,000 PSI		100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
0	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	8	3	2	1	51%	9	283%
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	136	51	34	9	53%	102	305%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	2,680	992	641	352	25%	2,039	318%
		E(BASE) =5,000 PS	5,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
0	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	33	15	11	4	40%	22	195%
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	324	139	96	44	45%	228	238%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	4,339	1,738	1,159	579	20%	3,179	274%
		E(BASE) =	10,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
\circ	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	102	54	40	13	34%	62	153%
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	729	345	247	66	40%	483	196%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	7,262	3,126	2,145	982	46%	5,118	239%
		E(BASE) =	E(BASE) = 20,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	120 PSI	100 PSI				
0	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	429	251	197	54	28%	232	118%
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	2,276	1,201	897	304	34%	1,379	154%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	16,327	7,730	5,523	2,207	40%	10,804	196%
		E(BASE) =	E(BASE) = 30,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	1Sd 0/	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	1,106	691	556	136	24%	550	%66
RUTTING $E(SG) = 5,0$	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	5,109	2,867	2,207	661	30%	2,902	132%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	30,500	15,391	11,309	4,082	36%	19,190	170%
			A	AVERAGE =	636	40%	3,086	205%

TABLE E.14 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF AN 8 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD CAUSED BY A TANDEM AXLE, WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 3,750 LB./TIRE.

		E(BASE) = 1,000 PSI	1,000 PSI		100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	9	2	-	-	%69	4	347%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	98	33	21	13	62%	78	376%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	1,953	648	396	252	64%	1,558	394%
		E(BASE) =5,000 PS	5,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	18d 07	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	22	10	7	က	46%	15	232%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	224	88	58	30	52%	166	287%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	3,071	1,115	708	407	21%	2,363	334%
		E(BASE) =	10,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	ISd 04	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	99	33	24	6	38%	42	179%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	488	214	147	67	46%	341	232%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	5,022	1,976	1,295	681	23%	3,727	288%
		E(BASE) =	20,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	1Sd 02	100 PSI				
LOADS TO E(SG) =	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	267	149	113	36	31%	154	136%
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	1,469	727	526	201	38%	944	180%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	10,930	4,798	3,292	1,506	46%	7,638	232%
		E(BASE) =	30,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	683	405	317	88	28%	366	115%
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	3,233	1,715	1,279	436	34%	1,954	153%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	20,047	9,436	6,664	2,772	42%	13,383	201%
			A	AVERAGE =	433	46%	2,182	246%

INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF A 8 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE ON A TANDEM AXLE WITH A LOAD OF 4250 LB./TIRE. TABLE E.15

		E(BASE) =	= 1,000 PSI		100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	ISd 02	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
LOADS TO		E	1	1	0	61%	2	355%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	47	16	10	9	64%	38	387%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	967	316	191	125	65 %	775	405%
		E(BASE) =	5,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	1Sd 02	100 PSI				
LOADS TO E(SG) =	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	80	4	2	_	46%	9	228%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	92	37	24	13	54%	69	288%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	1,380	499	314	186	29%	1,067	340%
		E(BASE) =	10,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	21	11	80	3	38%	13	172%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	179	80	55	25	46%	125	229%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	2,070	818	533	285	54%	1,537	288%
		E(BASE) =	20,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	02	41	32	6	30%	39	123%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	466	236	171	65	38%	295	172%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	4,018	1,782	1,221	561	46%	2,797	229%
		E(BASE) =	30,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	442	100	80	21	26%	362	455%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	928	202	381	126	33%	547	144%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	6,766	3,241	2,298	943	41%	4,468	194%
			A	AVERAGE =	158	%45%	808	267%
HO Z		CITOR IT TITOUT	CITE INCOME	9				

INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF A 12 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE ON A TANDEM AXLE WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 4,250 LB./TIRE TABLE E.16

		E(BASE) = 1,000 PS	1,000 PSI		100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2.5KSI	13	6	8	2	23%	2	%89
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5.0KSI	235	164	132	32	24%	103	78%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10.0KSI	4,798	3,250	2,601	648	25%	2,197	84%
		E(BASE) = 5,000	: 5,000					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2.5KSI	44	38	33	2	16%	11	33%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5.0KSI	479	387	321	99	20%	158	46%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10.0KSI	6,955	5,197	4,244	953	22%	2,711	64%
		E(BASE) = 10,000	10,000					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2.5KSI	127	112	106	9	2%	21	20%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5.0KSI	988	862	740	121	16%	248	33%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10.0KSI	10,746	8,670	7,195	1,474	20%	3,551	49%
		E(BASE) =20,000	:20,000					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2.5KSI	1,552	1,145	1,047	86	%6	505	48%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5.0KSI	2,846	2,507	2,377	131	2%	469	20%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10.0KSI	22,118	19,286	16,572	2,714	16%	5,546	33%
		E(BASE) =	30,000					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO E(SG)	E(SG) = 2.5KSI	3,086	1,088	1,047	4	4%	2,039	195%
	E(SG) = 5.0KSI	6,106	5,412	5,182	230	4%	924	18%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10.0KSI	39,494	34,581	31,564	3,017	10%	7,930	25%
			A\	AVERAGE =	636	15%	1,761	25%

NOTE: E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS E(SG) = SUBGRADE ELASTIC MODULUS

INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF A 12 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE ON A TANDEM AXLE WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 3,750 LB./TIRE TABLE E.17

		E(BASE) =	= 1,000 PSI		100 PSI TO PERCENT	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	ISd 02	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	34	22	18	4	22%	16	87%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	615	378	307	71	23%	308	100%
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	12,075	7,330	5,927	1,403	24%	6,148	104%
		E(BASE) =	=5,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	137	111	95	17	18%	42	44%
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	1,371	1,006	837	169	20%	534	64%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	18,918	-	10,355	2,280	22%	8,563	83%
		E(BASE) =	: 10,000 PS	-				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO $E(SG) = 2$	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	439	391	343	48	14%	96	28%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	3,063	2,495	2,119	376	18%	943	45%
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	30,630	22,473	18,774	3,699	20%	11,857	63%
		E(BASE) =	: 20,000 PS					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	1Sd 02	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	1,958	1,762	1,688	74	4 %	269	16%
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	9,817	8,754	7,682	1,072	14%	2,135	28%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	68,484	55,847	47,417	8,430	18%	21,067	44%
		E(BASE) =	30,000 PS					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
_	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	5,319	4,771	4,613	158	3%	902	15%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	22,744	20,362	18,918	1,444	%8	3,826	20%
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	129,722	112,332	96,635	15,697	16%	33,088	34%
			ΑV	AVERAGE =	2,330	16%	5,973	52%

INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF A 12 INCH AGGREGFATE ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE ON A TANDEM AXLE WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 3,250 LB./TIRE. TABLE E.18

		E(BASE) = 1,000 PSI	1,000 PSI		100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	ISd 02	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	62	39	32	9	19%	30	91%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	1,010	656	545	111	20%	465	85%
	E(SG) = 10,000 PS	19,738	12,680	10,495	2,185	21%	9,243	88%
		E(BASE) =	=5,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	10 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	249	197	171	27	16%	62	46%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	2,495	1,762	1,502	260	17%	993	%99
	E(SG) = 10,000 PS	33,102	22,030	18,489	3,542	19%	14,614	%62
		E(BASE) =	10,000 PS					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
_	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	803	90/	622	83	13%	180	29%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	5,588	4,412	3,817	594	16%	1,77.1	46%
	E(SG) = 10,000 PS	55,902	39,458	33,538	5,920	18%	22,364	%29
		E(BASE) =	20,000 PS					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	ISd 02	100 PSI				
_	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	3,590	3,292	3,086	206	4%	504	16%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	18,071	15,792	13,955	1,837	13%	4,115	29%
	E(SG) = 10,000 PS	124,937	98,744	85,486	13,258	16%	39,450	46%
		E(BASE) =	30,000 PS					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	1Sd 0/	100 PSI				
_	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	9,561	8,926	8,670	256	3%	891	10%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	41,730	38,061	34,430	3,632	11%	7,300	21%
	E(SG) = 10,000 PS	236,982	199,781	174,886	24,895	14%	62,096	36%
			AV	AVERAGE =	3,787	15%	10,940	20%

Appendix F: Effects of Lower Tire Load on Rutting Failure on Aggregate Roads

INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 4 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD SUBJECT TO A SINGLE AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. TABLE F.1

							_							_			_
4250 LBS PERCENT TO INCREASE		%0 5 -	-12%	-16%	%0	2%	%9-	40%	18%	3%	%69	38%	18%	77%	52%	78%	34%
4250 LBS TO	3250 LBS	-1	4	-117	0	2	-49	2	=	28	6	45	246	20	103	571	115
PERCENT INCREASE		-20%	%6-	-9%	%0	%0	-5%	70%	%9	%0	31%	15%	7%	31%	21%	11%	14%
4250 LBS TO	3750 LBS	-	ကု	-68	0	0	-38	1	4	0	4	18	06	ω	41	221	43
.0 PSI DS)	3,250	1	29	614	3	44	749	7	73	978	22	163	1,629	46	300	2,520	AVERAGE
TIRE PRESSURE = 40 PSI TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	3,750	-	30	663	3	42	760	9	99	920	17	136	1,473	34	238	2,170	A
TIRE PRE TIRE LO	4,250	2	33	731	3	42	798	2	62	950	13	118	1,383	26	197	1,949	
EGATE OAD	E(SG) PSI	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	
4-INCH AGGREGATE SURFACED ROAD	E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI	1,000	1,000	1,000	2,000	5,000	5,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	

TABLE F.2 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 4 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD SUBJECT TO A SINGLE AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD.

PERCENT		N/A	20%	15%	A/A	20%	23%	100%	%09	33%	100%	62%	46%	114%	74%	26%	64%
4250 LBS TO	3250 LBS	0	-	7	1	2	15	-	4	31	က	13	82	∞	31	168	38
PERCENT 4250 LBS		A/A	%0	4%	N/A	25%	8%	%0	13%	13%	33%	24%	18%	43%	29%	21%	19%
4250 LBS TO	3750 LBS	0	0	2	0	-	2	0	-	12	1	S	32	8	12	64	14
0 PSI	3,250	0	က	55	F	9	80	2	12	125	9	34	260	15	73	468	AVERAGE
RE PRESSURE = 70 P	3,750	0	2	20	0	2	70	1	6	106	4	5 8	210	10	54	364	AV
TIRE PRESSURE = 70 PSI TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	4,250	0	2	48	0	4	65	1	∞	94	3	21	178	7	42	300	
	IS	0	<u>۔</u>	8	00	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	000,01	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	000'0	
4-INCH AGGREGATE SURFACED ROAD	E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,0	10,	2,	ເດັ	5	7	2	¥	7	2	9	

TABLE F.3 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 4 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD SUBJECT TO A SINGLE AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD.

4-INCH AGGREGATE	GATE	TIRE PRESSURE = 100 PSI	SURE = 10	00 PSI	4250 LBS	PERCENT		4250 LBS PERCENT
SURFACED ROAD	JAD	TIRE LOA	TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	DS)	2	INCREASE	5	INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI	(SG) PSI	4,250 3	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	0	0	0	0	N/A	0	A/N
1,000	5,000	_	-	-	0	%0	0	%0
1,000	10,000	12	14	16	2	17%	4	33%
5,000	2,500	0	0	0	0	N/A	0	A/A
5,000	5,000	_	7	2	_	100%	Ψ-	100%
5,000	10,000	18	21	26	3	17%	80	44%
10,000	2,500	0	0	1	0	N/A	-	N/A
10,000	2,000	က	က	2	0	%0	2	%29
10,000	10,000	28	34	44	9	21%	16	21%
20,000	2,500	-	2	3	-	100%	2	200%
20,000	5,000	ø	7	15	က	38%	7	88%
20,000	10,000	61	77	103	16	26%	42	%69
30,000	2,500	4	5	8	-	25%	4	100%
30,000	5,000	18	52	35	7	39%	17	94%
30,000	10,000	112	144	198	32	29%	86	%22
			AV	AVERAGE	7	31%	20	83%

TABLE F.4 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 8 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD SUBJECT TO A SINGLE AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD.

8-INCH AGGREGATE	REGATE	TIRE PRE	TIRE PRESSURE = 40 PSI	40 PSI	4250 LBS	PERCENT	4250 LBS	PERCENT 4250 LBS PERCENT
SURFACED ROAD	SOAD	TIRE L	FIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	NDS)	5	INCREASE	70	INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	4	2	80	-	25%	4	100%
1,000	5,000	74	96	133	22	30%	29	80%
1,000	10,000	1,489	1,913	2,627	424	28%	1,138	%9/
5,000	2,500	15	21	32	မှ	40%	17	113%
5,000	5,000	161	219	317	28	36%	156	%26
5,000	10,000	2,276	3,009	4,244	733	32%	1,968	86%
10,000	2,500	43	63	86	20	47%	55	128%
10,000	5,000	339	474	402	135	40%	370	109%
10,000	10,000	3,609	4,895	7,085	1,286	36%	3,476	%96
20,000	2,500	168	252	405	84	20%	237	141%
20,000	5,000	973	1,410	2,186	437	45%	1,213	125%
20,000	10,000	7,586	10,602	15,851	3,016	40%	8,265	109%
30,000	2,500	406	635	1,041	229	%95	635	156%
30,000	5,000	2,075	3,071	4,867	966	48%	2,792	135%
30,000	10,000	13,562	19,360	29,479	5,798	43%	15,917	117%
			A	AVERAGE	883	40%	2,420	111%

TABLE F.5 IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 8 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD SUBJECT TO A SINGLE AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD.

8-INCH AGGREGATE	REGATE	TIRE PRE	TIRE PRESSURE = 70 PSI	70 PSI	4250 LBS	PERCENT	PERCENT 4250 LBS	PERCENT
SURFACED ROAD	SOAD	TIRE LC	TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	(SQ)	5	INCREASE	10	INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	1	2	က		100%	2	200%
1,000	5,000	23	33	51	10	43%	28	122%
1,000	10,000	447	637	926	190	43%	529	118%
2,000	2,500	9	6	15	3	20%	6	150%
5,000	5,000	29	86	137	27	46%	78	132%
5,000	10,000	757	1,097	1,711	340	45%	954	126%
10,000	2,500	20	31	52	11	25%	32	160%
10,000	5,000	139	509	337	70	20%	198	142%
10,000	10,000	1,317	1,935	3,063	618	47%	1,746	133%
20,000	2,500	06	141	239	51	21%	149	166%
20,000	2,000	458	702	1,159	244	53%	701	153%
20,000	10,000	3,118	4,678	7,539	1,560	20%	4,421	142%
30,000	2,500	239	380	029	141	29%	411	172%
30,000	5,000	1,056	1,640	2,747	584	22%	1,691	160%
30,000	10,000	6,016	9,131	14,948	3,115	52%	8,932	148%
			A	AVERAGE	464	54%	1,325	148%

TABLE F.6 IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 8 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD SUBJECT TO A SINGLE AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD.

8-INCH AGGREGATE SURFACED ROAD	EGATE OAD	TIRE PRE: TIRE LO	FIRE PRESSURE = 100 PSI TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	100 PSI VDS)	4250 LBS TO	PERCENT INCREASE	4250 LBS TO	PERCENT 4250 LBS PERCENT NCREASE TO INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	-	-	2	0	%0	1	100%
1,000	5,000	14	20	33	9	43%	19	136%
1,000	10,000	260	390	633	130	20%	373	143%
2,000	2,500	4	9	11	2	20%	7	175%
5,000	5,000	37	22	94	20	24%	57	154%
5,000	10,000	460	697	1,143	237	25%	683	148%
10,000	2,500	14	23	39	6	64%	25	179%
10,000	5,000	92	144	241	52	21%	149	162%
10,000	10,000	831	1,273	2,110	442	23%	1,279	154%
20,000	2,500	49	108	187	41	61%	120	179%
20,000	5,000	322	209	870	187	28%	548	170%
20,000	10,000	2,065	3,216	5,412	1,151	26%	3,347	162%
30,000	2,500	184	599	524	115	989	340	185%
30,000	5,000	169	1,226	2,114	457	29%	1,345	175%
30,000	10,000	4,129	6,484	11,005	2,355	21%	6,876	167%
			A	AVERAGE	347	25%	1,011	159%

INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 12 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD SUBJECT TO A SINGLE AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. TABLE F.7

PERCENT 4250 LBS PERCENT		195%	176%	79 169%	3 208%	302%	17 194%	4 209%	36 207%	72 206%	39 211%	00 209%	07 207%	35 212%	53 210%	208%	98 208%
T 4250 L	325	37	620	11,979	158	1,785	20,917	504	3,536	35,772	2,269	11,300	79,107	6,265	26,153	149,061	23.298
PERCENT		74%	%29	64%	%89	120%	%89	%69	%89	%89	%69	%69	%89	%69	%69	%89	72%
4250 LBS TO	3750 LBS	14	238	4,539	52	711	7,288	166	1,167	11,831	744	3,706	26,038	2,051	8,550	49,025	7.741
40 PSI	3,250	56	973	19,064	234	2,377	31,700	745	5,243	53,172	3,344	16,696	117,340	9,221	38,613	220,751	AVERAGE
TIRE PRESSURE = 40 PSI	3,750	33	591	11,624	128	1,303	18,071	407	2,874	29,231	1,819	9,102	64,271	5,007	21,010	120,715	4
TIRE PRI	4,250	19	353	7,085	9/	592	10,783	241	1,707	17,400	1,075	5,396	38,233	2,956	12,460	71,690	
12-INCH AGGREGATE	E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	
AGG	PSI	000	000	,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	10,000	000,01	10,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	

TABLE F.8 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 12 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD SUBJECT TO A SINGLE AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD.

12-INCH AGGREGATE	REGATE	TIRE PR	TIRE PRESSURE = 70 PSI	70 PSI	4250 LBS	PERCENT	4250 LBS	PERCENT 4250 LBS PERCENT
SURFACED ROAD	SOAD	TIRE L	TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	NDS)	10	INCREASE	5	INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	13	21	37	8	95%	24	185%
1,000	5,000	228	366	634	138	61%	406	178%
1,000	10,000	4,436	7,107	12,287	2,671	%09	7,851	177%
5,000	2,500	64	105	186	41	64%	122	191%
5,000	5,000	592	959	1,685	367	62%	1,093	185%
5,000	10,000	7,539	12,159	21,176	4,620	61%	13,637	181%
10,000	2,500	211	363	959	152	72%	445	211%
10,000	5,000	1,438	2,354	4,163	916	64%	2,725	189%
10,000	10,000	13,229	21,513	37,789	8,284	63%	24,560	186%
20,000	2,500	954	1,640	3,063	989	72%	2,109	221%
20,000	5,000	4,718	8,132	14,678	3,414	72%	096'6	211%
20,000	10,000	32,252	52,635	93,343	20,383	63%	61,091	189%
30,000	2,500	2,640	4,549	8,504	1,909	72%	5,864	222%
30,000	2,000	10,967	18,918	35,195	7,951	72%	24,228	221%
30,000	10,000	62,456	104,945	186,708	42,489	68%	124,252	199%
			A	AVERAGE	6,269	%99	18,558	196%

TABLE F.9 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 12 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD SUBJECT TO A SINGLE AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD.

12-INCH AGGREGATE	REGATE	TIRE PRE	TIRE PRESSURE = 100 PSI	100 PSI	4250 LBS	PERCENT	4250 LBS	PERCENT 4250 LBS PERCENT
SURFACED ROAD	SOAD	TIREL	FIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	NDS)	10	INCREASE	T0	INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PS	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	11	18	31	7	64%	20	182%
1,000	5,000	181	297	528	116	64%	347	192%
1,000	10,000	3,506	5,738	10,183	2,232	64%	6,677	190%
5,000	2,500	54	89	161	35	%59	107	198%
2,000	5,000	484	801	1,438	317	65 %	954	197%
5,000	10,000	6,070	9,981	17,866	3,911	64%	11,796	194%
10,000	2,500	190	319	580	129	%89	390	205%
10,000	2,000	1,201	2,004	3,609	803	%29	2,408	200%
10,000	10,000	10,819	17,934	32,113	7,115	%99	21,294	197%
20,000	2,500	912	1,572	2,874	099	72%	1,962	215%
20,000	5,000	4,268	7,151	12,997	2,883	%89	8,729	205%
20,000	10,000	26,880	44,805	80,824	17,925	%29	53,944	201%
30,000	2,500	2,532	4,387	8,262	1,855	73%	5,730	226%
30,000	5,000	10,425	17,531	31,975	7,106	%89	21,550	207%
30,000	10,000	54,081	90,432	163,763	36,351	%29	109,682	203%
			¥	AVERAGE	5,430	%19	16,373	201%

INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE OF A 4 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD SUBJECT TO TANDEM AXLE LOADING BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. TABLE F.10

4-INCH AGGREGATE SURFACE ROAD	REGATE	TIRE PRI	TIRE PRESSURE = 40 PSI TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	40 PSI	4250 LBS TO	4250 LBS PERCENT 4250 LBS TO INCREASE TO	4250 LBS TO	PERCENT
E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	-	_	-	0	%0	0	%0
1,000	5,000	15	31	29	16	107%	41	93%
1,000	10,000	330	299	617	337	102%	287	87%
5,000	2,500	က	ဗ	3	0	%0	0	%0
2,000	5,000	43	43	44	0	%0	_	2%
5,000	10,000	342	166	749	424	124%	407	119%
10,000	2,500	5	9	7	1	20%	2	40%
10,000	5,000	62	29	73	5	8 %	11	18%
10,000	10,000	959	957	984	-5	%0	25	3%
20,000	2,500	4	17	22	13	325%	18	450%
20,000	5,000	41	138	165	97	237%	124	302%
20,000	10,000	529	1,489	1,644	960	181%	1,115	211%
30,000	2,500	7	34	47	27	386%	40	571%
30,000	5,000	200	241	304	41	21%	104	25%
30,000	10,000	1,971	2,196	2,544	225	11%	573	29%
			AVE	AVERAGE =	143	101%	181	132%

INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE OF A 4 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD SUBJECT TO TANDEM AXLE LOADING BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. TABLE F.11

4-INCH AGGREGATE	REGATE	TIRE PRE	TIRE PRESSURE = 70 PSI		4250 LBS	PERCENT	4250 LBS	PERCENT
SURFACE ROAD	DAD	TIRE LO	FIRE LOAD (POUNDS)		5	INCREASE	2	INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750 3,250	Г	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	0	0	0	0	%0	0	%0
1,000	5,000	-	2	က	_	100%	2	200%
1,000	10,000	28	51	55	23	82%	27	%96
5,000	2,500	0	0	1	0	%0	1	%0
5,000	5,000	4	သ	9	_	25%	2	20%
5,000	10,000	35	71	81	36	103%	46	131%
10,000	2,500	1	-	2	0	%0	1	100%
10,000	5,000	œ	Ø	12	_	13%	4	20%
10,000	10,000	95	106 1	125	11	12%	30	32%
20,000	2,500	1	4	9	3	300%	S	200%
20,000	5,000	o	56	34	17	189%	25	278%
20,000	10,000	84	211 2	262	127	151%	178	212%
30,000	2,500	3	10	19	7	233%	16	533%
30,000	5,000	42	54	74	12	78%	32	%92
30,000	10,000	302	367 4	472	65	22%	170	26%
			AVERAGE =	1)	20	84%	36	154%

INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE OF A 4 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD SUBJECT TO TANDEM AXLE LOADING BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. TABLE F.12

				_	_									_			_
PERCENT INCREASE		%0	%0	113%	%0	100%	136%	%0	%19	25%	200%	275%	222%	%002	94%	%9/	136%
4250 LBS TO	3250 LBS	0	-	6	0	_	15	1	7	15	2	1	71	2	17	86	16
4250 LBS PERCENT TO INCREASE		%0	%0	75%	%0	100%	91%	%0	%0	21%	100%	175%	141%	400%	39%	28%	78%
4250 LBS TO	3750 LBS	0	–	9	0	-	10	0	0	9	1	7	45	4	7	32	80
	3,250	0	-	17	0	2	26	1	S	44	3	15	103	8	35	199	AVERAGE =
REPRESSURE = 100 F TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	3,750	0	_	14	0	7	21	0	က	35	2	7	77	9	22	145	AVE
TIRE PRESSURE = 100 PSI TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	4,250	0	0	8	0	~	11	0	က	29	1	4	32	1	18	113	
REGATE	E(SG) PSI	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	
4-INCH AGGREGATE SURFACE ROAD	E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI	1,000	1,000	1,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	

INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE OF A 8 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD SUBJECT TO TANDEM AXLE LOADING BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. TABLE F.13

8-INCH AGGREGATE	REGATE	TIRE PR	TIRE PRESSURE = 40 PSI	Г	4250 LBS	PERCENT	4250 LBS	PERCENT
SURFACE ROAD	OAD	TIREL	TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	VDS)	9	INCREASE	70	INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	3	9	8	3	100%	5	167%
1,000	5,000	47	86	136	51	109%	68	189%
1,000	10,000	296	1,953	2,680	986	102%	1,713	177%
5,000	2,500	80	22	33	14	175%	25	313%
5,000	5,000	92	224	324	132	143%	232	252%
5,000	10,000	1,380	3,071	4,339	1,691	123%	2,959	214%
10,000	2,500	21	99	102	45	214%	81	386%
10,000	5,000	179	488	729	309	173%	550	307%
10,000	10,000	2,070	5,022	7,262	2,952	143%	5,192	251%
20,000	2,500	0/	267	429	197	281%	359	513%
20,000	5,000	466	1,469	2,276	1,003	215%	1,810	388%
20,000	10,000	4,018	10,930	16,327	6,912	172%	12,309	306%
30,000	2,500	151	681	1,115	530	351%	964	638%
30,000	5,000	928	3,233	5,109	2,305	248%	4,181	451%
30,000	10,000	6,766	20,047	30,500	13,281	196%	23,734	351%
			AVE	AVERAGE =	2,027	183%	3,614	327%

TABLE F.14 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE OF A 8 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD SUBJECT TO TANDEM AXLE LOADING BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD.

8-INCH AGGREGATE SURFACE ROAD	REGATE DAD	TIRE PRI TIRE LO	FIRE PRESSURE = 70 PSI TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)		4250 LBS TO	4250 LBS PERCENT TO INCREASE	4250 LBS TO	PERCENT
E(BASE) PSI	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	1	2	3	-	100%	2	200%
1,000	5,000	16	33	51	17	106%	35	219%
1,000	10,000	316	648	992	332	105%	9/9	214%
5,000	2,500	4	10	15	9	150%	11	275%
5,000	5,000	37	88	139	51	138%	102	276%
5,000	10,000	499	1,115	1,738	616	123%	1,239	248%
10,000	2,500	11	33	54	22	200%	43	391%
10,000	5,000	80	214	345	134	168%	265	331%
10,000	10,000	818	1,976	3,126	1,158	142%	2,308	282%
20,000	2,500	41	149	251	108	263%	210	512%
20,000	5,000	236	727	1,201	491	208%	965	409%
20,000	10,000	1,782	4,798	7,730	3,016	169%	5,948	334%
30,000	2,500	100	405	691	305	305%	591	591%
30,000	5,000	202	1,715	2,867	1,208	238%	2,360	465%
30,000	10,000	3,241	9,436	15,391	6,195	191%	12,150	375%
			AVE	AVERAGE =	911	174%	1,794	341%

INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE OF A 8 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD SUBJECT TO TANDEM AXLE LOADING BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. TABLE F.15

8-INCH AGGREGATE	REGATE	TIRE PRE	TIRE PRESSURE = 100 PSI	100 PSI	4250 LBS	4250 LBS PERCENT	4250 LBS	PERCENT
SURFACE ROAD	OAD	TIRE L	TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	NDS)	10	INCREASE	O L	INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	4	1	2	0	%0	1	100%
1,000	5,000	10	21	34	11	110%	24	240%
1,000	10,000	191	396	641	205	107%	450	236%
5,000	2,500	2	7	11	9	250%	6	450%
5,000	5,000	24	28	96	34	142%	72	300%
5,000	10,000	314	708	1,159	394	125%	845	269%
10,000	2,500	Ø	24	40	16	200%	32	400%
10,000	5,000	55	147	247	92	167%	192	349%
10,000	10,000	533	1,295	2,145		143%	1,612	302%
20,000	2,500	32	113	197	81	253%	165	516%
20,000	5,000	171	526	897	355	208%	726	425%
20,000	10,000	1,221	3,292	5,523	2,071	170%	4,302	352%
30,000	2,500	80	317	556	237	%967	476	%365
30,000	5,000	381	1,279	2,207	868	236%	1,826	479%
30,000	10,000	2,298	6,664	11,309	4,366	190%	9,011	392%
			AVI	AVERAGE =	635	173%	1,316	360%

INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE OF A 12 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD SUBJECT TO TANDEM AXLE LOADING BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. TABLE F.16

12-INCH AGGREGATE	REGATE	TIRE PR	TIRE PRESSURE = 40 PSI	Г	4250 LBS	PERCENT 4250 LBS	4250 LBS	PERCENT
SURFACE ROAD	JAD	TIRE L	TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	NDS)	2	INCREASE	2	INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	13	34	62	21	162%	64	377%
1,000	5,000	235	615	1,010	380	162%	775	330%
1,000	10,000	4,798	12,075	19,738	7,277	152%	14,940	311%
5,000	2,500	44	137	249	93	211%	205	466%
5,000	5,000	479	1,371	2,495	892	186%	2,016	421%
5,000	10,000	6,955	18,918	33,102	11,963	172%	26,147	376%
10,000	2,500	127	439	803	312	246%	929	532%
10,000	5,000	988	3,063	5,588	2,075	210%	4,600	466%
10,000	10,000	10,746	30,630	55,902	19,884	185%	45,156	420%
20,000	2,500	1,552	1,958	3,590	406	76%	2,038	131%
20,000	5,000	2,846	9,817	18,071	6,971	245%	15,225	535%
20,000	10,000	22,118	68,484	124,937	46,366	210%	102,819	465%
30,000	2,500	1,206	5,258	9,688	4,052	336%	8,482	703%
30,000	5,000	6,106	22,744	41,730	16,638	272%	35,624	583%
30,000	10,000	39,494	129,722	236,982	90,228	228%	197,488	200%
			AV	AVERAGE =	13,837	200%	30,416	441%

TABLE F.17 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE OF A 12 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD SUBJECT TO TANDEM AXLE LOADING BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD.

SATE	TIRE PRE	11111	TIRE PRESSURE = 70 PSI	Г	4250 LBS		428	PERCENT
SURFACE ROAD		TIRE L	TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	VDS)	၀	INCREASE	10	INCREASE
E(SG) PSI		4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
2,500		6	22	39	13	144%	30	333%
5,000		164	378	656	214	130%	492	300%
10,000		3,250	7,330	12,680	4,080	126%	9,430	290%
2,500		38	111	197	73	192%	159	418%
5,000		387	1,006	1,762	619	160%	1,375	355%
10,000		5,197	12,636	22,030	7,439	143%	16,833	324%
2,500		112	391	206	279	249%	594	530%
2,000		862	2,495	4,412	1,633	189%	3,550	412%
10,000		8,670	22,473	39,458	13,803	159%	30,788	355%
2,500		1,145	1,762	3,292	617	54%	2,147	188%
5,000		2,507	8,754	15,792	6,247	249%	13,285	230%
10,000	Ì	19,286	55,847	98,744	36,561	190%	79,458	412%
2,500		1,088	4,771	8,926	3,683	339%	7,838	720%
2,000		5,412	20,362	38,061	14,950	276%	32,649	603%
10,000		34,581	112,332	199,781	77,751	225%	165,200	478%
			AVE	AVERAGE =	11,197	188%	24,255	417%

INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE OF A 12 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD SUBJECT TO TANDEM AXLE LOADING BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. TABLE F.18

12-INCH AGGREGATE	REGATE	TIRE PRE	TIRE PRESSURE = 100 PSI	100 PSI	4250 LBS	PERCENT	4250 LBS	4250 LBS PERCENT
SURFACE ROAD	OAD	TIREL	TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	NDS)	2	INCREASE	2	INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	80	18	32	10	125%	24	300%
1,000	5,000	132	307	545	175	133%	413	313%
1,000	10,000	2,601	5,927	10,495	3,326	128%	7,894	303%
5,000	2,500	33	95	171	62	188%	138	418%
2,000	5,000	321	837	1,502	516	161%	1,181	368%
5,000	10,000	4,244	10,355	18,489	6,111	144%	14,245	336%
10,000	2,500	106	343	622	237	224%	516	487%
10,000	5,000	740	2,119	3,817	1,379	186%	3,077	416%
10,000	10,000	7,195	18,774	33,538	11,579	161%	26,343	366%
20,000	2,500	422	1,688	3,086	1,266	300%	2,664	631%
20,000	5,000	2,377	7,682	13,955	5,305	223%	11,578	487%
20,000	10,000	16,572	47,417	85,486	30,845	186%	68,914	416%
30,000	2,500	1,047	4,613	8,670	3,566	341%	7,623	728%
30,000	5,000	5,182	18,918	34,430	13,736	265%	29,248	564%
30,000	10,000	31,564	96,635	174,886	65,071	206%	143,322	454%
			AVI	AVERAGE =	9,546	198%	21,145	439%

Appendix G: Effects of Lower Tire Pressure and Tire Load on Rutting Failure of Aggregate Roads

SUBJECT TO A SINGLE AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD AND PRESSURE INDIVIDUALLY PERCENT INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE FOR 4 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD AND COMBINED. TABLE G.1

FROM 4250 LBS	PERCENT	FROM 100 PSI	PERCENT	COMBINED	PERCENT	SYNERGISTIC
<u>٥</u>	INCREASE	2	INCREASE	EFFECT	INCREASE	FACTOR
3250 LBS		40 PSI				
0	N/A	2	N/A	-	%0	0.50
0	%0	32	3200%	28	2800%	0.88
4	33%	719	5992%	602	5017%	0.83
0	A/A	င	N/A	က	%0	1.00
-	100%	41	4100%	43	4300%	1.02
8	44%	780	4333%	731	4061%	0.93
1	N/A	5	N/A	7	%0	1.17
2	%29	59	1967%	70	2333%	1.15
16	21%	922	3293%	950	3393%	1.01
2	200%	12	1200%	21	2100%	1.50
7	%88	110	1375%	155	1938%	1.32
42	%69	1,322	2167%	1,568	2570%	1.15
4	100%	22	220%	42	1050%	1.62
17	94%	179	994%	282	1567%	1.44
86	77%	1,837	1640%	2,408	2150%	1.25
18	83%	447	1465%	550	1710%	1.16
AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE

NOTE: N/A REPRESENTS PERCTANGE INCREASES THAT CAN NOT BE CALCULATED DUE TO THE REFERENCE LOADS TO FAILURE BEING ZERO (0)

PERCENT INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE FOR 8 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD SUBJECT TO A SINGLE AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD AND PRESSURE INDIVIDUALLY AND COMBINED. TABLE G.2

ZEF	FROM 4250 LBS PERCENT FROM 100 PSI PERCENT COMBINED PE	PERCENT	SYNERGISTIC
NCREASE .	TO INCREASE EFFECT IN	INCREASE	FACTOR
40 PSI	31		
100%	300%	%0	1.75
136% 60	429% 119	820%	1.51
143% 1,	1,229 473% 2,367	910%	1.48
175%	275% 28	%0	1.56
154% 124	335% 280	757%	1.55
148% 1,816	6 395% 3,784	823%	1.51
179% 29	207% 84	%0	1.56
162% 247	268% 617	671%	1.56
154% 2,778	8 334% 6,254	753%	1.54
179% 101	151% 338	504%	1.53
170% 651	202% 1,864	219%	1.55
162% 5,521	1 267% 13,786	%899	1.55
185% 222	121% 857	466%	1.52
175% 1,306	6 170% 4,098	533%	1.55
167% 9,433	228% 25,350	614%	1.55
149% 1,471	1 260% 3,740	208%	1.45
AVERAGE AVERAGE	AVEDACE AVEDACE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE

PERCENT INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE FOR 12 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD SUBJECT TO A SINGLE AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD AND PRESSURE INDIVIDUALLY AND COMBINED. TABLE G.3

FROM 4250 LBS PERCENT FROM 100 PSI	PERCENT	FROM 100 PSI	PERCENT	COMBINED	PERCENT	SYNERGISTIC
5	INCREASE	2	INCREASE	EFFECT	INCREASE	FACTOR
3250 LBS		40 PSI				
20	182%	ဆ	26%	45	%0	1.61
347	192%	172	%56	792	438%	1.53
6,677	190%	3,579	102%	15,558	444%	1.52
107	198%	22	14%	180	%0	1.40
954	197%	108	22%	1,893	391%	1.78
11,796	194%	4,713	78%	25,630	422%	1.55
390	205%	51	%6	555	%0	1.26
2,408	200%	206	42%	4,042	337%	1.39
21,294	197%	6,581	61%	42,353	391%	1.52
1,962	215%	163	18%	2,432	267%	1.14
8,729	205%	1,128	76%	12,428	291%	1.26
53,944	201%	11,353	42%	90,460	337%	1.39
5,730	226%	424	17%	689'9	264%	1.09
21,550	207%	2,035	20%	28,188	270%	1.20
109,682	203%	17,609	33%	166,670	308%	1.31
15,349	188%	3,028	38%	24,870	260%	1.31
AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE

PERCENT INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE FOR A 4 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD AND TIRE PRESSURE INDIVIDUALLY AND COMBINED. TABLE G.4

LOAD FROM		TIRE PRESSURE				
4250 LBS TO	PERCENT	FROM 100 PSI TO	PERCENT	COMBINED	PERCENT	SYNERGISTIC
3250 LBS	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE	EFFECT	INCREASE	FACTOR
0	N/A	-	N/A	1	A/A	1.00
4	ΚX	15	A/A	29	ΑX	1.81
6	113%	322	4025%	609	7613%	1.84
0	A/N	က	N/A	3	N/A	1.00
-	100%	42	4200%	43	4300%	1.00
15	136%	331	3009%	738	%6029	2.13
1	A/A	5	N/A	7	A/Z	1.17
7	%29	29	1967%	20	2333%	1.15
15	52%	930	3207%	955	3293%	1.01
2	200%	3	300%	21	2100%	4.20
11	275%	37	925%	161	4025%	3.35
71	222%	497	1553%	1,612	5038%	2.84
7	%002	9	%009	46	4600%	3.54
17	94%	182	1011%	286	1589%	1.44
86	%9/	1,858	1644%	2,431	2151%	1.25
16	185%	286	2040%	467	3977%	1.92
AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE

NOTE: N/A REPRESENTS PERCENTAGE INCREASES THAT CAN NOT BE CALCULATED DUE TO THE REFERENCE LOADS TO FAILURE BEING ZERO (0).

PERCENT INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE FOR A 8 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD AND TIRE PRESSURE INDIVIDUALLY AND COMBINED. TABLE G.5

LOAD FROM		TIRE PRESSURE				
4250 LBS TO	PERCENT	FROM 100 PSI TO	PERCENT	COMBINED	PERCENT	SYNERGISTIC
3250 LBS	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE	EFFECT	INCREASE	FACTOR
	100%	2	200%	7	%00 2	2.33
24	240%	37	370%	126	1260%	2.07
450	236%	776	406%	2,489	1303%	2.03
6	450%	9	300%	31	1550%	2.07
72	300%	89	283%	300	1250%	2.14
845	269%	1,066	339%	4,025	1282%	2.11
32	400%	13	163%	94	1175%	2.09
192	349%	124	225%	674	1225%	2.13
1,612	302%	1,537	288%	6,729	1262%	2.14
165	516%	38	119%	397	1241%	1.96
726	425%	295	173%	2,105	1231%	2.06
4,302	352%	2,797	229%	15,106	1237%	2.13
476	295%	71	%68	1,035	1294%	1.89
1,826	479%	547	144%	4,728	1241%	1.99
9,011	392%	4,468	194%	28,202	1227%	2.09
1,316	360%	260	235%	4,403	1232%	2.08
AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE

TABLE G.6 PERCENT INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE FOR A 12 INCH AGGREGATE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD AND TIRE PRESSURE INDIVIDUALLY AND COMBINED.

LOAD FROM		TIRE PRESSURE				
4250 LBS TO	PERCENT	FROM 100 PSI TO	PERCENT	COMBINED	PERCENT	SYNERGISTIC
3250 LBS	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE	EFFECT	INCREASE	FACTOR
24	300%	5	63%	54	675%	1.86
413	313%	103	78%	878	%599	1.70
7,894	303%	2,197	84%	17,137	%659	1.70
138	418%	11	33%	216	655%	1.45
1,181	368%	158	49%	2,174	%229	1.62
14,245	336%	2,711	64%	28,858	%089	1.70
516	487%	21	20%	269	%859	1.30
3,077	416%	248	34%	4,848	655%	1.46
26,343	366%	3,551	49%	48,707	%219	1.63
2,664	631%	1,130	268%	3,168	751%	0.84
11,578	487%	469	20%	15,694	%099	1.30
68,914	416%	5,546	33%	108,365	654%	1.46
7,623	728%	159	15%	8,641	825%	1.11
29,248	564%	924	18%	36,548	%502	1.21
143,322	454%	7,930	25%	205,418	651%	1.36
21,145	439%	1,678	21%	32,094	683%	1.45
AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE

Appendix H: Effects of Lower Tire Pressure on Fatigue and Rutting Failure of Asphalt Concrete Roads

TABLE H.1 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE FOR A 1 INCH ASPHALT, E=150,000 PSI, CONCRETE ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE OF A DUAL TIRE SINGLE AXLE LOAD OF 3,250 LBS/TIRE.

		E(BASE)=1,000 PSI		100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	692	154	69	85	123%	623	904%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	856	155	77	77	100%	779	1005%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	972	193	83	110	133%	889	1072%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	22	13	10		28%	13	129%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	420	227	174	53	30%	246	141%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	8,754	4,613	3,506	1,107	32%	5,248	150%
		E(BASE)=5,000 PSI					
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	30,845	3,484	1,078	2,406	223%	29,767	2761%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	38,061	3,942	1,180	2,762	234%	36,881	3125%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	44,752	4,326	1,264	3,062	242%	43,488	3440%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	32	16	12	4	35%	20	167%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	313	144	103		40%	210	203%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	4,233	1,815	1,257	557	44%	2,975	237%
			=10,000 PSI					
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	621,880	34,768	7,699	27,069	352%	614,181	7977%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	535,049	33,030		25,568	343%	527,587	7070%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	491,354	32,263	7,367	24,896	338%	483,988	6570%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	86	45	33	11	35%	53	159%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	578	268	191	78	41%	387	203%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	5,572	2,343	1,597	746	47%	3,975	249%
			=20,000 PSI					
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,802,191,509	1,158,500	120,225	1,038,275	864%	1,802,071,284	1498920%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSi	98,836,992	724,249	94,055	630,194	670%	98,742,937	104984%
BUSTAG	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	19,260,328	534,542	79,604	454,938	572%	19,180,724	24095%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	331	182	138	44	32%	193	140%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,644	804	581	224	39%	1,063	183%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	11,194	4,867	3,344	1,523	46%	7,850	235%
EATIONE	E(00) -2 500 DOL		=30,000 PSI	4 450 050	40 000 E00	20000/	NO TENDIONI	NO TENDION
FATIGUE FAILURE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI E(SG) =5,000 PSI	NO TENSION			46,068,502	3996%	NO TENSION	NO TENSION
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	NO TENSION 2,700,170,360	4.498,637	650,491	9,546,215	1468%	NO TENSION	NO TENSION
RUTTING	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	2,700,170,360	4,498,637	443,348 356	4,055,289 106	915% 30%	2,699,727,012	608940%
FAILURE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	3,496	1,790	1,317	473	36%	448	126%
ALUKE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	19,969	9,072	6,311	2,761	44%	2,179 13,659	165% 216%
	E(33) = 10,000 PSI		9,072 RUTTING A		515	37%	2,568	180%
			FATIGUE A		4.125.297	705%	355,496,934	174682%
			FATIGUE A	EIWGE =	4,125,29/	705%	355,486,934	174002%

TABLE H.2 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE FOR A 1 INCH ASPHALT, E=150,000 PSI, CONCRETE ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE OF A DUAL TIRE SINGLE AXLE LOAD OF 3,750 LBS/TIRE.

		E(BAS	E)=1,000 PSI		100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	586	137	59	78	133%	527	899%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	759	160	67	94	141%	693	1041%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	888	176	72	104	146%	816	1139%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	14	7	6	2	33%	9	154%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	272	136	101	35	35%	171	169%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	5,721	2,782	2,041	741	36%	3,680	180%
		E(BAS	E)=5,000 PSI					
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	23,213	3,646	1,058	2,588	245%	22,155	2094%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	29,438	4,196	1,171	3,024	258%	28,266	2413%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	35,305	4,665	1,266	3,399	269%	34,039	2689%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	21	10	7	3	40%	14	198%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	212	90	61	28	46%	151	246%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	2,948	1,148	757	390	52%	2,191	289%
)=10,000 PSI					
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	389,313			34,179	400%	380,774	4459%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	333,500	,	8,246	31,787	385%	325,254	3944%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	305,300	38,798	8,116	30,682	378%	297,184	3662%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	56	27	19	8	39%	36	187%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	390	167	114	53	47%	276	243%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	3,890	1,489	967	522	54%	2,923	302%
)=20,000 PSI					
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	18,942,617,591	2,302,877	172,210	2,130,668		18,942,445,381	10999627%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	54,772,697	1,204,098		1,077,968	855%	54,646,567	43326%
RUTTING	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	10,364,252	805,827	102,279	703,548	688%	10,261,973	10033%
FAILURE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	210	109 496	80	29	36% 44%	130	162%
PAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,084 14,056	3,071	345 2.018	151 1,053	52%	739	214% 597%
	E(3G) = 10,000 P31)=30,000 PSI	2,010	1,055	52%	12,038	39/76
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2.500 PSI	NO TENSION		2.407.994	526.298.554	21856%	NO TENSION	NO TENSION
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	NO TENSION	29.889.260	_, ,	28.802.729	2651%	NO TENSION	NO TENSION
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	2,837,815,543	8,817,011	655,756	8,161,255	1245%	2,837,159,787	432655%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	503	275	206	68	33%	296	144%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	2,271	1,095	776	319	41%	1,495	193%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	13,514	5,671	3,797	1,874	49%	9,717	256%
		.5,014		AVERAGE =	352	43%	2,258	236%
				AVERAGE =	37,818,710	2059%	1,680,431,032	885229%
	-						.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	

TABLE H.3 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE FOR AN 1 INCH ASPHALT, E=150,000 PSI, CONCRETE ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE OF A DUAL TIRE SINGLE AXLE LOAD OF 4,250 LBS/TIRE.

<u> </u>		E/B/	ASE)=1.000 PSI		100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	443	126			144%	391	760%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	577	149	59		152%	518	876%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	676	165			158%	612	955%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	10	5			37%	6	180%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	190	88	63	25	40%	126	199%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	4,018	1,815	1,284	531	41%	2,734	213%
		E(BA	SE)=5,000 PSI					
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PS)	16,864	3,884	1,061	2,822	266%	15,803	1489%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	21,326	4,552	1,187	3,365	284%	20,139	1697%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	25,496	5,134	1,297	3,837	296%	24,199	1866%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	15	7	5	2	45%	10	231%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	154	60	40	21	52%	115	290%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	2,201	782	493	289	59%	1,709	347%
			SE)=10,000 PSI					
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	271,785	53,137	9,663	43,474	450%	262,121	2713%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	231,494	48,990	9,243	39,748	430%	222,251	2405%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	210,678	47,064	9,066	37,998	419%	201,612	2224%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	39	18	12	5	44%	26	215%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	281	112	73	39	53%	208	283%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	2,904	1,018	633	386	61%	2,271	359%
		,	SE)=20,000 PSI					
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	5,608,047,422	5,187,648	255,847	4,931,801		5,607,791,574	2191851%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	31,897,922	2,100,872	172,674	1,928,198	1117%	31,725,248	18373%
B. Internation	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	6,392,644	1,239,842	133,326	1,106,516	830%	6,259,318	4695%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	143	71	51	20	40%	92	183%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	766	329	221	108	49%	545	247%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	5,654	2,090	1,306	784	60%	4,348	333%
EATIONE	E(0.0) 0 500 500		E)=30,000 PSI					
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	N/A	N/A	5,868,570	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	N/A	125,848,909	1,916,002		6468%	N/A	N/A
RUTTING	E(SG) =10,000 PSI E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,034,832,653 337	18,779,876	989,131	17,790,745		1,033,843,523	104520%
FAILURE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,576	177 720	129 494	48 226	37% 46%	208	161%
ALUNE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	9,784	3,828	2,465	1,363	46% 55%	1,082	219%
	E(33) - 10,000 PSI	5,/04		2,465 VERAGE ≃	1,363	55% 48%	7,320	297% 250%
				VERAGE =	10.701.548	1053%	1,387 513,874,408	250% 179571%
			FATIGUE	TVERAGE =	10,701,546	1053%	513,074,408	1/85/1%

TABLE H.4 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE FOR A 2 INCH ASPHALT, E=150,000 PSI, CONCRETE ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE OF A DUAL TIRE SINGLE AXLE LOAD OF 3,250 LBS/TIRE.

		E(BASI)=1,000 PSI		100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,818	884	551	333	60%	1,267	230%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	2,432	1,098	666	432	65%	1,765	265%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	2,922	1,256	749	508	68%	2,173	290%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	178	156	149	7	5%	29	19%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	3,292	2,882	2,734	148	5%	558	20%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	68,730	59,775	56,729	3,045	5%	12,001	21%
		E(BASE	E)=5,000 PSI					
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	14,259	4,718	2,519	2,199	87%	11,740	466%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	20,861	6,194	3,161	3,033	96%	17,700	560%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	28,070	7,679	3,778	3,901	103%	24,291	643%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	153	111	94	17	18%	58	62%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,562	1,024	852	173	20%	710	83%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	21,428	13,370	10,930	2,440	22%	10,498	96%
			=10,000 PSI					
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	59,835	15,900	7,521	8,379	111%	52,314	696%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	80,800	19,586	8,894	10,692	120%	71,906	808%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	106,064	23,582	10,313	13,269	129%	95,751	928%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	304	206	174	32	19%	130	75%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	2,051	1,298	1,062	236	22%	989	93%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	19,892	11,786	9,374	2,412	26%	10,518	112%
			=20,000 PSI					
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	526,016	94,477	36,350	58,127	160%	489,665	1347%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	589,674	101,520	38,435	63,085	164%	551,239	1434%
DUITTINIO	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	669,164	110,034	40,873	69,161	169%	628,291	1537%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	924	625	524	101	19%	400	76%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	4,511	2,824	2,292	532	23%	2,219	97%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	30,370	17,531	13,757	3,775	27%	16,613	121%
EATIONE	F/00) =0 500 DOL		=30,000 PSI	405 746	077.004	2048	0.540.070	670.404
FATIGUE FAILURE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI E(SG) =5,000 PSI	3,638,621	403,029	125,745	277,284	221%	3,512,876	2794%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	3,205,962 2,971,580	377,807 363,964	120,225	257,583	214%	3,085,737	2567%
RUTTING	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	2,971,580	1,410	117,092 1,186	246,872 224	211% 19%	2,854,488 889	2438% 75%
FAILURE	E(SG) =2,000 PSI E(SG) =5,000 PSI	8,726	5,491	4,461	1,030	23%	4,265	75% 96%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	48,527	28.025	21,943	6,082	23%	4,265 26,584	121%
	L(00) - 10,000 PSI	40,327		AVERAGE =	1,350	19%	5,764	78%
				AVERAGE =	67,657	132%	760,080	1134%
			IATIGUE	AVENAGE =	07,007	132%	700,000	113470

TABLE H.5 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE FOR A 2 INCH ASPHALT, E=150,000 PSI, CONCRETE ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE OF A DUAL TIRE SINGLE AXLE LOAD OF 3,250 LBS/TIRE.

	·	E(BASI	E)=1,000 PSI		100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,258	677	414	262	63%	844	204%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,690		506	346	68%	1,184	234%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	2,040		572	410	72%	1,468	257%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	98	84	80	5	6%	18	23%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1.823	1,555	1,466	89	6%	357	24%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	38,130	32,392	30,370	2,022	7%	7,760	26%
		E(BASE)=5,000 PSI					
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	9,883	3,859	2,008	1,851	92%	7,875	392%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	15,002	5,181	2,566	2,615	102%	12,436	485%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	21,197	6,524	3,105	3,418	110%	18,092	583%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	83	63	53	10	20%	31	59%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	908	590	479	111	23%	429	89%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	13,182	7,779	6,198	1,581	26%	6,983	113%
		E(BASE)	=10,000 PSI					
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	43,020	13,689	6,286	7,403	118%	36,734	584%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	60,659	17,198	7,540	9,658	128%	53,119	704%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	83,399	21,084	8,870	12,215	138%	74,529	840%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	174	118	97	21	21%	77	79%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,255	754	602	153	25%	653	109%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	12,460	6,934	5,365	1,569	29%	7,095	132%
		,	=20,000 PSI					
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	397,468	89,128	32,883	56,246	171%	364,586	1109%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	454,652	96,625	34,970	61,655	176%	419,683	1200%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	530,006		37,437	68,259	182%	492,569	1316%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	552	358	294	64	22%	258	88%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	2,761	1,644	1,300	343	26%	1,461	112%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	19,064	10,390	7,903	2,487	31%	11,161	141%
			=30,000 PSI					
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	2,901,502	421,074	122,870	298,204	243%	2,778,632	2261%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	2,530,967	390,657	116,673	273,985	235%	2,414,294	2069%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	2,337,239	373,647	113,256	260,390	230%	2,223,983	1964%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,234	809	665	143	22%	569	85%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	5,319	3,200	2,532	668	26%	2,787	110%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	30,500	16,634	12,636	3,998	32%	17,864	141%
				AVERAGE =	884	21%	3,833	89%
			FATIGUE	AVERAGE =	70,461	142%	593,335	947%

TABLE H.6 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE FOR A 2 INCH ASPHALT, E=150,000 PSI, CONCRETE ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE OF A DUAL TIRE SINGLE AXLE LOAD OF 4,250 LBS/TIRE.

		E(BASE)=1,000 PSI		100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	928	539	324	215	66%	604	186%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,255	686	400	286	72%	855	214%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,518	797	455	342	75%	1,063	234%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	59	49	46	3	7%	12	27%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,095	913	852	62	7%	243	29%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	22,927	18,991	17,664	1,327	8%	5,263	30%
		E(BASE)=5,000 PSI					
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	7,329	3,264	1,662	1,602	96%	5,667	341%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	11,248	4,472	2,154	2,318	108%	9,094	422%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	23,651	5,733	2,644	3,089	117%	21,007	794%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	50	39	32	7	22%	18	
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	540	368	292	76	26%	248	85%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	8,262	4,908	3,797	1,112	29%	4,465	118%
			=10,000 PSI					
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	31,939	12,114	5,429	6,685	123%	26,510	488%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	45,316	15,543	6,605	8,937	135%	38,711	586%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	62,630	19,390	7,883	11,507	146%	54,747	694%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	102	73	59	14	24%	43	6
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	795	474	369	105	29%	427	116%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	8,368	4,424	3,327	1,097	33%	5,042	152%
			=20,000 PSI					
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	295,734	86,014	30,527	55,487	182%	265,206	869%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	338,759	94,003	32,657	61,346	188%	306,103	937%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	394,384	103,819	35,202	68,617	195%	359,182	1020%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	323	222	179	43	24%	145	
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,819	1,035	800	235	29%	1,019	127%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	12,905	6,644	4,922	1,721	35%	7,983	162%
		,	=30,000 PSI					
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	2,174,992	448,954	123,019	325,935	265%	2,051,973	1668%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,886,842	411,202	115,979	295,223	255%	1,770,863	1527%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,735,216	390,657	112,059	278,599	249%	1,623,157	1448%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	725	500	404	96	24%	321	80%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	3,487	2,013	1,559	454	29%	1,929	124%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	20,602	10,638	7,878	2,760	35%	12,724	162%
				AVERAGE =	607	24%	2,659	95%
				AVERAGE =	74,679	151%	435,649	762%

TABLE H.7
INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE FOR A 3 INCH ASPHALT, E=150,000 PSI,
CONCRETE ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE OF A DUAL TIRE SINGLE
AXLE LOAD OF 3,250 LBS/TIRE.

		E(BASE)=1,000 PSI		100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	4,948	3,232	2,285	946	41%	2,663	117%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	6,179	3,892	2,700	1,192	44%	3,479	129%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	7,107	4,374	2,997	1,377	46%	4,110	137%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,325	1,193	1,145	48	4%	180	16%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	22,295	19,969	19,064	905	5%	3,230	17%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	436,355	388,568	371,204	17,364	5%	65,150	18%
		E(BASE	E)=5,000 PSI					
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	24,873	12,523	7,925	4,598	58%	16,948	214%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	33,753		9,718		63%	24,035	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	43,325	19,012	11,449	7,564	66%	31,876	278%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	801	717	688	30	4%	114	17%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	7,085	6,273	5,980	293	5%	1,104	18%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	90,679	79,508	75,554	3,953	5%	15,124	20%
			=10,000 PSI					
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	81,320	33,273	19,417	13,857	71%	61,903	319%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	104,722	39,895	22,670	17,225	76%	82,052	362%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	132,418	46,957	26,040	20,917	80%	106,378	409%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,590	1,432	1,377	54	4%	213	15%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	9,784	8,726	8,342	384	5%	1,443	17%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSi	89,260	78,672	74,965	3,708	5%	14,296	19%
		_ (/	=20,000 PSI					
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	449,358	134,577	68,784	65,793	96%	380,573	553%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	506,170	146,556	73,764	72,792	99%	432,406	586%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	573,829	160,069	79,350	80,719	102%	494,479	623%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	5,182	4,718	4,562	156	3%	621	14%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	23,019	20,764	20,047	717	4%	2,972	15%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	146,194	130,567	125,156	5,411	4%	21,038	17%
			=30,000 PSI					
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,682,180	396,094	183,552	212,542	116%	1,498,628	816%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,695,809	398,503	181,183	217,321	120%	1,514,626	836%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,742,293	405,848	183,804	222,043	121%	1,558,489	848%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	12,202	11,232	10,856	376	3%	1,345	12%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	46,643	42,464	41,012	1,453	4%	5,631	14%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	243,815	219,874	200,822	19,052	9%	42,993	21%
				AVERAGE =	3,594	5%	11,697	17%
			FATIGUE /	AVERAGE =	62,998	80%	414,176	432%

TABLE H.8 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE FOR A 3 INCH ASPHALT, E=150,000 PSI, CONCRETE ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE OF A DUAL TIRE SINGLE AXLE LOAD OF 3,750 LBS/TIRE.

		E(BASE	:)=1,000 PSI		100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	3,358	2,344	1,632	712	44%	1,726	106%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	4,214	2,843	1,943	900	46%	2,271	117%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	4,859	3,212	2,169	1,043	48%	2,689	124%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	724	642	611	30	5%	113	18%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	12,202	10,746	10,217	529	5%	1,984	19%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	239,395	209,396	198,617	10,778	5%	40,778	21%
		E(BASE)=5,000 PSI					
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	17,067	9,529	5,915		61%	11,152	
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PS!	23,315	12,151	7,329	4,822	66%	15,986	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	30,083	14,811	8,702	6,109	70%	21,381	246%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	439	387	367	20	5%	71	19%
FAILURE	E(SG) ≈5,000 PSI	3,900	,	3,208		6%	692	1
	E(SG) =10,000 PS	50,098	42,976	40,510	2,465	6%	9,588	24%
			=10,000 PSI					
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	56,115				75%	41,161	275%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	72,664	31,811	17,624		80%	55,040	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	92,340	37,884	20,424		85%	71,916	
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	868	770			5%	133	
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	5,381	4,691	4,461	230	5%	920	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	49,223	42,464	40,144	2,321	6%	9,079	23%
			=20,000 PSI					
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	318,607						
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	364,271	123,318				304,231	
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	420,332	135,844	64,943		109%	355,389	
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	2,817	2,532	2,429			388	
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	12,636				5%	1,962	18%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	80,312		66,862	3,402	5%	13,450	20%
			=30,000 PSI			(000)	4 400 000	7 (00)
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,283,313			197,279	130%	1,130,986	
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,299,319		153,218			1,146,101	9
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,343,856		155,627	-	-	1,188,229	
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	6,623	5,998				835	1
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	25,476				4%	3,620	1
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	133,539	118,088			7%	23,174	
				AVERAGE =	1,962		7,119	
NOTE:	E(BASE) = ELASTIC			AVERAGE =	56,701	86%	307,412	381%

TABLE H.9
INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE FOR A 3 INCH ASPHALT, E=150,000 PSI,
CONCRETE ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE OF A DUAL TIRE SINGLE
AXLE LOAD OF 4250 LBS/TIRE.

		E(BASI)=1,000 PSI	·	100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	TOTAL
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	2,427	1,776	1,223	553	45%	1,204	98%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	3,057	2,173	1,466	707	48%	1,591	108%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	3,535	2,463	1,640	823	50%	1,894	115%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	429	374	354	20	6%	75	21%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	7,262	6,273	5,910	363	6%	1,352	23%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	142,189	122,340	115,199	7,140	6%	26,990	23%
		E(BASE	=5,000 PSI					
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	12,485	7,501	4,603	2,898	63%	7,882	171%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	17,169	9,745	5,761	3,984	69%	11,409	198%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	22,287	11,970	6,893	5,077	74%	15,394	223%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	261	225	213	12	6%	48	23%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	2,331	1,980	1,861	119	6%	470	25%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	29,984	25,165	23,486	1,678	7%	6,498	28%
		E(BASE)	=10,000 PSI					
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	41,338	21,402	11,970	9,432	79%	29,368	245%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	53,868	26,308	14,259	12,050	85%	39,610	278%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	68,819	31,683	16,669	15,014	90%	52,150	313%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	515	449	426	23	5%	89	21%
FAILURE	E(SG) ≈5,000 PSI	3,200	2,741	2,582	158	6%	617	24%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	29,479	24,858	23,298	1,560	7%	6,181	27%
		E(BASE)	=20,000 PSI					
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	237,200	97,062	46,787	50,274	107%	190,413	407%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	272,205	107,241	50,714	56,527	111%	221,491	437%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	315,019	119,001	55,160	63,842	116%	259,860	471%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,666	1,473	1,404	68	5%	262	19%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	7,492	6,504	6,180	324	5%	1,312	21%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	47,889	41,012	38,735	2,277	6%	9,154	24%
			=30,000 PSI					
FATIGUE	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	966,850	317,576	133,409	184,167	138%	833,441	625%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	979,023	319,901	134,242	185,659	138%	844,781	629%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,013,252	326,742	136,525	190,216	139%	876,726	642%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	3,900	3,478	3,335	143	4%	565	17%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	15,057	13,229	12,636	593	5%	2,421	19%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	79,382	68,765	65,286	3,479	5%	14,096	22%
				AVERAGE =	1,197	6%	4,675	22%
			FATIGUE A	AVERAGE =	52,082	90%	225,814	331%

TABLE H.10 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE FOR 1 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE, E=150,000 PSI, ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE OF A TANDEM AXLE WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 3,250 LBS/TIRE.

		E(BAS	E)=1,000 PSI		100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	695	155	69	86	124%	626	907%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	861	179	78	102	131%	783	1009%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	976	195	83	112	135%	893	1076%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	23	13	10	3	28%	13	130%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	426	230	176	54	30%	250	142%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	8,839	4,652	3,543	1,109	31%	5,296	149%
		E(BAS	E)=5,000 PSI				·	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PS1	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	30,394	3,506	1,081	2,424	224%	29,312	2711%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	37,691	3,976	1,187	2,789	235%	36,503	3075%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	44,553	4,374	1,274	3,100	243%	43,278	3398%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	33	17	12	4	35%	21	169%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	319	147	105	42	40%	215	205%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSi	4,303	1,844	1,273	571	45%	3,030	238%
		E(BASE)=10,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	562,850	34,199	7,619	26,579	349%	555,208	7287%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	539,129	33,044	7,462	25,580	343%	531,645	7125%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	476,226	32,577	7,424	25,153	339%	468,783	6315%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	90	46	34	12	35%	55	162%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	595	275	195	80	41%	400	205%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	5,688	2,382	1,626	757	47%	4,062	250%
)=20,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	3,418,937,901	1,330,456	128,708	1,201,697	934%	3,418,666,989	2656251%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	75,243,594	778,739	97,778	680,933	696%	75,142,690	76854%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	16,643,199	556,928	81,407	475,501	584%	16,561,103	20344%
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	351	191	145	47	32%	206	143%
	E(SG) ≈5,000 PSI	1,711	832	599	233	39%	1,112	185%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	11,505	4,979	3,415	1,564	46%	8,090	237%
)=30,000 PSI					
		40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	36,952,670	39,359,928	1,347,234	38,011,113	2822%	35,603,955	2643%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	106,782,016	12,135,455	700,664	11,434,315	1632%	106,076,939	15140%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	4,827,894,201	4,876,605	460,863	4,415,559	958%	4,827,232,542	1047477%
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	858	489	376	113	30%	482	128%
	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	3,667	1,870	1,368	501	37%	2,299	168%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	20,683	9,312	6,465	2,848	44%	14,218	220%
				AVERAGE =	529	37%	2,650	182%
			FATIGUE	AVERAGE =	3,753,670	650%	565,396,750	256774%

TABLE H.11
INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE FOR 1 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE, E=150,000 PSI, ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE OF A TANDEM AXLE WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 3,750 LBS/TIRE.

			E(BASE)=1,0	00 PSI	100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	589	138	59	79	135%	530	903%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	762	162	67	95	142%	696	1044%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	891	177	72	106	147%	820	1142%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	15	8		2	33%	9	155%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	276	138	102	36	35%	174	170%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	5,772	2,810	2,061	749	36%	3,711	180%
			E(BASE)=5,0	00 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	22,719	3,661	1,063	2,599	245%	21,656	2038%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	28,968	4,223	1,180	3,043	258%	27,787	2355%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	34,941	4,718	1,279	3,438	269%	33,660	2631%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	22	10	7	3	41%	15	201%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	217	92	62	29	47%	155	248%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	3,001	1,164	767	397	52%	2,234	291%
			E(BASE)=10,	000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	349,606	41,627	8,448	33,178	393%	341,144	4039%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	313,246	39,826	8,246	31,579	383%	304,987	3699%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	293,403	39,047	8,181	30,866	377%	285,211	3487%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	58	28	20	8	40%	38	190%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	402	171	117	55	47%	286	245%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSi	3,975	1,515	984	531	54%	2,991	304%
			E(BASE)=20,					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	890,150,940	2,802,568	188,154	2,614,306	1390%	889,925,768	472997%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	35,493,783	1,493,861	143,504	1,350,302	941%	35,348,809	24634%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	8,797,446	841,440	106,620	734,790	689%	8,690,465	8151%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	223	115	84	31	36%	139	164%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,131	513	356	157	44%	775	218%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	7,928	3,142	2,065	1,077	52%	5,862	284%
			E(BASE)=30,					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	24,252,656	25,307,708	3,024,378	22,282,403	737%	21,227,395	702%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	70,449,186	39,581,218	1,201,180	38,378,442	3195%	69,245,126	5765%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	439,042,352	9,872,066	689,953	9,181,730	1331%	438,334,166	63534%
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	539	292	218	74	34%	320	147%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	2,388	1,143	807	336	42%	1,581	196%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	14,006	5,840	3,890	1,950	50%	10,116	260%
				AVERAGE =	362	43%	1,894	217%
			FATIGUE	AVERAGE =	4,976,464	709%	97,585,881	39808%

NOTE:

TABLE H.12 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE FOR 1 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE, E=150,000 PSI, ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE OF A TANDEM AXLE WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 4,250 LBS/TIRE.

			E(BASE)=1,0	00 PSI	100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT		
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE		
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	445	127	52	75	143%	393	756%		
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	579	150	60	91	152%	519	871%		
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	677	167	65	102	158%	613	9489		
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	10	5	4	1	37%	6	1819		
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	192	89	64	25	40%	128	2009		
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	4,062	1,831	1,295	537	41%	2,767	2149		
			E(BASE)=5,0	00 PSI						
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI						
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	16,489	3,884	1,067	2,816	264%	15,421	1445%		
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	20,965	4,562	1,199	3,362	280%	19,765	1648%		
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	25,201	5,169	1,311	3,858	294%	23,889	18229		
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	15	7	5	2	46%	11	234%		
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	158	61	40	21	53%	118	293%		
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	2,244	794	499	294	59%	1,744	349%		
			E(BASE)=10,	000 PSI						
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI						
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	243,273	51,116	9,556	41,558	435%	233,708	24469		
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	216,813	48,322	9,268	39,052	421%	207,536	2239%		
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	202,178	47,064	9,166	37,896	413%	193,004	2106%		
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	41	18	13	6	44%	28	218%		
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	291	115	75	40	53%	215	287%		
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	2,978	1,039	644	395	51%	2,334	362%		
			E(BASE)=20,							
	TIRE PRESSURE			100 PSI						
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	44,288,408	6,907,687	286,554	6,620,857	2311%	44,000,024	15355%		
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	20,921,773	2,393,622	183,427	2,210,103	1205%	20,737,484	11306%		
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	5,461,634	1,273,833	137,989	1,135,796	823%	5,323,423	3858%		
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	152	75	53	22	40%	99	186%		
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	801	342	229	113	49%	573	251%		
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	5,823	2,145	1,348	796	59%	4,475	332%		
			E(BASE)=30,							
	TIRE PRESSURE		70 PSI	100 PSI						
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PS	17,476,879	16,376,859	8,289,947	8,086,575	98%	9,186,550	111%		
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	51,669,859	49,790,090	2,194,053	47,594,057	2169%	49,473,748	2255%		
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	340,430,475	22,047,837	1,056,416	20,990,548	1987%	339,359,943	32125%		
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	362	188	137	51	37%	225	164%		
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,662	752	515	237	46%	1,147	223%		
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	10,149	3,943	2,532	1,411 263	56%	7,617	301%		
	RUTTING AVERAGE =					48%	1,433	253%		
			FATIGUE	AVERAGE =	5,784,450	744%	31,251,735	5286%		

TABLE H.13
INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE FOR 1 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE, E=1,000,000 PSI, ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE OF A TANDEM AXLE WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 3,250 LBS/TIRE.

		E(BASE)=1,000 PSI		100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	2,463	1,137	702	435	62%	1,761	251%
FATIGUE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	3,374	1,435	860	576	67%	2,514	292%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	4,129	1,658	975	683	70%	3,155	324%
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	150	131	125	6	5%	25	20%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	2,831	2,471	2,348	123	5%	483	21%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	59,923	52,080	48,504	3,576	7%	11,419	24%
			E)=5,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	15,911	5,125	2,717	2,408	89%	13,194	486%
FATIGUE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	24,760	7,097	3,566	3,531	99%	21,194	594%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	35,802	9,250	4,439	4,811	108%	31,363	707%
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	118	78	66	13	19%	52	79%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	1,171	736	602	135	22%	570	95%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	16,388	9,784	7,828	1,956	25%	8,560	109%
	TIDE DOFOCUES		=10,000 PSI	100 001				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	52,916	14,289	6,834	7,455	109%	46,081	674%
FATIGUE FAILURE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	79,264 117,136	18,969 24,760	8,607	10,362 14,101	120%	70,657	821%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =10,000 PSI E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	214	139	10,659 115		132% 0%	106,477	999% 54%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	1,460	878	704	0 174	25%	75 756	107%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	14,415	8,080	6,273	1,807	25%	8,142	130%
ALOILE	L(33) = 10,000 F31		=20.000 PSI	0,213	1,007	2570	0,142	130%
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2.500 PSI	298,289	60,945	24,730	36,215	146%	273.559	1106%
FATIGUE	E(SG) = 5.000 PSI	399,403	73,683	28,649	45.033	157%	370.754	1294%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	554,547	90,697	33,644	57,053	170%	520.903	1548%
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	652	420	345	75	22%	307	89%
RUTTING	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	3,142	1,861	1,476	385	26%	1,667	113%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	21,010	11,426	8,726	2,701	31%	12,285	141%
		E(BASE)	=30,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	1,191,362	186,610	65,612	120,998	184%	1,125,750	1716%
FATIGUE	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	1,439,774	209,522	71,474	138,049	193%	1,368,300	1914%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,824,468	241,759	79,540	162,219	204%	1,744,928	2194%
	E(SG) = 2,500 PSI	1,515	980	806	175	22%	709	88%
	E(SG) = 5,000 PSI	6,217	3,697	2,926	771	26%	3,291	112%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	33,832	18,278	13,905	4,373	31%	19,927	143%
				AVERAGE =	1,085	20%	4,551	88%
	FATIGUE AVERAGE =					127%	380,039	995%

TABLE H.14
INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE FOR 1 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE, E=1,000,000 PSI, ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE OF A TANDEM AXLE WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 3,750 LBS/TIRE.

		E(BAS)=1,000 PSI		100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,717	875	529	346	65%	1,188	224%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	2,369	1,118	655	463	71%	1,713	262%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	2,912	1,304	749	555	74%	2,163	289%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	83	71	67	4	6%	16	23%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,569	1,337	1,257	79	6%	312	25%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	33,247	28,143	26,548	1,595	6%	6,699	25%
		E(BASE)=5,000 PSI				-	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	11,125	4,202	2,174	2,027	93%	8,950	412%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	18,435	5,967	2,912	3,055	105%	15,523	533%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	28,395	7,952	3,683	4,269	116%	24,712	671%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	67	45	37	8	22%	30	82%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	721	428	341	87	26%	381	112%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	10,286	5,755	4,473	1,281	29%	5,813	130%
		E(BASE)	=10,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	38,197	12,253	5,685	6,568	116%	32,512	572%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	60,701	16,690	7,299	9,391	129%	53,402	732%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	95,977	22,370	9,216	13,154	143%	86,761	941%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	130	80	65	16	24%	65	100%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	908	516	402	114	28%	506	126%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	9,191	4,812	3,619	1,193	33%	5,573	154%
			=20,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	216,008	55,995	21,939	34,056	155%	194,068	885%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	301,018	69,177	25,799	43,377	168%	275,218	1067%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	438,594	87,256	30,814	56,442	183%	407,780	1323%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	396	244	195	48	25%	200	103%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,962	1,097	845	252	30%	1,117	132%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	13,514	6,849	5,065	1,784	35%	8,449	167%
			=30,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	843,446	182,875	61,485	121,390	197%	781,961	1272%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,042,171	208,304	67,699	140,605	208%	974,472	1439%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSi	1,364,014	245,029	76,374	168,654	221%	1,287,640	1686%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	917	569	456	113	25%	461	101%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	3,869	2,180	1,677	503	30%	2,192	131%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	21,684	11,005	8,080	2,925	36%	13,604	168%
				AVERAGE =	667	24%	3,028	105%
			FATIGUE /	AVERAGE =	40,290	136%	276,538	820%

TABLE H.15
INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE FOR 1 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE, E=1,000,000 PSI, ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE OF A TANDEM AXLE WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 4,250 LBS/TIRE.

	<u> </u>		E(BASE)=1,	000 PSI	100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,265	704	415	289	70%	850	205%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,755	911	519	391	75%	1,236	238%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	2,168	1,072	598	474	79%	1,570	263%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	50	42	39	3	7%	11	28%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	942	783	731	52	7%	212	29%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	20,047	16,511	15,391	1,119	7%	4,656	30%
			E(BASE)=5,	000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	8,287	3,567	1,799	1,768	98%	6,489	361%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PS!	13,856	5,192	2,456	2,736	111%	11,400	464%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	21,506	7,071	3,156	3,914	124%	18,350	581%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	40	28	22	6	25%	17	78%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	456	269	209	60	29%	247	118%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	6,934	3,657	2,761	896	32%	4,173	151%
			E(BASE)=10	0,000 PSI				
		40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	28,269	10,811	4,887	5,924	121%	23,382	478%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	45,238	15,107	6,394	8,713	136%	38,844	607%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	71,896	20,769	8,226	12,543	152%	63,670	774%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	80	50	40	11	27%	40	101%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	608	327	248	79	32%	360	145%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	6,292	3,094	2,260	835	37%	4,032	178%
			E(BASE)=20	,				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI		100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSi	158,426	52,632	19,985	32,647	163%	138,442	693%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	221,701	66,371	23,875	42,496	178%	197,826	829%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	324,067	85,866	29,018	56,848	196%	295,049	1017%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	253	152	119	33	28%	134	112%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,314	699	524	175	33%	790	151%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	9,343	4,449	3,183	1,265	40%	6,160	194%
			E(BASE)=30					
	TIRE PRESSURE		70 PSI	100 PSI				
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	614,069	182,262	58,983	123,279	209%	555,086	941%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	759,217	210,503	65,612	144,891	221%	693,605	1057%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	994,160	252,678	74,949	177,729	237%	919,211	1226%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	588	355	279	76	27%	309	111%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	2,589	1,386	1,041	345	33%	1,548	149%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	15,002	7,151	5,094	2,057	40%	9,908	195%
				AVERAGE =	468	27%	2,173	118%
			FATIGUE A	AVERAGE =	40,976	145%	197,667	649%

TABLE H.16
INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE FOR 2 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE, E=150,000 PSI, ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE OF A TANDEM AXLE WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 3,250 LBS/TIRE.

		E(BASE)	=1,000 PSI	*	100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,849	895	558	337	60%	1,291	231%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	2,459	1,106	671	435	65%	1,787	266%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	2,950	1,501	838	663	79%	2,112	252%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	182	160	152	8	5%	30	19%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	3,344	2,918	2,775	143	5%	569	21%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	69,402	60,371	57,261	3,111	5%	12,141	21%
		E(BASE)	=5,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	14,578	4,815	2,547	2,268	89%	12,031	472%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	21,122	6,271	3,180	3,090	97%	17,941	564%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	28,321	7,760	3,794	3,965	105%	24,527	646%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	160	116	98	17	18%	62	63%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,615	1,054	877	177	20%	738	84%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	21,943	13,659	11,156	2,503	22%	10,787	97%
		E(BASE)=	10,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	60,987	16,162	7,619	8,543	112%	53,368	700%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	81,364	19,777	8,967	10,810	121%	72,396	807%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	106,434	23,773	10,402	13,371	129%	96,032	923%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	323	216	183	32	18%	140	77%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	2,140	1,357	1,102	255	23%	1,038	94%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	20,522	12,117	9,624	2,493	26%	10,898	113%
			20,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	515,243	93,950	36,214	57,736	159%	479,030	1323%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	579,424	101,288	38,370	62,918	164%	541,054	1410%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PS!	661,746	110,163	40,926	69,237	169%	620,819	1517%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	994	668	559	109	20%	435	78%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	4,771	2,971	2,406	565	23%	2,366	98%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	31,564	18,140	14,208	3,931	28%	17,355	122%
			30,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	3,237,596	383,340	121,391	261,949	216%	3,116,205	2567%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	2,995,429	368,608	118,148	250,460	212%	2,877,281	2435%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	2,865,007	360,606	116,395	244,212	210%	2,748,612	2361%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	2,233	1,512	1,265	246	19%	968	76%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	9,312	5,823	4,718	1,105	23%	4,595	97%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	50,772	29,231	22,835	6,395	28%	27,937	122%
				AVERAGE =	1,406	19%	6,004	79%
		66,000	132%	710,966	1098%			

TABLE H.17
INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE FOR 2 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE, E=150,000 PSI, ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE OF A TANDEM AXLE WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 3,750 LBS/TIRE.

		F(BASE)	=1,000 PSI		100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2.500 PSI	1,279	685	419	266	63%	860	205%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,709	857	510	347	68%	1.199	235%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	2,058	987	576	411	71%	1,482	257%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2.500 PSI	100	86	82	5	6%	19	23%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1.853	1.579	1.485	94	6%	367	25%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	38,526	32,674	30,762	1,912	6%	7,764	25%
		E(BASE)	=5,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSt	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	10,109	3,942	2,040	1,902	93%	8,070	396%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	15,196	5,242	2,585	2,656	103%	12,610	488%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	21,379	6,605	3,124	3,481	111%	18,255	584%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	88	66	55	11	20%	33	60%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	939	608	493	115	23%	445	90%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	13,514	7,953	6,330	1,623	26%	7,184	113%
		E(BASE)=	10,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	43,846	13,948	6,380	7,569	119%	37,466	587%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	61,047	17,366	7,619	9,747	128%	53,428	701%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	83,624	21,250	8,943	12,307	138%	74,682	835%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	185	125	103	22	22%	82	80%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,311	785	625	160	26%	687	110%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	12,815	7,129	5,507	1,621	29%	7,307	133%
		E(BASE)≔						
	TIRE PRESSURE		70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PS!	387,309	88,494	32,763	55,731	170%	354,546	1082%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	444,941	96,244	34,926	61,318	176%	410,014	1174%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	520,593	105,696	37,500	68,195	182%	483,093	1288%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	595	384	314	70	22%	281	90%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	2,926	1,731	1,368	362	26%	1,557	114%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	19,892	10,746	8,157	2,589	32%	11,735	144%
	TIDE DESCOURS	E(BASE)=		100 501				
		40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	2,534,820	396,437	118,148	278,289	236%	2,416,672	2045%
FATIGUE FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	2,337,239	378,453	114,471	263,982	231%	2,222,768	1942%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	2,232,834	368,920	112,522	256,398	228%	2,120,311	1884%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,331	867 3 307	711 2.680	156 717	22% 27%	620	87%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	5,688 31,975	3,397 17,334	13,135	4,199	32%	3,008 18,840	112% 143%
ALUKE	E(00) - 10,000 PSI	31,9/5		AVERAGE =	4,199	22%	3,995	90%
				AVERAGE =				
			PATIGUE	AVERAGE =	68,173	141%	547,697	914%

TABLE H.18
INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE FOR 2 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE, E=150,000 PSI, ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE OF A TANDEM AXLE WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 4,250 LBS/TIRE.

		-	E(BASE)=	1 000 PSI	100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	946	547	328	219	67%	617	188%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5.000 PSI	1,272	693	403	290	72%	869	215%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,535	803	458	345	75%	1,077	235%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	60	51	47	3	7%	13	27%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,113	926	863	63	7%	250	29%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PS!	23,205	19,138	17.866	1,272	7%	5,339	30%
			E(BASE)=	5,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	7,521	3,338	1,692	1,645	97%	5,828	344%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	11,381	4,532	2,181	2,351	108%	9,200	422%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	16,109	5,788	2,670	3,119	117%	13,439	503%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	52	41	33	7	23%	19	57%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	559	379	301	78	26%	258	86%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	8,476	5,007	3,879	1,128	29%	4,597	118%
			E(BASE)=	10,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	32,577	12,372	5,519	6,853	124%	27,058	490%
	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	45,601	15,694	6,672	9,023	135%	38,930	584%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	62,753	19,518	7,946	11,572	146%	54,808	690%
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	109	77	62	15	24%	47	75%
	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	832	493	383	110	29%	449	117%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	8,670	4,549	3,415	1,134	33%	5,255	154%
			E(BASE)=2					
	TIRE PRESSURE		70 PSI	100 PSI				
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	287,907	85,085	30,406	54,678	180%	257,501	847%
	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	331,046	93,375	32,617	60,757	186%	298,429	915%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	387,976	103,580	35,275	68,305	194%	352,700	1000%
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	349	238	191	47	25%	158	83%
	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,935	1,093	840	252	30%	1,095	130%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	13,466	6,891	5,079	1,812	36%	8,386	165%
1	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	E(BASE)=3 70 PSI	100 PSI				
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,892,101	417,382	117,583	299.799	255%	1,774,518	1509%
	, , ,							
	E(SG) =5,000 PSI E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,737,571 1,655,347	395,409 383,669	113,458 111,269	281,951 272,400	249% 245%	1,624,113 1,544,078	1431% 1388%
	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	782	537	432	105	245%	1,544,078 350	1386%
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	3.736	2.140	1,647	492	30%	2.089	127%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	21,684	11,118	1,647 8,183	2,934	36%	13,500	127% 165%
TAILUNG	L(03) - 10,000 F31	21,004		AVERAGE =	630	24%	2,787	96%
	-			AVERAGE =	71.554	150%	400,211	717%
			TATIGUE	AVENAGE -	71,054	130%	400,211	/ 1/ 7/0

TABLE H.19
INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE FOR 2 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE, E=1,000,000 PSI, ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE OF A TANDEM AXLE WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 3,250 LBS/TIRE.

		E(BASI)=1,000 PSI		100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	20,721	14,857	10,878	3,978	37%	9,843	90%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	26,879	18,611	13,371	5,240	39%	13,508	101%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	32,284	21,785	15,429	6,356	41%	16,855	109%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	4,351	3,954	3,807	146	4%	544	14%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	67,719	60,945	58,487	2,458	4%	9,232	16%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,265,389	1,133,158	1,084,622	48,537	4%	180,767	17%
		E(BASE)=5,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	47,602	30,357	21,158	9,198	43%	26,443	125%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	73,683	43,494	29,279	14,214	49%	44,404	152%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	110,730	60,217	39,142	21,075	54%	71,588	183%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,348	1,219	1,171	47	4%	177	15%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	11,786	10,531	10,082	449	4%	1,704	17%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	148,881	131,575	125,523	6,052	5%	23,358	19%
			=10,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	86,635	50,548	33,893	16,655	49%	52,742	156%
FATIGUE	E(SG) ≈5,000 PSI	134,034	71,715	46,281	25,434	55%	87,753	190%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	210,996	101,761	63,043	38,718	61%	147,953	235%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,681	1,525	1,473	52	4%	208	14%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	10,967	9,850	9,467	383	4%	1,501	16%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	104,181	92,630	88,634	3,996	5%	15,547	18%
			=20,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	214,488	109,044	69,004	40,040	58%	145,484	211%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	313,502	146,023	89,075	56,948	64%	224,427	252%
FAILURE LOADS TO	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	482,952	201,187	117,596	83,591	71%	365,356	311%
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	3,379	3,102	3,009	94	3%	371	12%
RUTTING FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI E(SG) =10,000 PSI	16,885	15,335	14,625	710	5%	2,259	15%
FAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	117,138	103,540 =30,000 PSI	90,383	13,157	15%	26,755	30%
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	445.062	202,118	120,998	81,120	67%	324,064	268%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5.000 PSI	617,059	202,116 258.058	149,119	108,938	73%	324,064 467,940	314%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PS1	909,774	256,056 341,031	149,119	108,938	73% 80%	720,618	314% 381%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	6,292	5.823	5,605	218	4%	687	12%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5.000 PSI	27,560	25.062	22,206	2,856	13%	5,354	24%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	159,656	130,490	113,105	17,385	15%	46,551	24% 41%
	_(-0-) .0,000101	.00,000		AVERAGE =	6,436	6%	21,001	19%
				AVERAGE =	44.225	56%	181,265	205%
			ATIGUE	AARIMOE -	74,420	30%	101,205	205%

TABLE H.20 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE FOR 2 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE, E=1,000,000 PSI, ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE OF A TANDEM AXLE WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 3,750 LBS/TIRE.

		E(BASE)=1,000 PSI		100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	17,626	13,378	9,779	3,599	37%	7,848	80%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	22,867	16,845	12,073	4,771	40%	10,794	89%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	27,476	19,792	13,984	5,808	42%	13,492	96%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	2,889	2,589	2,477	112	5%	412	17%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	44,742	39,691	37,789	1,902	5%	6,953	18%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	833,056	734,504	698,630	35,875	5%	134,427	19%
		E(BASE	=5,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	38,842	26,879	18,684	8,196	44%	20,158	108%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	60,169	39,006	26,121	12,885	49%	34,048	130%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	90,533	54,702	35,342	19,360	55%	55,191	156%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	863	767	734	34	5%	130	18%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	7,492	6,563	6,254	309	5%	1,238	20%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	93,804	81,298	76,953	4,345	6%	16,852	22%
			=10,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	67,924	44,001	29,563	14,438	49%	38,361	130%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	105,270	63,350	40,922	22,429	55%	64,349	157%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	165,774	91,440	56,612	34,828	62%	109,162	193%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,043	933	896	38	4%	147	16%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	6,787	5,980	5,704	276	5%	1,083	19%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	64,045	55,738	52,915	2,823	5%	11,130	21%
			=20,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	0.1.000	500/	404 470	47404
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	160,482	93,544	59,312	34,232	58%	101,170	171%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	235,387	127,426	77,768	49,658	64%	157,619	203%
FAILURE LOADS TO	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	363,150	178,839	104,378	74,460	71%	258,772	248%
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	2,027	1,831	1,766	65	4%	261	15%
RUTTING FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI E(SG) =10,000 PSI	10,115 69,903	9,043	8,670	373	4%	1,446	17% 24%
PAILURE	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	,	61,618 =30,000 PSI	56,369	5,249	9%	13,534	24%
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	320,636	170.920	102,913	68,007	66%	217,723	212%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	446,371	221.964	128,973	92,992	72%	317,723	246%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	660.987	299,454	166,678	132,776	80%	494,309	297%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	3,687	3,362	3,258	103	3%	429	13%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	16,147	14,572	13,610	962	7%	2,536	19%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	93,548	81,601	69,831	11,770	17%	23,716	34%
. 7 (10) 0/11/6	_,50,000101	30,040		AVERAGE =	4,282	6%	14,286	19%
		AVERAGE =	38,563	56%	126,693	168%		
			ATTOOL	11210101	55,505	50 %	120,000	10070

TABLE H.21 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE FOR 2 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE, E=1,000,000 PSI, ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE OF A TANDEM AXLE WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 4,250 LBS/TIRE.

			E(BASE)=1.	000 PSI	100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	10,072	7,612	5,672	1,940	34%	4,400	78%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	13,190		7,085	2,577	36%	6,105	86%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	15,954	11,736	8,258	3,478	42%	7,695	93%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,404	1,234	1,174	60	5%	230	20%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	21,943	19,138	18,071	1.067	6%	3.872	21%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	412,243	355,829	336,031	19,798	6%	76,212	23%
			E(BASE)=5,	000 PSI				<u> </u>
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	23,350	16,808	11,444	5,364	47%	11,905	104%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	36,695	24,851	16,247	8,604	53%	20,449	126%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	55,995	35,462	22,291	13,171	59%	33,704	151%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	436	381	362	20	5%	75	21%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	3,848	3,309	3,118	191	6%	730	23%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	49,060	41,521	38,980	2,541	7%	10,080	26%
			E(BASE)=10					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
	E(SG) =2,500 PS!	42,563	28,723	18,895	9,828	52%	23,668	125%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	66,865	42,107	26,530	15,577	59%	40,335	152%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	106,881	61,982	37,256	24,726	66%	69,625	187%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	542	476	453	23	5%	89	20%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	3,571	3,086	2,926	161	5%	645	22%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	34,279	29,107	27,445	1,662	6%	6,834	25%
			E(BASE)=20					
	TIRE PRESSURE		70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	106,475	65,290	40,147	25,144	53%	66,329	165%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	157,749	90,288	53,242	37,046	70%	104,507	196%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	246,836	129,233	72,445	56,788	78%	174,392	241%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PS	1,086	965	924	41	4%	162	18%
RUTTING FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	5,475	4,785	4,562	223	5%	914	20%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	38,250	32,959 E(BASE)=30	29,984	2,975	10%	8,266	28%
	TIRE PRESSURE	40 PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	220,913	125,653	73,371	52,282	71%	147 540	2048/
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	310,217	165,234	92,780	72,455		147,542	201%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	463.847	226,776	92,780 121,237	105,539	78% 87%	217,437 342,610	234% 283%
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI	2,008	1,803	121,237	68	4%	274	263% 16%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	8,868	7,853	7,285	568	8%	1,583	22%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	51,781	45.056	37,621	7,435	20%	14,160	38%
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	E(00) - 10,000 F01	31,701		VERAGE =	2,455	7%	8,275	23%
			FATIGUE A		28,968	50%	84,714	161%
			TATIOUE	AFIAGE -	20,300	50%	04,7 14	10176

TABLE H.22 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE FOR A 3 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE, E=150,000 PSI, ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE OF A TANDEM AXLE WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 3,250 LBS/TIRE.

		E(B	ASE)=1000	PSI	100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	5,205	3,365	2,370	995	42%	2,836	120%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	6,271	3,942	2,731	1,211	44%	3,540	130%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	7,089	4,355	2,991	1,364	46%	4,098	137%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,450	1,303	1,249	54	4%	201	16%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	23,965	21,428	20,442	986	5%	3.524	17%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	463,664	411,929	392,990	18,939	5%	70,675	18%
		E(B	ASE)=5,000	PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	26,479	13,150	8,268	4,881	59%	18,210	220%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	34,840	16,215	9,939	6,276	63%	24,901	251%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	44,099	19,290	11,551	7,739	67%	32,549	282%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	867	774	742	33	4%	125	17%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	7,539	6,664	6,349	315	5%	1,190	19%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	94,373	82,607	78,465	4,142	5%	15,907	20%
		E(BA	\SE)=10,00	D PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	86,578	34,840	20,168	14,672	73%	66,410	329%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	108,246	40,855	23,111	17,744	77%	85,135	368%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	134,829	47,579	26,308	21,271	81%	108,521	412%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,711	1,542	1,482	59	4%	229	15%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	10,495	9,343	8,926	417	5%	1,570	18%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	93,959	82,695	78,755	3,940	5%	15,204	19%
		E(BA	(SE)=20,00	PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	464,220	138,076	70,166	67,909	97%	394,054	562%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	514,760	148,639	74,535	74,103	99%	440,225	591%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PS!	578,861	161,445	79,816	81,629	102%	499,045	625%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	5,444	4,965	4,798	166	3%	645	13%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	24,858	22,384	21,513	871	4%	3,345	16%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	156,057	139,148	133,301	5,847	4%	22,756	17%
			(SE)=30,000					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,653,136	393,024	178,853	214,171	120%	1,474,283	824%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,673,173	396,437	180,074	216,363	120%	1,493,099	829%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,725,837	405,141	183,301	221,840	121%	1,542,536	842%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	12,460	11,465	11,118	348	3%	1,342	12%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	62,959	45,499	43,940	1,560	4%	19,019	43%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	261,980	235,866	214,982	20,884	10%	46,998	22%
			AGE FOR F		3,904	5%	13,515	19%
		AVERA	AGE FOR F	ATIGUE =	5,619	81%	19,428	435%

NOTE: E(B

TABLE H.23
INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE FOR A 3 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE, E=150,000 PSI ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE OF A TANDEM AXLE WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 3,750 LBS/TIRE.

E(AC)=150,		E(BASE)	=1,000 PSI		100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	3,535	2,445	1,698	747	44%	1,837	108%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	4,279	2,877	1,967	910	46%	2,312	118%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	4,837	3,193	2,162	1,031	48%	2,675	124%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	794	702	668	34	5%	126	19%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	13,135	11,545	10,930	614	6%	2,205	20%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	254,696	222,076	210,360	11,715	6%	44,336	21%
		E(BASE)	=5,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	18,229	9,995	6,179	3,816	62%	12,050	195%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 P\$I	24,109	12,485	7,482	5,003	67%	16,627	222%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	30,661	15,002	8,797	6,205	71%	21,864	249%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	475	417	397	21	5%	78	20%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	4,152	3,590	3,397	193	6%	755	22%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	52,181	44,680	42,076	2,604	6%,	10,105	24%
			10,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	59,835	27,565	15,593	11,972	77%	44,242	284%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	75,160	32,683	18,002	14,681	82%	57,158	318%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	94,055	38,484	20,670	17,814	86%	73,385	355%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	937	829	791	38	5%	146	18%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	5,772	5,036		265	6%	1,000	21%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	51,880	44,659	42,192	2,467	6%	9,688	23%
			20,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	329,963	115,565	56,763	58,802	104%	273,200	481%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	370,801	125,209	60,600	64,609	107%	310,202	512%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	423,683	137,040	65,236	71,803	110%	358,447	549%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	2,963	2,660	2,557	67	3%	259	11%
RUTTING FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	13,610	12,033	11,505	229	3%	898	13%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	85,809	74,926	71,247	981	4%	3,814	15%
	TIDE DOCOCUOE	E(BASE)=		100 001				
LOADS TO	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	464	40000		
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,258,234	346,132	151,345	194,788	129%	1,106,889	731%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,278,561 1,328,793	349,315	152,524	196,790	129%	1,126,037	738%
LOADS TO	E(SG) = 10,000 PSI		357,890	155,425	202,465	130%	1,173,368	755%
RUTTING	E(SG) =2,500 PSI E(SG) =5,000 PSI	6,766	6,125	5,910	215	4%	856	14%
FAILURE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI E(SG) =10.000 PSI	27,331	24,357	23,392	965	4%	3,939	17%
ALUKE	E(3G) = 10,000 PSI	143,654	126,780	118,224	8,556	7%	25,429	22%
			AGE FOR F		1,931	5%	6,909	19%
		AVERA	GE FOR F	ATIGUE =	2,775	86%	9,916	383%

TABLE H.24 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE FOR A 3 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE, E=150,000 PSI ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE OF A TANDEM AXLE WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 4,250 LBS/TIRE.

E(AC)=150,0	000 PSI	E(BASE)	=1,000 PSI		100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	70 PSi	INCREASE
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	2,552	1,856	1,272	584	46%	1,280	101%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	3,093	2,197	1,482	714	48%	1,611	109%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	3,506	2,450	1,635	814	50%	1,870	114%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	471	409	387	22	6%	85	22%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSi	7,828	6,725	6,349	376	6%	1,479	23%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	151,721	129,799	122,055	7,744	6%	29,665	24%
		E(BASE)	=5,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	13,354	7,925	4,826	3,099	64%	8,528	177%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	17,767	9,995	5,901	4,095	69%	11,866	201%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	22,711	12,151	6,981	5,169	74%	15,730	225%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	282	244	230	14	6%	52	23%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	2,477	2,100	1,971	128	7%	506	26%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	31,294	26,221	24,457	1,764	7%	6,837	28%
		, ,	10,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE		70 PSI	100 PSI				
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	44,237	22,580	12,523	10,057	80%	31,714	253%
	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	55,847	27,061	14,578	12,483	86%	41,270	283%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	70,238	32,211	16,881	15,330	91%	53,358	316%
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	556	483	458	25	6%	98	21%
	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	3,442	2,941	2,768	172	6%	674	24%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	31,026	26,113	24,457	1,656	7%	6,570	27%
		E(BASE)=						
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI		100 PSI				
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	246,017	99,853	47,927	51,926	108%	198,090	413%
	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	277,097	108,880	51,402	57,479	112%	225,695	439%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	317,834	120,008	55,635	64,373	116%	262,199	471%
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,750	1,545	1,476	69	5%	274	19%
	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	8,080	6,998	6,644	354	5%	1,436	22%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	51,188	43,737	41,275	2,462	6%	9,913	24%
	TIRE PRESSURE	E(BASE)=:		100 PSI				
					404.504	1000	244.004	24524
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	947,354	314,004	132,500	181,504	137%	814,854	615%
	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	962,473	317,063	133,492	183,571	138%	828,981	621%
	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,001,671	325,411	136,269	189,142	139%	865,402	635%
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI E(SG) =5,000 PSI	3,986	3,552	3,406	146	4%	580	17%
	E(SG) =5,000 PSI E(SG) =10,000 PSI	16,147 85,440	14,208 73,840	13,514 70,047	695 3,794	5%	2,633	19%
ALLONE	L(33) - 10,000 P31		GE FOR R			5%	15,394	22%
					1,295 52,023	5%	5,080	23%
L		AVER	AGE FOR F	MIGUE =	52,023	90%	224,163	332%

TABLE H.25 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE FOR 3 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE, E=1,000,000 PSI, ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE OF A TANDEM AXLE WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 3,250 LBS/TIRE.

		E(BAS	E)=1,000 PSI		100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	119,929	93,374	71,654	21,719	30%	48,274	67%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	143,906	110,200	83,465	26,734	32%	60,440	72%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	162,221	122,686	92,190	30,496	33%	70,031	76%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	64,304	60,251	58,718	1,533	3%	5,586	10%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,005,182	936,168	910,026	26,141	3%	95,156	10%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	18,813,297	17,431,367	16,919,444	511,923	3%	1,893,852	11%
		E(BASI	E)=5,000 PSI		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	211,243	154,418	115,772	38,646	33%	95,471	82%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	284,023	200,032	146,943	53,089	36%	137,080	93%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	369,227	251,123	180,842	70,281	39%	188,384	104%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	15,619	14,467	14,056	411	3%	1,563	11%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	125,376	114,737	110,742	3,995	4%	14,634	13%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,414,145	1,281,639	1,232,436	49,203	4%	181,709	15%
		E(BASE)	=10,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	326,235	226,776	165,954	60,822	37%	160,281	97%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	441,813	294,446	210,750	83,696	40%	231,063	110%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	595,563	379,651	265,244	114,407	43%	330,319	125%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	16,948	15,676	15,223	454	3%	1,725	11%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	100,461	91,673	88,442	3,231	4%	12,019	14%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	824,923	744,147	714,440	29,707	4%	110,483	15%
		E(BASE)	=20,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE		70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PS!	648,031	411,547	289,546	122,001	42%	358,485	124%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	852,527	517,932	356,225	161,707	45%	496,301	139%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,140,932	658,804	441,813	216,992	49%	699,119	158%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	29,107	26,992	26,113	879	3%	2,994	11%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	133,618	121,984	117,679	4,305	4%	15,938	14%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	796,857	718,151	689,112	29,038	4%	107,745	16%
			=30,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	1,344,509	666,485	452,322	214,163	47%	892,188	197%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	1,451,912	814,074	540,012	274,062	51%	911,900	169%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,891,799	1,007,307	652,313	354,994	54%	1,239,486	190%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	49,293	45,755	44,432	1,324	3%	4,862	11%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	200,171	183,166	176,885	6,282	4%	23,286	13%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,008,934	910,855	874,437	36,418	4%	134,497	15%
			RUTTING	AVERAGE =	46,990	3%	173,737	13%
			FATIGUE	AVERAGE =	122,921	41%	394,588	120%

TABLE H.26 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE FOR 3 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE, E=1,000,000 PSI, ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE OF A TANDEM AXLE WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 3,750 LBS/TIRE.

		E(BAS	E)=1,000 PSI		100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	79,817	64,970	49,940	15,030	30%	29,877	60%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	96,154	77,034	58,471	18,563	32%	37,683	64%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	108,524	86,096	64,758	21,337	33%	43,765	68%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	34,581	32,113	31,160	953	3%	3,422	11%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	542,969	500,206	483,801	16.405	3%	59,168	12%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	10,161,223	9,319,158	8,998,165	320,993	4%	1,163,059	13%
		E(BASI	E)=5,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	140,949	108,836	80,939	27,897	34%	60,010	74%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	190,230	142,417	103,594	38,824	37%	86,636	84%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	248,051	180,238	128,455	51,784	40%	119,597	93%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	8,476	7,755	7,468	286	4%	1,008	13%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	68,135	61,495	59,039	2,456	4%	9,096	15%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	771,303	688,523	658,142	30,381	5%	113,161	17%
		E(BASE)	=10,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PS!	219,868	161,522	117,022	44,500	38%	102,846	88%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	299,454	211,986	149,907	62,079	41%	149,547	100%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	406,284	276,170	190,445	85,724	45%	215,839	113%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	9,191	8,395	8,080	315	4%	1,111	14%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	54,688	49,176	47,150	2,027	4%	7,539	16%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	450,808	399,889	381,621	18,268	5%	69,187	18%
			≃20,000 PSI				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	437,954	297,901	207,337	90,565	44%	230,618	111%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	578,697	379,121	257,417	121,704	47%	321,280	125%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	779,206	487,337	322,345	164,991	51%	456,861	142%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	15,734	14,415	13,905	510	4%	1,829	13%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	72,699	65,418	62,738	2,680	4%	9,961	16%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	436,018	386,235	368,175	18,060	5%	67,843	18%
			=30,000 PSI					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	771,129	490,288	328,422	161,866	49%	442,707	135%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	988,594	604,237	395,460	208,778	53%	593,134	150%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	1,295,997	756,602	482,227	274,376	57%	813,771	169%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	26,658	24,457	23,677	780	3%	2,982	13%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	108,870	98,198	94,269	3,929	4%	14,601	15%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	551,422	489,583	466,948	22,635	5%	84,474	18%
				AVERAGE =	29,379	4%	107,229	15%
			FATIGUE.	AVERAGE =	92,535	42%	246,945	105%

TABLE H.27 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FAILURE FOR 3 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE, E=1,000,000 PSI, ROAD BY REDUCING TIRE PRESSURE OF A TANDEM AXLE WITH A TIRE LOAD OF 4,250 LBS/TIRE.

			E(BASE)=1.	000 PSI	100 PSI TO	PERCENT	100 PSI TO	PERCENT
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI	70 PSI	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	56,523	44,810	36,196	8,614	24%	20,328	56%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	68,262	53,530	42,563	10,967	26%	25,700	60%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	77,300	60,121	47,284	12,837	27%	30,015	63%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	20,204	18,559	17,934	625	3%	2,270	13%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	317,793	289,462	278.883	10.579	4%	38,909	14%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	5,961,853	5,401,956	5,194,725	207,230	4%	767,127	15%
		•	E(BASE)=5,	000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	100,343	78,037	59,359	18,678	31%	40,984	69%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	136,103	103,985	76,506	27,480	36%	59,597	78%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	178,442	134,307	95,537	38,770	41%	82,905	87%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	4,965	4,486	4,315	171	4%	650	15%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	40,144	35,665	34,129	1,535	4%	6,014	18%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	456,111	400,799	380,763	20,036	5%	75,348	20%
			E(BASE)=10					
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	156,573	120,165	86,557	33,608	39%	70,015	81%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	214,488	159,621	111,802	47,819	43%	102,686	92%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	292,548	210,012	143,159	66,853	47%	149,389	104%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	5,396	4,867	4,665	202	4%	731	16%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSi	32,252	28,620	27,218	1,402	5%	5,035	18%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	267,101		220,898	12,128	5%	46,203	21%
			E(BASE)=20	,000 PSI				
	TIRE PRESSURE	40PSI	70 PSI	100 PSI				
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	314,746	225,965	155,573	70,392	45%	159,173	102%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PS1	418,100		194,606	95,687	49%	223,494	115%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	566,007	377,010	245,629	131,380	53%	320,378	130%
LOADS TO	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	9,252	8,342	8,029	313	4%	1,223	15%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	42,877	38,010	36,303	1,708	5%	6,574	18%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	258,396	225,053	213,147	11,906	6%	45,249	21%
			E(BASE)=30					
	TIRE PRESSURE		70 PSI	100 PSI				
	E(SG) =2,500 PSI	555,418	375,960	249,581	126,378	51%	305,836	123%
FATIGUE	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	716,321	468,007	302,987	165,020	54%	413,335	136%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PS!	943,754	591,760	372,832	218,928	59%	570,921	153%
	E(SG) =2,500 PS!	15,619	14,157	13,610	547	4%	2,009	15%
RUTTING	E(SG) =5,000 PSI	64,142	57,036	54,476	2,560	5%	9,666	18%
FAILURE	E(SG) =10,000 PSI	326,875	285,213	270,270	14,943	6%	56,605	21%
				AVERAGE =	19,059	5%	70,908	17%
			FATIGUE	AVERAGE =	71,561	42%	171,650	97%

Appendix I: Effects of Lower Tire Load on Rutting Failure of Asphalt Concrete Roads

TABLE I.1 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 1 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A DUAL TIRE SINGLE AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING THE PER TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 150,000 PSI

PERCENT INCREASE		120%	121%	118%	113%	103%	95%	121%	106%	95%	131%	115%	%86	139%	122%	104%	1001
4250 LBS TO	3250 LBS	12	230	4,736	17	159	2,032	47	297	2,668	188	878	5,540	467	1,920	10,185	010 7
PERCENT INCREASE		40%	43%	45%	40%	38%	34%	44%	39%	34%	47%	42%	149%	49%	44%	38%	/007
4250 LBS TO	3750 LBS	4	82	1,703	9	28	747	17	109	986	29	318	8,402	166	969	3,730	7 700
IS.	3,250	22	420	8,754	32	313	4,233	98	578	5,572	331	1,644	11,194	804	3,496	19,969	
SSURE = 40 P AD (POUNDS)		14	272	21	21	212	2,948	2 6	390	90	01	84	99	503	71	13,514	
ESSU OAD	3,750		•••	5,721		.,	2,5		က	3,890	210	1,0	14,056	2(2,271	13	
TIRE PRESSURE = 40 PSI TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	4,250 3,750	10	190	4,018 5,7	15	154	2,201 2,9	39	281 3	2,904 3,8	143 2	766 1,0	5,654 14,09	337 50	1,576 2,2	9,784 13	
TIRE PRESSU TIRE LOAD (2,500 10		5				2,500 39	(*)								

INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTIING FAILURE OF 2 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A DUAL TIRE SINGLE AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING THE PER TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 150,000 PSI TABLE 1.2

PERCENT	INCREASE		202%	201%	200%	206%	189%	159%	198%	158%	138%	186%	148%	135%	186%	150%	136%	173%
4250 LBS	2	3250 LBS	119	2,197	45,803	103	1,022	13,166	202	1,256	11,524	601	2,692	17,465	1.350	5,239	27,925	8,711
PERCENT	INCREASE		%99	%99	%99	%99	%89	%09	71%	28%	46%	71%	52%	48%	%02	53%	48%	61%
4250 LBS	5	3750 LBS	39	728	15,203	33	368	4,920	72	460	4,092	229	942	6,159	509	1,832	9,898	3,032
ISI		3,250	178	3,292	68,730	153	1,562	21,428	304	2,051	19,892	924	4,511	30,370	2,075	8,726	48,527	AVERAGE =
TIRE PRESSURE = 40 PSI	TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	3,750	86	1,823	38,130	83	806	13,182	174	1,255	12,460	252	2,761	19,064	1,234	5,319	30,500	1
TIRE PR	TIREL	4,250	29	1,095	22,927	20	540	8,262	102	795	8,368	323	1,819	12,905	725	3,487	20,602	
		E(SG) PSI	2,500	2,000	10,000	2,500	2,000	10,000	2,500	2,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	
		E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI	1,000	1,000	1,000	5,000	2,000	5,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	

TABLE I.3 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 3 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A DUAL TIRE SINGLE LOAD, BY REDUCING THE PER TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 150,000 PSI

PERCENT	INCREASE		209%	207%	207%	207%	204%	202%	209%	206%	203%	211%	207%	205%	213%	210%	207%	207%
4250 LBS F	10	3250 LBS	968	15,033	294,166	540	4,754	60,695	1,075	6,584	59,781	3,516	15,527	98,305	8,302	31,586	164,433	51,013
PERCENT 4	NCREASE	6	%69	%89	%89	%89	%19	%19	%69	%89	%19	%69	%69	%89	%02			%89
	Ž																	
4250 LBS	5	3750 LBS	295	4,940	97,206	178	1,569	20,114	353	2,181	19,744	1,148	5,144	32,423	2,723	10,419	54,157	16,840
25		3,250	1,325	22,295	436,355	801	7,085	629'06	1,590	9,784	89,260	5,182	23,019	146,194	12,202	46,643	243,815	AVERAGE =
TIRE PRESSURE = 40 PSI	TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	3,750	724	12,202	239,395	439	3,900	50,098	898	5,381	49,223	2,814	12,636	80,312	6,623	25,476	133,539	A
TIRE PRE	TIRE LO	4,250	429	7,262	142,189	261	2,331	29,984	515	3,200	29,479	1,666	7,492	47,889	3,900	15,057	79,382	
		E(SG) PSI	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	
		E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PS	1,000	1,000	1,000	5,000	2,000	5,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	

TABLE I.4 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 1 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A DUAL TIRE SINGLE AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING THE PER TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 150,000 PSI

PERCENT	INCREASE		160%	158%	154%	129%	140%	132%	150%	139%	130%	156%	144%	133%	N/A	149%	137%	144%
4250 LBS	70	3250 LBS	ထ	139	2,798	6	84	1,033	27	156	1,325	111	475	2,777	N/A	1,070	5,244	1,090
PERCENT	INCREASE		40%	25%	53%	43%	20%	47%	20%	46%	46%	54%	51%	47%	N/A	52%	48%	46%
4250 LBS	ဥ	3750 LBS	2	48	296	3	30	366	6	55	471	38	167	981	N/A	375	1,842	382
PSI	3)	3,250	13	227	4,613	16	144	1,815	45	268	2,343	182	804	4,867	462	1,790	9,072	AVERAGE =
TIRE PRESSURE = 70 PSI	OAD (POUNDS)	3,750	7	136	2,782	10	90	1,148	27	167	1,489	109	496	3,071	275	1,095	5,670	AVE
TIRE PRE	TIRE LO	4,250	5	88	1,815	7	09	782	18	112	1,018	71	329	2,090	177	720	3,828	
		E(SG) PSI	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	2,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	
		E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI	1,000	1,000	1,000	2,000	5,000	5,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	

TABLE I.5 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTIING FAILURE OF 2 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A DUAL TIRE SINGLE AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING THE PER TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 150,000 PSI

		TIRE PR	RESSURE = 70 PSI	'0 PSI	4250 LBS	PERCENT	4250 LBS	PERCENT
		TIRE	LOAD (POUNDS)	DS)	10	INCREASE	5	INCREASE
) PSI	E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
000	2,500	49	84	156	35	71%	107	218%
000	5,000	913	1,555	2,882	642	%02	1,969	216%
000,	10,000	18,991	32,392	59,775	13,401	71%	40,784	215%
5,000	2,500	39	63	111	24	62%	72	185%
5,000	5,000	368	290	1,024	222	%09	656	178%
5,000	10,000	4,908	7,779	13,370	2,871	58%	8,462	172%
10,000	2,500	73	118	206	45	62%	133	182%
10,000	5,000	474	754	1,298	280	29%	824	174%
10,000	10,000	4,424	6,934	11,786	2,510	21%	7,362	166%
20,000	2,500	222	358	625	136	61%	403	182%
20,000	5,000	1,035	1,644	2,824	609	29%	1,789	173%
20,000	10,000	6,644	10,390	17,531	3,746	26%	10,887	164%
30,000	2,500	200	608	1,410	N/A	N/A	N/A	A/A
30,000	5,000	2,013	3,200	5,491	1,187	29%	3,478	173%
30,000	10,000	10,638	16,634	28,025	5,996	26%	17,387	163%
			AL	AVERAGE =	2,265	%29	6,737	183%

TABLE I.6 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 3 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A DUAL TIRE SINGLE LOAD, BY REDUCING THE PER TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 150,000 PSI

		TIRE PR	TIRE PRESSURE = 70 PSI	0 PSI	4250 LBS	PERCENT	4250 LBS	PERCENT
		TIREL	OAD (POUNDS)	DS)	10	INCREASE	0	INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	374	642	1,193	268	72%	819	219%
1,000	5,000	6,273	10,746	19,969	4,473	71%	13,696	218%
1,000	10,000	122,340	209,396	388,568	87,056	71%	266,228	218%
5,000	2,500	225	387	717	162	72%	492	219%
5,000	5,000	1,980	3,388	6,273	1,408	71%	4,293	217%
5,000	10,000	25,165	42,976	79,508	17,811	71%	54,343	216%
10,000	2,500	449	770	1,432	321	71%	983	219%
10,000	5,000	2,741	4,691	8,726	1,950	71%	5,985	218%
10,000	10,000	24,858	42,464	78,672	17,606	71%	53,814	216%
20,000	2,500	1,473	2,532	4,718	1,059	72%	3,245	220%
20,000	5,000	6,504	11,194	20,764	4,690	72%	14,260	219%
20,000	10,000	41,012	70,263	130,567	29,251	71%	89,555	218%
30,000	2,500	3,478	2,998	11,232	2,520	72%	7,754	223%
30,000	5,000	13,229	22,744	42,464	9,515	72%	29,235	221%
30,000	10,000	68,765	118,088	219,874	49,323	72%	151,109	220%
			ΑV	AVERAGE =	15,161	72%	46,387	219%

INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 1 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A DUAL TIRE SINGLE AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING THE PER TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 150,000 PSI TABLE 1.7

		TIRE PRE	RESSURE = 100 PS	00 PSI	4250 LBS	PERCENT	4250 LBS	PERCENT
		TIRE L	LOAD (POUNDS)	(SQ)	5	INCREASE	10	INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	4	9	10	2	20%	9	150%
1,000	5,000	63	101	174	38	%09	111	176%
1,000	10,000	1,284	2,041	3,506	757	29%	2,222	173%
5,000	2,500	5	7	12	2	40%	2	140%
2,000	5,000	40	61	103	21	53%	63	158%
5,000	10,000	493	757	1,257	264	54%	764	155%
10,000	2,500	12	19	33	7	28%	21	175%
10,000	5,000	73	114	191	41	26%	118	162%
10,000	10,000	633	296	1,597	334	53%	964	152%
20,000	2,500	51	80	138	29	21%	87	171%
20,000	5,000	221	345	581	124	26%	360	163%
20,000	10,000	1,036	2,018	3,344	982	95%	2,308	223%
30,000	2,500	129	206	356	77	%09	227	176%
30,000	5,000	494	776	1,317	282	21%	823	167%
30,000	10,000	2,465	3,797	6,311	1,332	54%	3,846	156%
			ΑV	AVERAGE =	286	22%	795	166%

NOTE: E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS E(SG) = SUBGRADE ELASTIC MODULUS

INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTIING FAILURE OF 2 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A DUAL TIRE SINGLE AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING THE PER TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 150,000 PSI TABLE 1.8

	_	Г			Г		-	Г			Г		-	Γ			Г
PERCENT INCREASE		224%	221%	221%	194%	192%	188%	195%	188%	182%	193%	187%	180%	194%	186%	179%	195%
4250 LBS TO	3250 LBS	103	1,882	39,065	62	260	7,133	115	693	6,047	345	1,492	8,835	782	2,902	14,065	5.605
PERCENT INCREASE		74%	72%	72%	%99	64%	63%	64%	63%	61%	64%	63%	61%	9 2%	62%	%09	65%
4250 LBS TO	3750 LBS	34	614	12,706	21	187	2,401	38	233	2,038	115	200	2,981	261	973	4,758	1.857
0 PSI	3,250	149	2,734	56,729	94	852	10,930	174	1,062	9,374	524	2,292	13,757	1,186	4,461	21,943	AVERAGE =
PRESSURE = 100 PSI E LOAD (POUNDS)	3,750	80	1,466	30,370	53	479	6,198	26	602	5,365	294	1,300	7,903	999	2,532	12,636	AV
TIRE PRE TIRE LO	4,250	46	852	17,664	32	292	3,797	29	369	3,327	179	800	4,922	404	1,559	7,878	
	E(SG) PSI	2,500	2,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	
	E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI	1,000	1,000	1,000	2,000	5,000	5,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	

NOTE: E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS E(SG) = SUBGRADE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE I.9 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 3 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A DUAL TIRE SINGLE LOAD, BY REDUCING THE PER TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 150,000 PSI

	TIRE PRE	PRESSURE = 100 PSI	00 PSI	4250 LBS	PERCENT	4250 LBS	PERCENT
	TIRE L	E LOAD (POUNDS)	IDS)	10	INCREASE	T 0	INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
2,500	354	611	1,145	257	73%	791	223%
2,000	5,910	10,217	19,064	4,307	73%	13,154	223%
10,000	115,199	198,617	371,204	83,418	72%	256,005	222%
2,500	213	367	688	154	72%	475	223%
5,000	1,861	3208	5,980	1,347	72%	4,119	221%
10,000	23,486	40,510	75,554	17,024	72%	52,068	222%
2,500	426	735	1,377	309	73%	951	223%
2,000	2,582	4,461	8,342	1,879	73%	5,760	223%
10,000	23,298	40,144	74,965	16,846	72%	51,667	222%
2,500	1,404	2,429	4,562	1,025	73%	3,158	225%
2,000	6,180	10,674	20,047	4,494	73%	13,867	224%
10,000	38,375	66,862	125,156	28,487	74%	86,781	226%
2,500	3,335	5,789	10,856	2,454	74%	7,521	226%
5,000	12,636	21,856	41,012	9,220	73%	28,376	225%
10,000	65,286	110,364	200,822	45,078	%69	135,536	208%
		AV	AVERAGE =	14,420	73%	44,015	222%

NOTE: E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS E(SG) = SUBGRADE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE I.10 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 1-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC)=150,000 PSI

		TIRE PRES	(ESSURE = 40 PSI) PSI	4250 LBS	PERCENT	4250 LBS	PERCENT
		TIRE	TIRE LOAD (POUNDS))S)	0	INCREASE	9	INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	10	15	23	5	20%	13	130%
1,000	5,000	192	276	426	84	44%	234	122%
1,000	10,000	4,062	5,772	8,839	1,710	42%	4,777	118%
5,000	2,500	15	22	33	7	47%	18	120%
2,000	5,000	158	217	319	29	37%	161	102%
5,000	10,000	2,244	3,001	4,303	757	34%	2,059	95%
10,000	2,500	41	58	06	17	41%	49	120%
10,000	5,000	291	402	295	111	38%	304	104%
10,000	10,000	2,978	3,975	5,688	266	33%	2,710	91%
20,000	2,500	152	223	351	71	47%	199	131%
20,000	5,000	801	1,131	1,711	330	41%	910	114%
20,000	10,000	5,823	7,928	11,505	2,105	36%	5,682	%86
30,000	2,500	362	539	858	177	49%	496	137%
30,000	2,000	1,662	2,388	3,667	726	44%	2,005	121%
30,000	10,000	10,149	14,006	20,683	3,857	38%	10,534	104%
				AVERAGE =	734	41%	2,010	113%

TABLE I.11 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 1-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC)=1,000,000 PSI

	TIRE PR	TIRE PRESSURE = 40 PSI	PSI	4250 LBS	PERCENT	4250 LBS	PERCENT
	O HKE LO	OAD (POUNDS)	_ 1	0	INCREASE	0	INCREASE
E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
	50	83	150	33	%99	100	200%
	942	1,569	2,831	627	%29	1,889	201%
10,000	20,047	33,247	59,923	13,200	%99	39,876	199%
_	40	29	118	27	%89	78	195%
5,000	456	721	1,171	265	28%	715	157%
10,000	6,934	10,286	16,388	3,352	48%	9,454	136%
2,500	80	130	214	20	63%	134	168%
5,000	809	806	1,460	300	49%	852	140%
000'0	6,292	9,191	14,415	2,899	46%	8,123	129%
2,500	253	396	652	143	21%	399	158%
5,000	1,314	1,962	3,142	648	49%	1,828	139%
000'01	9,343	13,514	21,010	4,171	45%	11,667	125%
2,500	588	917	1,515	329	26%	927	158%
5,000	2,589	3,869	6,217	1,280	46%	3,628	140%
000'01	15,002	21,684	33,832	6,682	45%	18,830	126%
			AVERAGE =	2,267	25%	6,567	158%

TABLE I.12 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 2-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC)=150,000

3750 LBS 3. 40 67% 740 66% 15,321 66% 36 69% 36 69% 5,038 59% 76 70% 479 58% 4,145 48% 6,426 48% 549 70% 1,952 52% 10,291 47%			TIRE P	TIRE PRESSURE = 40 PSI) PSI	4250 LBS	PERCENT	4250 LBS	PERCENT
4,250 3,750 3,250 3750 LBS 60 100 182 40 67% 1,113 1,853 3,344 740 66% 23,205 38,526 69,402 15,321 66% 52 88 160 36 69% 55 939 1,615 380 68% 8,476 13,514 21,943 5,038 59% 8,476 13,514 21,943 5,038 59% 8,476 13,514 21,943 5,038 59% 8,670 12,815 20,522 4,145 48% 8,670 12,815 20,522 4,145 48% 1,935 2,926 4,771 991 51% 13,466 19,892 31,564 6,426 48% 782 1,331 2,233 549 70% 71,684 31,975 50,772 10,291 47% 8,474 10,291 47% 47%	10407	100	באור	LOAD (POUN		2	INCREASE	0	INCREASE
2,500 60 100 182 40 67% 5,000 1,113 1,853 3,344 740 66% 10,000 23,205 38,526 69,402 15,321 66% 2,500 52 88 160 36 68% 5,000 559 939 1,615 380 68% 5,000 8,476 13,514 21,943 5,038 59% 2,500 109 185 323 76 70% 5,000 8,670 12,815 2,140 479 58% 10,000 8,670 12,815 20,522 4,145 48% 5,000 1,935 2,926 4,771 991 51% 5,000 1,935 2,926 4,771 991 70% 5,000 782 1,331 2,233 549 70% 5,000 782 1,331 2,233 549 70% 5,000 21,684 31	E(BASE) PSI	E(5G) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
5,000 1,113 1,853 3,344 740 66% 10,000 23,205 38,526 69,402 15,321 66% 2,500 52 88 160 36 69% 5,000 559 939 1,615 380 68% 10,000 8,476 13,514 21,943 5,038 59% 2,500 109 185 323 76 70% 10,000 8,670 12,815 20,522 4,145 48% 2,500 1,935 2,926 4,771 991 51% 10,000 13,466 19,892 31,564 6,426 48% 2,500 782 1,331 2,233 549 70% 5,000 3,736 5,688 9,312 1,952 52% 5,000 21,684 31,975 50,772 10,291 47%	1,000	2,500	90	100	182	40	%29	122	203%
10,000 23,205 38,526 69,402 15,321 66% 2,500 52 88 160 36 69% 5,000 559 939 1,615 380 68% 10,000 8,476 13,514 21,943 5,038 59% 2,500 109 185 323 76 70% 2,500 832 1,311 2,140 479 58% 10,000 8,670 12,815 20,522 4,145 48% 2,500 1,935 2,926 4,771 991 51% 10,000 13,466 19,892 31,564 6,426 48% 2,500 782 1,331 2,233 549 70% 2,500 782 1,331 2,233 549 70% 5,000 3,736 5,688 9,312 10,291 47% 10,000 21,684 31,975 50,772 10,291 47%	1,000	5,000	1,113	1,853	3,344	740	%99	2,231	200%
2,500 52 88 160 36 69% 5,000 559 939 1,615 380 68% 10,000 8,476 13,514 21,943 5,038 59% 2,500 832 1,311 2,140 479 58% 5,000 8,670 12,815 20,522 4,145 48% 2,500 349 595 994 246 70% 5,000 1,935 2,926 4,771 991 51% 10,000 13,466 19,892 31,564 6,426 70% 2,500 782 1,331 2,233 549 70% 5,000 782 1,331 2,233 549 70% 5,000 3,736 5,688 9,312 1,952 52% 5,000 21,684 31,975 50,772 10,291 47%	1,000	10,000	23,205	38,526	69,402	15,321	%99	46,197	199%
5,000 559 939 1,615 380 68% 10,000 8,476 13,514 21,943 5,038 59% 2,500 109 185 323 76 70% 5,000 8,670 12,815 20,522 4,145 48% 2,500 349 595 994 246 70% 5,000 13,466 19,892 31,564 6,426 48% 2,500 782 1,331 2,233 549 70% 5,000 3,736 5,688 9,312 1,952 52% 5,000 21,684 31,975 50,772 10,291 47% 10,000 21,684 31,975 50,772 10,291 47%	5,000	2,500	52	88	160	36	%69	108	208%
10,000 8,476 13,514 21,943 5,038 59% 2,500 832 1,311 2,140 479 58% 5,000 8,670 12,815 20,522 4,145 48% 2,500 349 595 994 246 70% 5,000 13,466 19,892 31,564 6,426 48% 2,500 782 1,331 2,233 549 70% 5,000 3,736 5,688 9,312 1,952 52% 6,000 21,684 31,975 50,772 10,291 47% 10,000 21,684 31,975 3,114 61%	2,000	5,000	559	626	1,615	380	%89	1,056	189%
2,500 109 185 323 76 70% 5,000 8,670 12,815 2,140 479 58% 2,500 3,49 595 994 246 70% 2,500 1,935 2,926 4,771 991 51% 10,000 13,466 19,892 31,564 6,426 48% 2,500 782 1,331 2,233 549 70% 5,000 3,736 5,688 9,312 1,952 52% 10,000 21,684 31,975 50,772 10,291 47% AVERAGE = 3,114 61%	2,000	10,000	8,476	13,514	21,943	5,038	29%	13,467	159%
5,000 832 1,311 2,140 479 58% 10,000 8,670 12,815 20,522 4,145 48% 2,500 349 595 994 246 70% 5,000 1,935 2,926 4,771 991 51% 10,000 13,466 19,892 31,564 6,426 48% 2,500 782 1,331 2,233 549 70% 5,000 3,736 5,688 9,312 1,952 52% 10,000 21,684 31,975 50,772 10,291 47% AVERAGE = 3,114 61%	10,000	2,500	109	185	323	76	%0 2	214	196%
10,000 8,670 12,815 20,522 4,145 48% 2,500 349 595 994 246 70% 5,000 1,935 2,926 4,771 991 51% 10,000 13,466 19,892 31,564 6,426 48% 2,500 782 1,331 2,233 549 70% 5,000 3,736 5,688 9,312 1,952 52% 10,000 21,684 31,975 50,772 10,291 47% AVERAGE = 3,114 61%	10,000	5,000	832	1,311	2,140	479	28%	1,308	157%
2,500 349 595 994 246 70% 5,000 1,935 2,926 4,771 991 51% 10,000 13,466 19,892 31,564 6,426 48% 2,500 782 1,331 2,233 549 70% 5,000 3,736 5,688 9,312 1,952 52% 10,000 21,684 31,975 50,772 10,291 47% AVERAGE = 3,114 61%	10,000	10,000	8,670	12,815	20,522	4,145	48%	11,852	137%
5,000 1,935 2,926 4,771 991 51% 10,000 13,466 19,892 31,564 6,426 48% 2,500 782 1,331 2,233 549 70% 5,000 3,736 5,688 9,312 1,952 52% 10,000 21,684 31,975 50,772 10,291 47% AVERAGE = 3,114 61%	20,000	2,500	349	595	994	246	%0 2	645	185%
10,000 13,466 19,892 31,564 6,426 48% 2,500 782 1,331 2,233 549 70% 5,000 3,736 5,688 9,312 1,952 52% 10,000 21,684 31,975 50,772 10,291 47% AVERAGE = 3,114 61%	20,000	5,000	1,935	2,926	4,771	991	51%	2,836	147%
2,500 782 1,331 2,233 549 70% 5,000 3,736 5,688 9,312 1,952 52% 10,000 21,684 31,975 50,772 10,291 47% AVERAGE = 3,114 61%	20,000	10,000	13,466	19,892	31,564	6,426	48%	18,098	134%
5,000 3,736 5,688 9,312 1,952 52% 10,000 21,684 31,975 50,772 10,291 47% AVERAGE = 3,114 61%	30,000	2,500	782	1,331	2,233	549	%0 2	1,451	186%
10,000 21,684 31,975 50,772 10,291 47% AVERAGE = 3.114 61%	30,000	5,000	3,736	5,688	9,312	1,952	52%	5,576	149%
3,114	30,000	10,000	21,684	31,975	50,772	10,291	47%	29,088	134%
					AVERAGE =	3,114	61%	8,950	172%

TABLE I.13 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 2-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 1,000,000 PSI

PERCENT INCREASE		210%	209%	207%	217%	206%	203%	210%	207%	204%	211%	208%	206%	213%	211%	208%	209%
4250 LBS TO	3250 LBS	2,947	45,776	853,146	948	7,938	99,821	1,139	7,396	69,902	2,293	11,410	78,888	4,284	18,692	107,875	87,497
PERCENT INCREASE		106%	104%	102%	%86	%56	91%	95%	%06	87%	87%	85%	83%	84%	82%	81%	91%
4250 LBS TO	3750 LBS	1,485	22,799	420,813	427	3,644	44,744	501	3,216	29,766	941	4,640	31,653	1,679	7,279	41,767	41,024
PSI S)	3,250	4,351	67,719	1,265,389	1,384	11,786	148,881	1,681	10,967	104,181	3,379	16,885	117,138	6,292	27,560	159,656	AVERAGE =
TIRE PRESSURE = 40 PSI TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	3,750	2,889	44,742	833,056	863	7,492	93,804	1,043	6,787	64,045	2,027	10,115	69,903	3,687	16,147	93,548	
TIRE PRES TIRE LOA	4,250	1,404	21,943	412,243	436	3,848	49,060	542	3,571	34,279	1,086	5,475	38,250	2,008	8,868	51,781	
	E(SG) PSI	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	2,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	
	E(BASE) PSI	1,000	1,000	1,000	2,000	2,000	5,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	

INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 3-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 150,000 PSI TABLE 1.14

		TIRE PRES TIRE LOA	TIRE PRESSURE = 40 PSI TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	PSI S)	4250 LBS TO	PERCENT INCREASE	4250 LBS TO	PERCENT INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	471	794	1,450	323	%69	626	208%
1,000	5,000	7,828	13,135	23,965	5,307	68%	16,137	206%
1,000	10,000	151,721	254,696	463,664	102,975	68%	311,943	206%
2,000	2,500	282	475	867	193	%89	585	207%
5,000	5,000	2,477	4,152	7,539	1,675	%89	5,062	204%
5,000	10,000	31,294	52,181	94,373	20,887	%19	63,079	202%
10,000	2,500	556	937	1,711	381	%69	1,155	208%
10,000	5,000	3,442	5,772	10,495	2,330	%89	7,053	205%
10,000	10,000	31,026	51,880	93,959	20,854	%29	62,933	203%
20,000	2,500	1,750	2,963	5,444	1,213	%69	3,694	211%
20,000	5,000	8,080	13,610	24,858	5,530	%89	16,778	208%
20,000	10,000	51,188	85,809	156,057	34,621	%89	104,869	205%
30,000	2,500	3,986	6,766	12,460	2,780	%02	8,474	213%
30,000	5,000	16,147	27,331	62,959	11,184	%69	46,812	290%
30,000	10,000	85,440	143,654	261,980	58,214	%89	176,540	207%
				AVERAGE =	17,898	%89	55,073	212%

TABLE I.15
INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 3-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE
LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 1,000,000 PSI

	IREP	IIRE PRESSURE = 40 PSI	l Sal	4250 LBS	PERCENT	4250 LBS	PERCENT
	TIRE LO/	LOAD (POUNDS)	(SC	10	INCREASE	2	INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
2,500	20,204	34,581	64,304	14,377	71%	44,100	218%
5,000	317,793	542,969	1,005,182	225,176	71%	687,389	216%
10,000	5,961,853	10,161,223	18,813,297	4,199,370	%02	12,851,444	216%
2,500	4,965	8,476	15,619	3,511	71%	10.654	215%
5,000	40,144	68,135	125,376	27,991	%02	85,232	212%
10,000	456,111	771,303	1,414,145	315,192	%69	958,034	210%
2,500	5,396	9,191	16,948	3,795	%02	11,552	214%
5,000	32,252	54,688	100,461	22,436	%02	68,209	211%
10,000	267,101	450,808	824,923	183,707	%69	557,822	209%
2,500	9,252	15,734	29,107	6,482	%02	19,855	215%
5,000	42,877	72,699	133,618	29,822	%02	90,741	212%
10,000	258,396	436,018	796,857	177,622	%69	538,461	208%
2,500	15,619	26,658	49,293	11,039	71%	33,674	216%
5,000	64,142	108,870	200,171	44,728	%02	136,029	212%
10,000	326,875	551,422	1,008,934	224,547	%69	682,059	209%
			AVERAGE =	365,986	%02	1.118.350	213%

TABLE I.16 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 1-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC)=150,000 PSI

		TIRE PR	TIRE PRESSURE = 70 PSI	70 PSI	4250 LBS	PERCENT	4250 LBS	PERCENT
			INE LUAD (POUNDS)	(CO)	2	INCREASE	0	INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI	E(5G) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	9	80	13	က	%09	80	160%
1,000	5,000	88	138	230	49	25%	141	158%
1,000	10,000	1,831	2,810	4,652	979	53%	2,821	154%
5,000	2,500	1	10	17	က	43%	10	143%
5,000	5,000	61	95	147	31	51%	86	141%
5,000	10,000	794	1,164	1,844	370	47%	1,050	132%
10,000	2,500	18	28	46	10	26%	28	156%
10,000	5,000	115	171	275	56	49%	160	139%
10,000	10,000	1,039	1,515	2,382	476	46%	1,343	129%
20,000	2,500	75	115	191	40	23%	116	155%
20,000	5,000	342	513	832	171	20%	490	143%
20,000	10,000	2,145	3,142	4,979	266	46%	2,834	132%
30,000	2,500	188	292	489	104	25%	301	160%
30,000	5,000	752	1,143	1,870	391	52%	1,118	149%
30,000	10,000	3,943	5,840	9,312	1,897	48%	5,369	136%
			AV	AVERAGE =	372	51%	1,058	146%

TABLE I.17 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 1-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC)=1,000,000 PSI

		TIRE PR TIRE L	TIRE PRESSURE = 70 PSI TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	70 PSI IDS)	4250 LBS TO	PERCENT	4250 LBS TO	PERCENT
E(BASE) PSI	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	42	71	131	29	%69	89	212%
1,000	5,000	783	1,337	2,471	554	71%	1,688	216%
1,000	10,000	16,511	28,143	52,080	11,632	%02	35,569	215%
5,000	2,500	28	45	78	17	61%	50	179%
5,000	5,000	269	428	736	159	29%	467	174%
5,000	10,000	3,657	5,755	9,784	2,098	21%	6,127	168%
10,000	2,500	90	80	139	30	%09	88	178%
10,000	5,000	327	516	878	189	28%	551	169%
10,000	10,000	3,094	4,812	8,080	1,718	26%	4,986	161%
20,000	2,500	152	244	420	92	61%	268	176%
20,000	5,000	669	1,097	1,861	398	21%	1,162	166%
20,000	10,000	4,449	6,849	11,426	2,400	54%	6,977	157%
30,000	2,500	355	999	086	214	%09	625	176%
30,000	5,000	1,386	2,180	3,697	794	21%	2,311	167%
30,000	10,000	7,151	11,005	18,278	3,854	54%	11,127	156%
			AV	AVERAGE =	1,612	%09	4,806	178%

TABLE I.18 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 2-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC)=150,000

		TIRE PR	E PRESSURE = 70 PSI	120 PSI	4250 LBS	PERCENT	4250 LBS	PERCENT
		TIRE	RE LOAD (POUNDS)	IDS)	2	INCREASE	10	INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	51	86	160	35	%69	109	214%
1,000	5,000	926	1,579	2,918	653	71%	1,992	215%
1,000	10,000	19,138	32,674	60,371	13,536	71%	41,233	215%
5,000	2,500	41	99	116	25	61%	75	183%
5,000	5,000	379	809	1,054	229	%09	675	178%
5,000	10,000	5,007	7,953	13,659	2,946	29%	8,652	173%
10,000	2,500	77	125	216	48	62%	139	181%
10,000	5,000	493	785	1,357	292	26%	864	175%
10,000	10,000	4,549	7,129	12,117	2,580	21%	7,568	166%
20,000	2,500	238	384	899	146	61%	430	181%
20,000	5,000	1,093	1,731	2,971	638	28%	1,878	172%
20,000	10,000	6,891	10,746	18,140	3,855	26%	11,249	163%
30,000	2,500	537	867	1,512	330	61%	975	182%
30,000	5,000	2,140	3,397	5,823	1,257	29%	3,683	172%
30,000	10,000	11,118	17,334	29,231	6,216	26%	18,113	163%
			AV	AVERAGE =	2,186	61%	6,509	182%

TABLE I.19 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 2-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 1,000,000 PSI

		TIRE PR	E PRESSURE = 70 PSI	70 PSI	4250 LBS	PERCENT	4250 LBS	PERCENT
		TIRE	RE LOAD (POUNDS)	(SQN	10	INCREASE	TO	INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	1,234	2,589	3,954	1,355	110%	2,720	220%
1,000	5,000	19,138	39,691	60,945	20,553	107%	41,807	218%
1,000	10,000	355,829	734,504	1,133,158	378,675	106%	777,329	218%
2,000	2,500	381	767	1,219	386	101%	838	220%
5,000	5,000	3,309	6,563	10,531	3,254	%86	7,222	218%
5,000	10,000	41,521	81,298	131,575	39,777	%96	90,054	217%
10,000	2,500	476	933	1,525	457	%96	1,049	220%
10,000	5,000	3,086	5,980	9,850	2,894	94%	6,764	219%
10,000	10,000	29,107	55,738	92,630	26,631	91%	63,523	218%
20,000	2,500	965	1,831	3,102	866	%06	2,137	221%
20,000	5,000	4,785	9,043	15,335	4,258	%68	10,550	220%
20,000	10,000	32,959	61,618	103,540	28,659	87%	70,581	214%
30,000	2,500	1,803	3,362	5,823	1,559	%98	4,020	223%
30,000	5,000	7,853	14,572	25,062	6,719	86%	17,209	219%
30,000	10,000	45,056	81,601	130,490	36,545	81%	85,434	190%
			A	AVERAGE =	36,839	95%	78,749	217%

TABLE 1.20 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 3-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 150,000 PSI

TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)
1
ı
AVERAGE =

TABLE I.21 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 3-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 1,000,000 PSI

41,692 646,706 12,029,411 9,981 79,072 880,840 10,809 63,053 511,121 18,650 83,974 493,098	13,554 210,744 210,744 3,269 25,830 287,724 3,528 20,556 6,073	60,251 936,168 7,431,367 14,467 114,737 25,830 15,676 3,528 91,673 20,556	60,251 936,168 17,431,367 14,467 114,737 1,281,639 15,676 91,673	32,113 60,251 500,206 936,168 9,319,158 17,431,367 7,755 14,467 61,495 114,737 688,523 1,281,639 8,395 15,676 49,176 91,673	18,559 32,113 60,251 289,462 500,206 936,168 5,401,956 9,319,158 17,431,367 4,486 7,755 14,467 35,665 61,495 114,737 400,799 688,523 1,281,639 4,867 8,395 15,676 28,620 49,176 91,673
73% 41,692 73% 646,706 73% 12,029,411 73% 9,981 72% 880,840 72% 10,809 72% 63,053 72% 63,053 72% 63,053 72% 83,974 72% 83,974	3,554 0,744 17,202 5,830 77,724 77,724 5,528 0,556 6,863		936,168 17,431,367 14,467 114,737 1,281,639 15,676 91,673	,559 32,113 60,251 ,462 500,206 936,168 956 9,319,158 17,431,367 ,486 7,755 14,467 ,665 61,495 114,737 ,799 688,523 1,281,639 ,867 8,395 15,676 ,620 49,176 91,673	289,462 500,206 936,168 289,462 500,206 936,168 5,401,956 9,319,158 17,431,367 4,486 7,755 14,467 35,665 61,495 114,737 400,799 688,523 1,281,639 4,867 8,395 15,676 28,620 49,176 91,673
73% 646,706 73% 12,029,411 73% 12,029,411 72% 9,981 72% 880,840 72% 10,809 72% 63,053 72% 63,053 72% 83,974 72% 493,098	0,744 17,20 269 5,830 7,724 7,724 7,528 0,556 6,863		936,168 17,431,367 14,467 1,281,639 15,676 91,673	,462 500,206 936,168 956 9,319,158 17,431,367 486 7,755 14,467 665 61,495 114,737 799 688,523 1,281,639 867 8,395 15,676 620 49,176 91,673	289,462 500,206 936,168 5,401,956 9,319,158 17,431,367 4,486 7,755 14,467 35,665 61,495 114,737 400,799 688,523 1,281,639 4,867 8,395 15,676 28,620 49,176 91,673
73% 12,029,411 73% 9,981 72% 79,072 72% 880,840 72% 10,809 72% 63,053 72% 511,121 73% 18,650 72% 83,974 72% 83,974	17,20; ,269 ,7724 7,724 ,528 0,556 6,863		17,431,367 14,467 114,737 1,281,639 15,676 91,673	956 9,319,158 17,431,367 486 7,755 14,467 665 61,495 114,737 799 688,523 1,281,639 867 8,395 15,676 620 49,176 91,673	5,401,956 9,319,158 17,431,367 4,486 7,755 14,467 35,665 61,495 114,737 400,799 688,523 1,281,639 4,867 8,395 15,676 28,620 49,176 91,673
73% 9,981 72% 79,072 72% 880,840 72% 10,809 72% 63,053 72% 511,121 73% 18,650 72% 83,974 72% 493,098	, 269 5, 830 7, 724 , 528 0, 556 6, 863		14,467 114,737 1,281,639 15,676 91,673	7,755 14,467 61,495 114,737 688,523 1,281,639 8,395 15,676 49,176 91,673	4,486 7,755 14,467 35,665 61,495 114,737 400,799 688,523 1,281,639 4,867 8,395 15,676 28,620 49,176 91,673
72% 79,072 72% 880,840 72% 10,809 72% 63,053 72% 511,121 73% 18,650 72% 83,974 72% 493,098	5,830 7,72 528 0,556 6,86 6,86		114,737 1,281,639 15,676 91,673	61,495 114,737 688,523 1,281,639 8,395 15,676 49,176 91,673	35,665 61,495 114,737 400,799 688,523 1,281,639 4,867 8,395 15,676 28,620 49,176 91,673
72% 880,840 72% 10,809 72% 63,053 72% 511,121 73% 18,650 72% 83,974 72% 493,098	7,7,7,522,55,00,55		1,281,639 15,676 91,673	688,523 1,281,639 8,395 15,676 49,176 91,673	400,799 688,523 1,281,639 4,867 8,395 15,676 28,620 49,176 91,673
72% 10,809 72% 63,053 72% 511,121 73% 18,650 72% 83,974 72% 493,098	.52 0,54 6,8 0,07		15,676 91,673	8,395 15,676 49,176 91,673	4,867 8,395 15,676 28,620 49,176 91,673
72% 63,053 72% 511,121 73% 18,650 72% 83,974 72% 493,098	000		91,673	49,176 91,673	28,620 49,176 91,673
72% 511,121 73% 18,650 72% 83,974 72% 493,098	@		744 447		222 226 200 200 111 111
73% 18,650 72% 83,974 72% 493,098		744,147	/44,14/	399,889 744,147	233,UZD 389,889 /44,14/
72% 83,974 72% 493,098	-	26,992		26,992	8,342 14,415 26,992
72% 493,098	\sim	121,984		010 65,418 121,984	38,010 65,418 121,984
	7	718,151		386,235 718,151	053 386,235 718,151
10,300 73% 31,598 223%		45,755		24,457 45,755	157 24,457 45,755
41,162 72% 126,130 221%	_	183,166 41,		036 98,198 183,166	036 98,198 183,166
204,370 72% 625,642 219%	4	910,855 204		213 489,583 910,855	213 489,583 910,855
339,984 72% 1,043,452 222%	6		AVERAGE = 339		

TABLE I.22 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 1-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC)=150,000 PSI

		TIRE PRE TIRE LO	KE PRESSURE = 100 PSI TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	100 PSI (DS)	4250 LBS TO	PERCENT INCREASE	4250 LBS TO	PERCENT
E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	4	9	10	2	20%	9	150%
1,000	5,000	64	102	176	38	26%	112	175%
1,000	10,000	1,295	2,061	3,543	766	29%	2,248	174%
5,000	2,500	9	7	12	2	40%	7	140%
5,000	5,000	40	62	105	22	25%	65	163%
5,000	10,000	499	767	1,273	268	54%	774	155%
10,000	2,500	13	20	34	7	54%	21	162%
10,000	5,000	75	117	195	42	26%	120	160%
10,000	10,000	644	984	1,626	340	23%	982	152%
20,000	2,500	53	84	145	31	28%	92	174%
20,000	2,000	229	356	299	127	25%	370	162%
20,000	10,000	1,348	2,065	3,415	717	23%	2,067	153%
30,000	2,500	137	218	376	81	29%	239	174%
30,000	5,000	515	807	1,368	292	21%	853	166%
30,000	10,000	2,532	3,890	6,465	1,358	54%	3,933	155%
				AVERAGE =	273	54%	793	161%

TABLE I.23 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 1-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC)=1,000,000 PSI

E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI 4,250 1,000 2,500 39 1,000 5,000 731 1,000 10,000 15,391 5,000 2,500 209 5,000 5,000 209	3,75	(SON)				
4	3,75	/	10	INCREASE	٦	INCREASE
		3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
	67	125	28	72%	86	221%
	1,257	2,348	526	72%	1,617	221%
	1 26,548	48,504	11,157	72%	33,113	215%
	2 37	99	15	%89	44	200%
_	9 341	602	132	63%	393	188%
	1 4,473	7,828	1,712	62%	5,067	184%
2,500 40	0 65	139	25	63%	66	248%
5,000 248	8 402	704	154	62%	456	184%
10,000 2,260		6,273	1,359	%09	4,013	178%
2,500 118		345	9/	64%	226	190%
5,000 524	4 845	1,476	321	61%	952	182%
0,000 3,183	3 5,065	8,726	1,882	29%	5,543	174%
2,500 278	9 456	908	177	63%	527	189%
5,000 1,041	1,677	2,926	636	61%	1,885	181%
0,000 5,094	~	13,905	2,986	29%	8,811	173%
		AVERAGE =	1,412	64%	4,189	195%

TABLE I.24 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 2-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC)=150,000

		TIRE PRE	PRESSURE = 100 PSI	00 PSI	4250 LBS	PERCENT	4250 LBS	PERCENT
		TIRE L	TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	(SQN	2	INCREASE	5	INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	47	82	152	35	74%	105	223%
1,000	5,000	863	1,485	2,775	622	72%	1,912	222%
1,000	10,000	17,866	30,762	57,261	12,896	72%	39,395	221%
5,000	2,500	33	55	86	22	%29	65	197%
5,000	5,000	301	493	877	192	64%	576	191%
5,000	10,000	3,879	6,330	11,156	2,451	63%	7,277	188%
10,000	2,500	62	103	183	41	%99	121	195%
10,000	5,000	383	625	1,102	242	63%	719	188%
10,000	10,000	3,415	5,507	9,624	2,092	61%	6,209	182%
20,000	2,500	191	314	559	123	64%	368	193%
20,000	5,000	840	1,368	2,406	528	63%	1,566	186%
20,000	10,000	5,079	8,157	14,208	3,078	61%	9,129	180%
30,000	2,500	432	711	1,265	279	%59	833	193%
30,000	5,000	1,647	2,680	4,718	1,033	63%	3,071	186%
30,000	10,000	8,183	13,135	22,385	4,952	61%	14,202	174%
				AVERAGE =	1,906	65%	5,703	195%

TABLE 1.25 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 2-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 1,000,000 PSI

		TIRE PRE	PRESSURE = 100 PSI	100 PSI	4250 LBS	PERCENT	4250 LBS	PERCENT
		TIREL	TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	VDS)	2	INCREASE	5	INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	1,174	2,477	3,807	1,303	111%	2,633	224%
1,000	5,000	18,071	37,789	58,487	19,718	109%	40,416	224%
1,000	10,000	336,031	698,630	1,084,622	362,599	108%	748,591	223%
5,000	2,500	362	734	1,171	372	103%	608	223%
5,000	5,000	3,118	6,254	10,082	3,136	101%	6,964	223%
5,000	10,000	38,890	76,953	125,523	38,063	88%	86,633	223%
10,000	2,500	453	968	1,473	443	%86	1,020	225%
10,000	5,000	2,926	5,704	9,467	2,778	95%	6,541	224%
10,000	10,000	27,445	52,915	88,634	25,470	83%	61,189	223%
20,000	2,500	924	1,766	3,009	842	91%	2,085	226%
20,000	5,000	4,562	8,670	14,625	4,108	%06	10,063	221%
20,000	10,000	29,984	56,369	90,383	26,385	88%	60,399	201%
30,000	2,500	1,734	3,258	5,605	1,524	88%	3,871	223%
30,000	5,000	7,285	13,610	22,206	6,325	87%	14,921	205%
30,000	10,000	37,621	69,831	113,105	32,210	86%	75,484	201%
				AVERAGE =	35,018	%96	74,775	219%

TABLE I.26 INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 3-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 150,000 PSI

BS TO INCREASE TO			TIRE PRE	PRESSURE = 100 PSI	100 PSI	4250 LBS	PERCENT	4250 LBS	PERCENT
E(SG) PSI 4,250 3,750 3,250 3750 LBS 3250 LBS 2,500 387 668 1,249 281 73% 862 5,000 6,349 10,930 20,442 4,581 72% 14,093 2,500 6,349 10,930 20,442 4,581 72% 14,093 2,500 230 397 742 167 72% 270,935 2,500 1,971 3,397 6,349 1,426 72% 4,378 2,500 24,457 42,076 78,465 17,619 72% 4,378 2,500 2,4457 42,076 78,465 17,619 72% 4,378 2,500 2,768 4,771 8,926 2,003 72% 6,158 10,000 24,457 42,192 78,755 17,735 73% 14,869 2,500 1,476 2,557 4,781 4,861 73% 14,869 2,500 10,000 24,457 <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th>TIREL</th><th>OAD (POU</th><th>(SQN</th><th>10</th><th>INCREASE</th><th>၀</th><th>INCREASE</th></t<>			TIREL	OAD (POU	(SQN	10	INCREASE	၀	INCREASE
2,500 387 668 1,249 281 73% 862 5,000 6,349 10,930 20,442 4,581 72% 14,093 10,000 122,055 210,360 392,990 88,305 72% 270,935 2,500 230 397 742 167 72% 4,378 10,000 24,457 42,076 78,465 17,619 72% 4,378 2,500 24,457 42,076 78,465 17,619 72% 4,378 2,500 2,467 42,192 78,755 17,735 73% 1,024 5,000 24,457 42,192 78,755 1,081 73% 14,869 10,000 24,457 42,192 78,755 1,081 73% 14,869 5,000 6,644 11,505 21,513 4,861 73% 14,869 10,000 41,275 71,247 133,301 29,972 73% 27,426 5,000 16,514	E(BASE) PSI	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
5,000 6,349 10,930 20,442 4,581 72% 14,093 2,500 230 397 742 167 73% 512 5,000 1,971 3,397 6,349 1,426 72% 270,935 10,000 24,457 42,076 78,465 17,619 72% 4,378 2,500 4,457 42,076 78,465 17,619 72% 54,008 2,500 2,467 42,192 78,755 17,735 73% 1,024 10,000 24,457 42,192 78,755 1,081 73% 14,869 5,000 6,644 11,505 21,513 4,861 73% 14,869 10,000 41,275 71,247 133,301 2,504 74% 7,712 5,000 6,644 11,118 2,504 74% 7,712 69% 5,000 6,644 11,275 71,247 133,301 2,504 74% 7,712 5,000	1,000	2,500	387	899	1,249	281	73%	862	223%
10,000 122,055 210,360 392,990 88,305 72% 270,935 2,500 230 397 742 167 73% 512 5,000 1,971 3,397 6,349 1,426 72% 4,378 10,000 24,457 42,076 78,465 17,619 72% 54,008 2,500 2,768 4,771 8,926 2,003 72% 6,158 10,000 24,457 42,192 78,755 17,735 73% 54,298 2,500 1,476 2,557 4,798 1,081 73% 14,869 5,000 6,644 11,505 21,513 4,861 73% 14,869 10,000 41,275 71,247 133,301 2,504 73% 92,026 2,500 6,644 11,105 21,513 4,861 73% 14,869 2,500 6,644 11,505 21,513 2504 74% 7,712 2,500 16,514	1,000	2,000	6,349	10,930	20,442	4,581	72%	14,093	222%
2,500 230 397 742 167 73% 512 5,000 1,971 3,397 6,349 1,426 72% 4,378 10,000 24,457 42,076 78,465 17,619 72% 54,008 2,500 2,500 2,768 4,771 8,926 2,003 72% 6,158 10,000 24,457 42,192 78,755 17,735 73% 54,298 2,500 1,476 2,557 4,798 1,081 73% 14,869 5,000 6,644 11,505 21,513 4,861 73% 14,869 5,000 6,644 11,505 21,513 4,861 73% 14,869 10,000 41,275 71,247 133,301 29,972 73% 27,426 5,000 16,514 23,392 43,940 6,878 42,74 7,712 5,000 16,514 23,392 43,940 6,878 42,87 7,742 5,000	1,000	10,000	122,055	210,360	392,990	88,305	72%	270,935	222%
5,000 1,971 3,397 6,349 1,426 72% 4,378 10,000 24,457 42,076 78,465 17,619 72% 54,008 2,500 4,58 791 1,482 2,003 72% 6,158 5,000 2,768 4,771 8,926 2,003 72% 6,158 10,000 24,457 42,192 78,755 17,735 73% 54,298 2,500 6,644 11,505 21,513 4,861 73% 14,869 10,000 41,275 71,247 133,301 29,972 73% 27,426 5,000 16,514 23,392 43,940 6,878 42% 27,426 5,000 16,514 23,392 48,177 69% 144,935 10,000 70,047 118,224 214,982 48,177 69% 46,437	5,000	2,500	230	397	742	167	73%	512	223%
10,000 24,457 42,076 78,465 17,619 72% 54,008 2,500 458 791 1,482 333 73% 1,024 5,000 2,768 4,771 8,926 2,003 72% 6,158 10,000 24,457 42,192 78,755 17,735 73% 54,298 2,500 1,476 2,557 4,798 1,081 73% 14,869 5,000 6,644 11,505 21,513 4,861 73% 14,869 10,000 41,275 71,247 133,301 29,972 73% 27,426 5,000 16,514 23,392 43,940 6,878 42% 27,426 5,000 70,047 118,224 214,982 48,177 69% 144,935 10,000 70,047 118,224 214,982 70% 46,437	5,000	5,000	1,971	3,397	6,349	1,426	72%	4,378	222%
2,500 458 791 1,482 333 73% 1,024 5,000 2,768 4,771 8,926 2,003 72% 6,158 10,000 24,457 42,192 78,755 17,735 73% 54,298 2,500 1,476 2,557 4,798 1,081 73% 14,869 5,000 6,644 11,505 21,513 4,861 73% 14,869 10,000 41,275 71,247 133,301 29,972 73% 92,026 2,500 3,406 5,910 11,118 2,504 74% 7,712 5,000 16,514 23,392 43,940 6,878 42% 27,426 10,000 70,047 118,224 214,982 48,177 69% 144,935 10,000 70,047 118,224 214,982 70% 46,437	5,000	10,000	24,457	42,076	78,465	17,619	72%	54,008	221%
5,000 2,768 4,771 8,926 2,003 72% 6,158 10,000 24,457 42,192 78,755 17,735 73% 54,298 2,500 1,476 2,557 4,798 1,081 73% 14,869 5,000 6,644 11,505 21,513 4,861 73% 14,869 10,000 41,275 71,247 133,301 29,972 73% 92,026 2,500 3,406 5,910 11,118 2,504 74% 7,712 5,000 16,514 23,392 43,940 6,878 42% 27,426 10,000 70,047 118,224 214,982 48,177 69% 144,935 10,000 70,047 118,224 214,982 70% 46,437	10,000	2,500	458	791	1,482	333	73%	1,024	224%
10,000 24,457 42,192 78,755 17,735 73% 54,298 2,500 6,644 11,505 21,513 4,861 73% 14,869 10,000 41,275 71,247 133,301 29,972 73% 92,026 2,500 3,406 5,910 11,118 2,504 74% 7,712 5,000 16,514 23,392 43,940 6,878 42% 27,426 10,000 70,047 118,224 214,982 48,177 69% 144,935 10,000 70,047 118,224 214,982 70% 46,437	10,000	5,000	2,768	4,771	8,926	2,003	72%	6,158	222%
2,500 1,476 2,557 4,798 1,081 73% 3,322 5,000 6,644 11,505 21,513 4,861 73% 14,869 10,000 41,275 71,247 133,301 29,972 73% 92,026 2,500 3,406 5,910 11,118 2,504 74% 7,712 5,000 16,514 23,392 43,940 6,878 42% 27,426 10,000 70,047 118,224 214,982 48,177 69% 144,935 AVERAGE = 15,062 70% 46,437	10,000	10,000	24,457	42,192	78,755	17,735	73%	54,298	222%
5,000 6,644 11,505 21,513 4,861 73% 14,869 10,000 41,275 71,247 133,301 29,972 73% 92,026 2,500 3,406 5,910 11,118 2,504 74% 7,712 5,000 16,514 23,392 43,940 6,878 42% 27,426 10,000 70,047 118,224 214,982 48,177 69% 144,935 AVERAGE = 15,062 70% 46,437	20,000	2,500	1,476	2,557	4,798	1,081	73%	3,322	225%
10,000 41,275 71,247 133,301 29,972 73% 92,026 2,500 3,406 5,910 11,118 2,504 74% 7,712 5,000 16,514 23,392 43,940 6,878 42% 27,426 10,000 70,047 118,224 214,982 48,177 69% 144,935 AVERAGE = 15,062 70% 46,437	20,000	2,000	6,644	11,505	21,513	4,861	73%	14,869	224%
2,500 3,406 5,910 11,118 2,504 74% 7,712 5,000 16,514 23,392 43,940 6,878 42% 27,426 10,000 70,047 118,224 214,982 48,177 69% 144,935 AVERAGE = 15,062 70% 46,437	20,000	10,000	41,275	71,247	133,301	29,972	73%	92,026	223%
5,000 16,514 23,392 43,940 6,878 42% 27,426 10,000 70,047 118,224 214,982 48,177 69% 144,935 AVERAGE = 15,062 70% 46,437	30,000	2,500	3,406	5,910	11,118	2,504	74%	7,712	226%
10,000 70,047 118,224 214,982 48,177 69% 144,935 AVERAGE = 15,062 70% 46,437	30,000	5,000	16,514	23,392	43,940	6,878	42%	27,426	166%
15,062 70% 46,437	30,000	10,000	70,047	118,224	214,982	48,177	%69	144,935	207%
					AVERAGE =	15,062	%02	46,437	218%

INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE OF 3-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 1,000,000 PSI TABLE 1.27

	TIRE PR	PRESSURE = 100 PSI RELOAD (POUNDS)	100 PSI NDS)	4250 LBS TO	PERCENT	4250 LBS	PERCENT
E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	707700
2,500	17,934	31,160	58,718	13,226	74%	40,784	227%
5,000	278,883	483,801	910,026	204,918	73%	631,143	226%
000'01	5,194,725	25 8,998,165	16,919,444	3,803,440	73%	11,724,719	226%
2,500	4,315	7,468	14,056	3,153	73%	9,741	226%
5,000	34,129	59,039	110,742	24,910	73%	76,613	224%
10,000		658,142	1,232,426	277,379	73%	851,663	224%
2,500	4,665	8,080	15,223	3,415	73%	10,558	226%
2,000	24,218	47,150	88,442	22,932	82%	64,224	265%
000,01	220,898	381,621	714,440	160,723	73%	493,542	223%
2,500	8,029	13,905	26,113	5,876	73%	18,084	225%
5,000	36,303	62,738	117,679	26,435	73%	81,376	224%
000'01	213,147	368,175	689,112	155,028	73%	475,965	223%
2,500	13,610	23,677	44,432	10,067	74%	30,822	226%
5,000	54,476	94,269	176,885	39,793	73%	122,409	225%
10,000	270,270	466,948	874,437	196,678	73%	604,167	224%
			AVERAGE =	329,865	75%	1,015,721	228%

Appendix J: Effects of Lower Tire Pressure and Tire Load on Rutting Failure of Asphalt Concrete Roads

PERCENT INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE FOR A 1 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A DUAL TIRE SINGLE AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD AND PRESSURE INDIVIDUALLY AND COMBINED. E(AC)=150,000 PSI TABLE J.1

LOAD FROM		TIRE PRESSURE				
4250 LBS		FROM 100 PSI				
TO	PERCENT	70	PERCENT	COMBINED	PERCENT	SYNERGISTIC
3250 LBS	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE	EFFECT	INCREASE	FACTOR
9	120%	9	150%	18	420 %	1.50
111	176%	127	202%	357	267%	1.50
2,222	173%	2,734	213%	7,470	582%	1.51
2	140%	10	200%	27	240%	1.59
63	158%	114	285%	273	683%	1.54
764	155%	1,708	346%	3,740	759%	1.51
21	175%	27	225%	74	617%	1.54
118	162%	208	285%	505	692 %	1.55
964	152%	2,271	359%	4,939	780%	1.53
87	171%	82	180%	280	249%	1.56
360	163%	545	247%	1,423	644%	1.57
2,308	223%	4,618	446%	10,158	981%	1.47
227	176%	208	161%	675	253%	1.55
823	167%	1,082	219%	3,002	%809	1.58
3,846	156%	7,319	297%	17,504	710%	1.57
795	166%	1,405	254%	3,363	646%	1.54
AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE

PERCENT INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE FOR A 2 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A DUAL TIRE SINGLE AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD AND PRESSURE INDIVIDUALLY AND COMBINED. E(AC)=150,000 PSI TABLE J.2

LOAD FROM		TIRE PRESSURE				
4250 LBS		FROM 100 PSI				
01	PERCENT	10	PERCENT	COMBINED	PERCENT	SYNERGISTIC
3250 LBS	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE	EFFECT	INCREASE	FACTOR
103	224%	13	28%	132	287%	1.14
1,882	221%	243	29%	2,440	286%	1.15
39,065	221%	5,263	30%	51,066	289%	1.15
62	194%	18	%99	121	378%	1.51
260	192%	248	85%	1,270	435%	1.57
7,133	188%	4,465	118%	17,631	464%	1.52
115	195%	43	%£ 2	245	415%	1.55
693	188%	426	115%	1,682	456%	1.50
6,047	182%	5,041	152%	16,565	498%	1.49
345	193%	144	%08	745	416%	1.52
1,492	187%	1,019	127%	3,711	464%	1.48
8,835	180%	7,983	162%	25,448	517%	1.51
782	194%	321	%62	1,671	414%	1.51
2,902	186%	1,928	124%	7,167	460%	1.48
14,065	179%	12,724	162%	40,649	516%	1.52
5,605	195%	2,659	% 56	11,370	420%	1.44
AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE

PERCENT INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE FOR A 3 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A DUAL TIRE SINGLE AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD AND PRESSURE INDIVIDUALLY AND COMBINED. E(AC)=150,000 PSI

LOAD FROM		TIRE PRESSURE				
4250 LBS		FROM 100 PSI				
5	PERCENT	70	PERCENT	COMBINED	PERCENT	SYNERGISTIC
3250 LBS	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE	EFFECT	INCREASE	FACTOR
791	223%	75	21%	97.1	274%	1.12
13,154	223%	1,352	23%	16,385	277%	1.13
256,005	222%	26,990	23%	321,156	279%	1.13
475	223%	48	23%	588	276%	1.12
4,119	221%	470	25%	5,224	281%	1.14
52,068	222%	6,498	28%	67,193	286%	1.15
951	223%	88	21%	1,164	273%	1.12
5,760	223%	618	24%	7,202	279%	1.13
51,667	222%	6,181	27%	65,962	283%	1.14
3,158	225%	262	19%	3,778	%69Z	1.10
13,867	224%	1,312	21%	16,839	272%	1.11
86,781	226%	9,514	25%	107,819	281%	1.12
7,521	226%	292	17%	8,867	%997	1.10
28,376	225%	2,421	19%	34,007	269%	1.10
135,536	208%	14,096	22%	178,529	273%	1.19
44,015	222%	4,699	22%	55,712	276%	1.13
AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE

INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE FOR AN 1-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROADE SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD AND PRESSURE INDIVIDUALLY AND COMBINED. E(AC) = 150,000 PSI TABLE J.4

LOAD FROM	PERCENT	TIRE PRESSURE	PERCENT		PERCENT	
4250 LBS TO	INCREASE	INCREASE FROM 100 PSI TO INCREASE	INCREASE	COMBINED	INCREASE	SYNERGISTIC
3250 LBS		40 PSI		EFFECT		FACTOR
9	150%	မ	150%	19	475%	1.58
112	175%	128	200%	362	%995	1.51
2,248	174%	2,767	214%	7,544	583%	1.50
7	140%	10	200%	28	%099	1.65
65	163%	118	295%	279	%869	1.52
774	155%	1,745	350%	3,804	762%	1.51
21	162%	28	215%	77	592%	1.57
120	160%	216	288%	520	693%	1.55
982	152%	2,334	362%	5,044	783%	1.52
92	174%	66	187%	298	262%	1.56
370	162%	572	250%	1,482	647%	1.57
2,067	153%	4,475	332%	10,157	753%	1.55
239	174%	225	164%	721	256%	1.55
853	166%	1,147	223%	3,152	612%	1.58
3,933	155%	7,617	301%	18,151	717%	1.57
793	161%	1,432	249%	3,443	635%	1.55
AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE

INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE FOR AN 1-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROADE SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD AND PRESSURE INDIVIDUALLY AND COMBINED. E(AC) = 1,000,000 PSI TABLE J.5

I DAD EBOM	DEDCENIT	TIDE DDECCI IDE	DEBAERIT		THUNCHAIT	
4250 LBS TO	INCREASE		INCREASE	COMBINED	INCREASE	CYNERGIATIC
3250 LBS		40 PSI		EFFECT		FACTOR
86	221%	11	28%	111	285%	1.14
1,617	221%	211	29%	2,100	287%	1.15
33,113	215%	4,656	30%	44,532	289%	1.18
44	200%	18	82%	96	436%	1.55
393	188%	247	118%	962	460%	1.50
5,067	184%	4,173	151%	13,627	494%	1.47
66	248%	40	100%	174	435%	1.25
456	184%	360	145%	1,212	489%	1.49
4,013	178%	4,032	178%	12,155	538%	1.51
226	190%	134	113%	533	448%	1.48
952	182%	790	151%	2,618	200%	1.50
5,543	174%	6,160	194%	17,827	260%	1.52
527	189%	309	111%	1,236	443%	1.48
1,885	181%	1,548	149%	5,176	497%	1.51
8,811	173%	9,908	195%	28,738	564%	1.54
4,189	195%	2,173	118%	8,740	448%	1.42
AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE

INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE FOR AN 2-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD AND PRESSURE INDIVIDUALLY AND COMBINED. E(AC) = 150,000 PSI TABLE J.6

LOAD FROM	PERCENT	PERCENT TIRE PRESSURE PERCENT	PERCENT		PERCENT	
4250 LBS TO	INCREASE	INCREASE FROM 100 PSI TO INCREASE	INCREASE	COMBINED	INCREASE	NCREASE SYNERGISTIC
3250 LBS		40 PSI		EFFECT		FACTOR
105	223%	13	28%	135	287%	1.14
1,912	222%	250	29%	2,481	287%	1.15
39,395	221%	5,339	30%	51,536	288%	1.15
92	197%	19	%89	127	385%	1.51
576	191%	258	%98	1,314	437%	1.58
7,277	188%	4,597	119%	18,064	466%	1.52
121	195%	47	%92	261	421%	1.55
719	188%	449	117%	1,757	459%	1.50
6,209	182%	5,255	154%	17,107	501%	1.49
368	193%	158	%£8	803	420%	1.53
1,566	186%	1,095	130%	3,931	468%	1.48
9,129	180%	8,387	165%	26,485	521%	1.51
833	193%	350	81%	1,801	417%	1.52
3,071	186%	2,089	127%	7,665	465%	1.49
14,202	174%	13,501	165%	42,589	520%	1.54
5,703	195%	2,787	%96	11,737	423%	1.44
AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE

INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTINGFAILURE FOR AN 2-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROADE SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD AND PRESSURE INDIVIDUALLY AND COMBINED. E(AC) = 1,000,000 PSI TABLE J.7

LOAD FROM						
	PERCENT	TIRE PRESSURE	PERCENT		PERCENT	
4250 LBS TO	INCREASE	NCREASE FROM 100 PSI TO INCREASE	INCREASE	COMBINED	INCREASE	SYNERGISTIC
3250 LBS		40 PSI		EFFECT		FACTOR
2,633	224%	230	20%	3,177	271%	1.11
40,416	224%	3,872	21%	49,648	275%	1.12
748,591	223%	76,212	23%	929,358	277%	1.13
808	223%	74	20%	1,022	282%	1.16
6,964	223%	730	23%	8,668	278%	1.13
86,633	223%	10,170	76%	109,991	283%	1.14
1,020	225%	88	20%	1,228	271%	1.11
6,541	224%	645	22%	8,041	275%	1.12
61,189	223%	6,834	72%	76,736	280%	1.13
2,085	226%	162	18%	2,455	266%	1.09
10,063	221%	913	20%	12,323	270%	1.12
60,399	201%	8,266	28%	87,154	291%	1.27
3,871	223%	274	16%	4,558	263%	1.10
14,921	205%	1,583	22%	20,275	278%	1.23
75,484	201%	14,160	38%	122,035	324%	1.36
74,775	219%	8,281	23%	95,778	279%	1.15
AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE

INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE FOR AN 3-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD AND PRESSURE INDIVIDUALLY AND COMBINED. E(AC) = 150,000 PSI

PERCEN	PERCENT TIRE PRESSURE PERCENT	PERCENT		PERCENT	
EAS	INCREASE FROM 100 PSI TO INCREASE	INCREASE	COMBINED	INCREASE	SYNERGISTIC
	40 PSI		EFFECT		FACTOR
223%	84	22%	1,063	275%	1.12
222%	1,479	23%	17,616	277%	1.13
222%	29,666	24%	341,609	280%	1.14
223%	52	23%	637	277%	1.13
222%	506	26%	5,568	282%	1.14
221%	6,837	28%	69,916	286%	1.15
224%	98	21%	1,253	274%	1.12
222%	674	24%	7,727	279%	1.13
222%	6,569	27%	69,502	284%	1.14
225%	274	19%	3,968	269%	1.10
224%	1,436	22%	18,214	274%	1.12
223%	9,913	24%	114,782	278%	1.13
226%	580	17%	9,054	266%	1.09
166%	-367	-5%	46,445	281%	1.72
207%	15,393	22%	191,933	274%	1.20
218%	4,880	21%	59,952	277%	1.17
AVERAGE	E AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE

INCREASE IN LOADS TO RUTTING FAILURE FOR AN 3-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD AND PRESSURE INDIVIDUALLY AND COMBINED. E(AC) = 1,000,000 PSI TABLE J.9

LOAD FROM	PERCENT	PERCENT TIRE PRESSURE PERCENT	PERCENT		PERCENT	
4250 LBS TO	INCREASE	INCREASE FROM 100 PSI TO INCREASE	INCREASE	COMBINED	INCREASE	SYNERGISTIC
3250 LBS		40 PSI		EFFECT		FACTOR
40,784	227%	2,270	13%	46,370	259%	1.08
631,143	226%	38,910	14%	726,299	260%	1.08
11,724,719	226%	767,128	15%	13,618,572	262%	1.09
9,741	226%	650	15%	11,304	262%	1.09
76,613	224%	6,015	18%	91,247	267%	1.10
851,663	224%	75,348	20%	1,033,382	271%	1.11
10,558	226%	731	16%	12,283	263%	1.09
64,224	265%	8,034	33%	76,243	315%	1.06
493,542	223%	46,203	21%	604,025	273%	1.12
18,084	225%	1,223	15%	21,078	263%	1.09
81,376	224%	6,574	18%	97,315	268%	1.11
475,965	223%	45,249	21%	583,710	274%	1.12
30,822	226%	2,009	15%	35,683	262%	1.09
122,409	225%	999'6	18%	145,695	267%	1.10
604,167	224%	56,605	21%	738,664	273%	1.12
1,015,721	228%	71,108	18%	1,189,458	269%	1.10
AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE

Appendix K: Effects of Lower Tire Load on Fatigue Failure of Asphalt Concrete Roads [E(ac)= 1,000,000 psi]

YABLE K.1 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE OF 1-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC)=1,000,000 PSI

PERCENT INCREASE		82%	95%	%06	95%	%62	%99	87%	75%	63%	88%	80%	71%	94%	%06	84%	83%
4250 LBS TO	3250 LBS	1,198	1,619	1,961	7,624	10,904	14,296	24,647	34,026	45,240	139,863	177,702	230,480	577,293	680,557	830,308	185,181
PERCENT INCREASE		36%	35%	34%	34%	33%	32%	35%	34%	33%	36%	36%	35%	37%	37%	37%	35%
4250 LBS TO	3750 LBS	452	614	744	2,838	4,579	6,889	9,928	15,463	24,081	57,582	79,317	114,527	229,377	282,954	369,854	79,947
PSI S)	3,250	2,463	3,374	4,129	15,911	24,760	35,802	52,916	79,264	117,136	298,289	399,403	554,547	1,191,362	1,439,774	1,824,468	AVERAGE =
TIRE PRESSURE = 40 PSI TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	3,750	1,717	2,369	2,912	11,125	18,435	28,395	38,197	60,701	95,977	216,008	301,018	438,594	843,446	1,042,171	1,364,014	
TIRE PRES TIRE LO	4,250	1,265	1,755	2,168	8,287	13,856	21,506	28,269	45,238	71,896	158,426	221,701	324,067	614,069	759,217	994,160	
	E(SG) PSI	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	2,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	
	E(BASE) PSI	1,000	1,000	1,000	2,000	2,000	5,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	

TABLE K.2 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE OF 2-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 1,000,000 PSI

		TIRE PE	TIRE PRESSURE = 40 PS) PSI	4250 LBS	PERCENT	4250 LBS	PERCENT
E/RACE) DOL E/CC) DO	E/C(2) DC	I DEC V	INE LOAD (POUNDS)	_	01	INCREASE	10	INCREASE
L(DAGE) FOI	E(30) r3	4,430	3,730	3,250	3/50 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	10,072	17,626	20,721	7,554	75%	10,649	106%
1,000	2,000	13,190	22,867	26,879	9,677	73%	13,689	104%
1,000	10,000	15,954	27,476	32,284	11,522	72%	16,330	102%
5,000	2,500	23,350	38,842	47,602	15,492	%99	24,252	104%
2,000	2,000	36,695	60,169	73,683	23,474	64%	36,988	101%
5,000	10,000	55,995	90,533	110,730	34,538	62%	54,735	%86
10,000	2,500	42,563	67,924	86,635	25,361	%09	44,072	104%
10,000	5,000	66,865	105,270	134,034	38,405	21%	67,169	100%
10,000	10,000	106,881	165,774	210,996	58,893	25%	104,115	%26
20,000	2,500	106,475	160,482	214,488	54,007	51%	108,013	101%
20,000	2,000	157,749	235,387	313,502	77,638	49%	155,753	%66
20,000	10,000	246,836	363,150	482,952	116,314	47%	236,116	%96
30,000	2,500	220,913	320,636	445,062	99,723	45%	224,149	101%
30,000	2,000	310,217	446,371	617,059	136,154	44%	306,842	%66
30,000	10,000	463,847	660,987	909,774	197,140	43%	445,927	%96
				AVERAGE =	60,393	28%	123,253	101%

INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE OF 3-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 1,000,000 PSI TABLE K.3

PERCENT		112%	111%	110%	111%	109%	107%	108%	106%	104%	106%	104%	102%	142%	103%	100%	100%
4250 LBS TO	3250 LBS	63,406	75,644	84,921	110,900	147,920	190,785	169,662	227,325	303,015	333,285	434,427	574,925	789.091	735,591	948,045	345,929
PERCENT INCREASE		41%	41%	40%	40%	40%	39%	40%	40%	39%	39%	38%	38%	39%	38%	37%	39%
4250 LBS TO	3750 LBS	23,294	27,892	31,224	40,606	54,127	609'69	63,295	84,966	113,736	123,208	160,597	213,199	215,711	272,273	352,243	123.065
) PSI)S)	3,250	119,929	143,906	162,221	211,243	284,023	369,227	326,235	441,813	595,563	648,031	852,527	1,140,932	1,344,509	1,451,912	1,891,799	AVERAGE =
TIRE PRESSURE = 40 PSI TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	3,750	79,817	96,154	108,524	140,949	190,230	248,051	219,868	299,454	406,284	437,954	578,697	779,206	771,129	988,594	1,295,997	
TIRE PRES TIRE LO	4,250	56,523	68,262	77,300	100,343	136,103	178,442	156,573	214,488	292,548	314,746	418,100	566,007	555,418	716,321	943,754	
	E(SG) PSI	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	
	E(BASE) PSI	1,000	1,000	1,000	2,000	5,000	5,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	

TABLE K.4 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE OF 1-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC)=1,000,000 PSI

PERCENT INCREASE		62%	58%	25%	44%	37%	31%	32%	26%	19%	16%	11%	%9	2%	%0	-4%	26%
4250 LBS TO	3250 LBS	433	524	586	1,558	1,905	2,179	3,478	3,862	3,991	8,313	7,312	4,831	4,348	-981	-10,919	2,095
PERCENT INCREASE		24%	23%	22%	18%	15%	12%	13%	10%	8%	%9	4%	2%	%0	-1%	-3%	10%
4250 LBS TO	3750 LBS	171	207	232	635	775	881	1,442	1,583	1,601	3,363	2,806	1,390	613	-2,199	-7,649	390
0 PSI DS)	3,250	1,137	1,435	1,658	5,125	7,097	9,250	14,289	18,969	24,760	60,945	73,683	26,06	186,610	209,522	241,759	AVERAGE =
TIRE PRESSURE = 70 PSI TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	3,750	875	1,118	1,304	4,202	5,967	7,952	12,253	16,690	22,370	55,995	69,177	87,256	182,875	208,304	245,029	ΑV
TIRE PR TIRE L	4,250	704	911	1,072	3,567	5,192	7,071	10,811	15,107	20,769	52,632	66,371	85,866	182,262	210,503	252,678	
	E(SG) PSI	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	
	E(BASE) PSI	1,000	1,000	1,000	2,000	5,000	5,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	

TABLE K.5 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE OF 2-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 1,000,000 PSI

		TIRE PR	TIRE PRESSURE = 70 PSI	70 PSI	4250 LBS	PERCENT	4250 LBS	PERCENT
		TIREL	IRE LOAD (POUNDS)	(SQ)	2	INCREASE	5	INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI E(S	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
000	2,500	7,612	13,378	14,857	5,766	%92	7,245	%56
	5,000	9,663	16,845	18,611	7,182	74%	8,948	83%
,000	10,000	11,736	19,792	21,785	8,056	%69	10,049	86%
5,000	2,500	16,808	26,879	30,357	10,071	%09	13,549	81%
5,000	5,000	24,851	39,006	43,494	14,155	21%	18,643	75%
5,000	000'01	35,462	54,702	60,217	19,240	54%	24,755	%02
10,000	2,500	28,723	44,001	50,548	15,278	53%	21,825	%9/
10,000	5,000	42,107	63,350	71,715	21,243	20%	29,608	%0 2
10,000	10,000	61,982	91,440	101,761	29,458	48%	39,779	64%
20,000	2,500	65,290	93,544	109,044	28,254	43%	43,754	%29
20,000	5,000	90,288	127,426	146,023	37,138	41%	55,735	62%
20,000	10,000	129,233	178,839	201,187	49,606	38%	71,954	26%
30,000	2,500	125,653	170,920	202,118	45,267	36%	76,465	61%
30,000	5,000	165,234	221,964	258,058	56,730	34%	92,824	26%
30,000	000'0	226,776	299,454	341,031	72,678	32%	114,255	20%
			A/	AVERAGE =	28,008	51%	41,959	71%

TABLE K.6 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE OF 3-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 1,000,000 PSI

		TIRE PR	TRE PRESSURE = 70 PS	70 PSI	4250 LBS	PERCENT	4250 LBS	PERCENT
		ואבר	IRE LOAD (POUNDS)	VDS)	0	INCREASE	<u>٥</u>	INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	44,810	64,970	93,374	20,160	45%	48,564	108%
1,000	5,000	53,530	77,034	110,200	23,504	44%	56,670	106%
1,000	10,000	60,121	86,096	122,686	25,975	43%	62,565	104%
2,000	2,500	78,037	108,836	154,418	30,799	39%	76,381	%86
5,000	5,000	103,985	142,417	200,032	38,432	37%	96,047	95%
5,000	10,000	134,307	180,238	251,123	45,931	34%	116,816	87%
10,000	2,500	120,165	161,522	226,776	41,357	34%	106,611	%68
10,000	5,000	159,621	211,986	294,446	52,365	33%	134,825	84%
10,000	10,000	210,012	276,170	379,651	66,158	32%	169,639	81%
20,000	2,500	225,965	297,901	411,547	71,936	32%	185,582	82%
20,000	5,000	290,293	379,121	517,932	88,828	31%	227,639	78%
20,000	10,000	377,010	487,337	658,804	110,327	29%	281,794	75%
30,000	2,500		490,288	666,485	114,328	30%	290,525	77%
30,000	5,000	468,007	604,237	814,074	136,230	29%	346,067	74%
30,000	10,000	591,760	756,602	1,007,307	164,842	28%	415,547	%02
			A	AVERAGE =	68,745	35%	174,351	87%

TABLE K.7 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE OF 1-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC)≈1,000,000 PSI

0 LBS PERCENT TO INCREASE	3250 LBS	85 69%	341 66%		918 51%		1,283 41%	,947 40%		2,433 30%	4,745 24%			6,629 11%		_	250/
PERCENT 4250 LBS INCREASE TO	3250	27% 28			21% 91	_	17% 1,2	16% 1,9		12% 2,4	10% 4,7		6% 4,6	4% 6,6	3% 5.862	-	14% 2 800
4250 LBS P	3750 LBS	114	136	151	375	456	527	798	905	066	1,954	1,924	1,796	2,502	2,087	1,425	1 078
100 PSI NDS)	3,250	702	860	975	2,717	3,566	4,439	6,834	8,607	10,659	24,730	28,649	33,644	65,612	71,474	79,540	AVERAGE =
RE PRESSURE = 100 PSI TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	3,750	529	655	749	2,174	2,912	3,683	5,685	7,299	9,216	21,939	25,799	30,814	61,485	65,699	76,374	
	4,250	415	519	598	1,799	2,456	3,156	4,887	6,394	8,226	19,985	23,875	29,018	58,983	65,612	74,949	
	E(SG) PSI	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	
	E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI	1,000	1,000	1,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	

TABLE K.8 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE OF 2-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 1,000,000 PSI

		TIRE PRE TIRE L	RESSURE = 100 PSI TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	100 PSI (DS)	4250 LBS TO	PERCENT INCREASE	4250 LBS TO	PERCENT INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	. "
1,000	2,500	5,672	9,779	10,878	4,107	72%	5,206	95%
1,000	5,000	7,085	12,073	13,371	4,988	%02	6,286	%68
1,000	10,000	8,258	13,984	15,429	5,726	%69	7,171	87%
5,000	2,500	11,444	18,684	21,158	7,240	63%	9,714	85%
2,000	5,000	16,247	26,121	29,279	9,874	61%	13,032	%08
5,000	10,000	22,291	35,342	39,142	13,051	28%	16,851	%92
10,000	2,500	18,895	29,563	33,893	10,668	26%	14,998	%62
10,000	5,000	26,530	40,922	46,281	14,392	54%	19,751	74%
10,000	10,000	37,256	56,612	63,043	19,356	52%	25,787	%69
20,000	2,500	40,147	59,312	69,004	19,165	48%	28,857	72%
20,000	5,000	53,242	77,768	89,075	24,526	46%	35,833	%29
20,000	10,000	72,445	104,378	117,596	31,933	44%	45,151	62%
30,000	2,500	73,371	102,913	120,998	29,542	40%	47,627	65%
30,000	5,000	92,780	128,973	149,119	36,193	39%	56,339	61%
30,000	10,000	121,237	166,678	189,156	45,441	37%	67,919	26%
				AVERAGE =	18,413	54%	26,701	74%

INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE OF 3-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 1,000,000 PSI TABLE K.9

		TIRE PRE	E PRESSURE = 100 PSI TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	00 PSI	4250 LBS TO	PERCENT	4250 LBS TO	PERCENT
E(BASE) PSI	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	36,295	49,940	71,654	13,645	38%	35,359	%26
1,000	5,000	42,563	58,471	83,465	15,908	37%	40,902	%96
1,000	10,000	47,284	64,758	92,190	17,474	37%	44,906	95%
5,000	2,500	59,359	80,939	115,773	21,580	36%	56,414	%56
5,000	5,000	76,506	103,594	146,943	27,088	35%	70,437	95%
5,000	10,000	95,537	128,455	180,842	32,918	34%	85,305	86%
10,000	2,500	86,557	117,022	165,954	30,465	35%	79,397	95%
10,000	5,000	111,802	149,907	210,750	38,105	34%	98,948	%68
10,000	10,000	143,159	190,445	265,244	47,286	33%	122,085	85%
20,000	2,500	155,573	207,337	289,546	51,764	33%	133,973	%98
20,000	5,000	194,606	257,417	356,225	62,811	32%	161,619	83%
20,000	10,000	245,629	322,345	441,813	76,716	31%	196,184	80%
30,000	2,500	249,581	328,422	452,322	78,841	32%	202,741	81%
30,000	5,000	302,987	395,460	540,012	92,473	31%	237,025	78%
30,000	10,000	372,832	482,227	652,313	109,395	78%	279,481	75%
			,	AVERAGE =	47,765	34%	122,985	88%

Appendix L: Effects of Lower Tire Pressure and Tire Load on Fatigue Failure of Asphalt Concrete Roads [E(ac)= 1,000,000 psi]

INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE FOR AN 1-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD AND PRESSURE INDIVIDUALLY AND COMBINED. E(AC) = 1,000,000 PSI TABLE L.1

	JIC T								Γ			Γ	•		Γ	-		Γ	111
	SYNERGISTIC	FACTOR	1.80	1.81	1.81	1.91	1.78	1.66	1.90	1.77	1.65	1.94	1.85	1.75	2.02	1.96	1.89	1.83	AVERAGE
PERCENT	INCREASE		493%	220%	280%	784%	%806	1034%	983%	1140%	1324%	1393%	1573%	1811%	1920%	2094%	2334%	1262%	AVERAGE
	COMBINED	EFFECT	2,048	2,855	3,531	14,112	22,304	32,646	48,029	72,870	108,910	278,304	375,528	525,529	1,132,379	1,374,162	1,749,519	382,848	AVERAGE
PERCENT	INCREASE		205%	238%	263%	361%	464%	581%	478%	%809	774%	%69	829%	1017%	941%	1057%	1226%	649%	AVERAGE
TIRE PRESSURE	FROM 100 PSI TO INCREASE	40 PSI	850	1,236	1,570	6,488	11,400	18,350	23,382	38,844	63,670	138,441	197,826	295,049	555,086	693,605	919,211	197,667	AVERAGE
PERCENT	INCREASE		%69	%99	63%	21%	45%	41%	40%	35%	30%	24%	20%	16%	11%	%6	%9	35%	AVERAGE
LOAD FROM	4250 LBS TO	3250 LBS	287	341	377	918	1,110	1,283	1,947	2,213	2,433	4,745	4,774	4,626	6,629	5,862	4,591	2,809	AVERAGE

INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE FOR AN 2-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD AND PRESSURE INDIVIDUALLY AND COMBINED. E(AC) = 1,000,000 PSI TABLE L.2

I OAD FROM	PERCENT	PERCENT TIRE PRESSURE	DERCENT		DEBCENT	
4250 LBS TO	INCREASE	INCREASE FROM 100 PSI TO INCREASE	INCREASE	COMBINED	INCREASE	SYNERGISTIC
3250 LBS		40 PSI		EFFECT		FACTOR
5,206	95%	4,400	%87	15,049	265%	1.57
6,286	86%	6,105	%98	19,794	279%	1.60
7,171	87%	7,696	83%	24,026	291%	1.62
9,714	85%	11,906	104%	36,158	316%	1.67
13,032	%08	20,448	126%	57,436	354%	1.72
16,851	%92	33,704	151%	88,439	397%	1.75
14,998	%62	23,668	125%	67,740	329%	1.75
19,751	74%	40,335	152%	107,504	405%	1.79
25,787	%69	69,625	187%	173,740	466%	1.82
28,857	72%	66,328	165%	174,341	434%	1.83
35,833	%19	104,507	196%	260,260	489%	1.85
45,151	62%	174,391	241%	410,507	267%	1.87
47,627	%59	147,542	201%	371,691	207%	1.90
56,339	61%	217,437	234%	524,279	265%	1.91
67,919	26%	342,610	283%	788,537	%059	1.92
26,701	74%	84,713	161%	207,967	423%	1.77
AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE

INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE FOR AN 3-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD AND PRESSURE INDIVIDUALLY AND COMBINED. E(AC) = 1,000,000 PSITABLE L.3

LOAD FROM	PERCENT	PERCENT TIRE PRESSURE PERCENT	PERCENT		PERCENT	
4250 LBS TO	INCREASE	INCREASE FROM 100 PSI TO INCREASE	INCREASE	COMBINED	INCREASE	SYNERGISTIC
3250 LBS		40 PSI		EFFECT		FACTOR
35,359	%26	20,228	%95	83,634	230%	1.50
40,902	%96	25,699	%09	101,343	238%	1.52
44,906	82%	30,016	63%	114,937	243%	1.53
56,414	%56	40,984	%69	151,884	256%	1.56
70,437	95%	59,597	78%	207,517	271%	1.60
85,305	86%	82,905	87%	273,690	286%	1.63
79,397	85%	70,016	81%	239,678	277%	1.60
98,948	%68	102,686	95%	330,011	295%	1.64
122,085	85%	149,389	104%	452,404	316%	1.67
133,973	%98	159,173	102%	492,458	317%	1.68
161,619	83%	223,494	115%	657,921	338%	1.71
196,184	80%	320,378	130%	895,303	364%	1.73
202,741	81%	305,837	123%	1,094,928	439%	2.15
237,025	78%	413,334	136%	1,148,925	379%	1.77
279,481	75%	570,922	153%	1,518,967	407%	1.79
122,985	%88	171,644	%26	517,573	311%	1.67
AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE

Appendix M: Effects of Lower Tire Load on Fatigue Failure of Asphalt Concrete Roads [E(ac)= 1,000,000 psi]

INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE OF 1 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A DUAL TIRE SINGLE AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING THE PER TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 150,000 PSI TABLE M.1

		TIRE	TIRE PRESSURE = 40 PSI	0 PSI	4250 LBS	PERCENT	4250 LBS	PERCENT
			IIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	(20	0	INCREASE	2	INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	443	586	692	143	32%	249	%95
1,000	5,000	577	759	856	182	32%	279	48%
1,000	10,000	929	888	972	212	31%	296	44%
5,000	2,500	16,864	23,213	30,845	6,349	38%	13,981	83%
5,000	5,000	21,326	29,438	38,061	8,112	38%	16,735	78%
5,000	10,000	25,496	35,305	44,752	9,809	38%	19,256	%92
10,000	2,500	271,785	389,313	621,880	117,528	43%	350,095	129%
10,000	5,000	231,494	333,500	535,049	102,006	44%	303,555	131%
10,000	10,000	210,678	305,300	491,354	94,622	45%	280,676	133%
20,000	2,500	5,608,047,422 18,94	18,942,617,591	1,802,191,509	13,334,570,169	238%	-3,805,855,913	%89-
20,000	5,000	31,897,922	54,773,697	98,836,992	22,875,775	72%	66,939,070	210%
20,000	10,000	6,392,644	10,364,252	19,260,328	3,971,608	62%	12,867,684	201%
30,000	2,500		NO TENSION	NO TENSION	NO TENSION	NO TENSION	NO TENSION	NO TENSION
30,000	2,000	NO TENSION	NO TENSION	NO TENSION	NO TENSION	NO TENSION	NO TENSION	NO TENSION
30,000	10,000	1,034,832,653	2,837,815,543	37,815,543 2,700,170,360	1,802,982,890	174%	1,665,337,707	161%
				AVERAGE =	1,166,518,416	%89	-158,440,487	%66

NO TENSION: NO HORIZONTAL TENSILE STRAIN CALCULATED FOR THIS LOADING CONDITION.

TABLE M.2 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE OF 2 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A DUAL TIRE SINGLE AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING THE PER TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 150,000 PSI

PERCENT	INCREASE		%96	%4%	95%	95%	85%	19%	87%	78%	%69	78%	%4%	%02	67%	%02	71%	76%
\vdash	N N		o"	0)	o	3	σο	_			9			7			7	
4250 LBS	2	3250 LBS	890	1,177	1,404	6.930	9,613	4,419	27,896	35,484	43,434	230,282	250,915	274,780	1,463,632	1,319,120	1,236,364	327.089
PERCENT	INCREASE		36%	35%	34%	35%	33%	-10%	35%	34%	33%	34%	34%	34%	33%	34%	35%	31%
4250 LBS	10	3750 LBS	330	435	522	2,554	3,754	-2,454	11,081	15,343	20,769	101,734	115,893	135,622	726,510	644,125	602,023	158,549
PSI	(6	3,250	1,818	2,432	2,922	14,259	20,861	28,070	59,835	80,800	106,064	526,016	589,674	669,164	3,638,624	3,205,962	2,971,580	AVERAGE =
TIRE PRESSURE = 40 PSI	LOAD (POUNDS)	3,750	1,258	1,690	2,040	9,883	15,002	21,197	43,020	60,659	83,399	397,468	454,652	530,006	2,901,502	2,530,967	2,337,239	
EP						ı									Ī			
TIR	TIRE LO	4,250	928	1,255	1,518	7,329	11,248	23,651	31,939	45,316	62,630	295,734	338,759	394,384	2,174,992	1,886,842	1,735,216	
TIR	TIRE	E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI 4,250	2,500 928	5,000 1,255	10,000	2,500 7,329	5,000 11,248	10,000 23,651		5,000 45,316	10,000 62,630		5,000 338,759	10,000 394,384	2,500 2,174,992	5,000 1,886,842	10,000 1,735,216	

TABLE M.3 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE OF 3 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A DUAL TIRE SINGLE AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING THE PER TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 150,000 PSI

		TIRE P	TIRE PRESSURE = 40 PS	PSI	4250 LBS	PERCENT	4250 LBS	PERCENT
		TIRE LO	LOAD (POUNDS)	(S)	10	INCREASE	5	INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	2,427	3,358	4,948	931	38%	2,521	104%
1,000	5,000	3,057	4,214	6,179	1,157	38%	3,122	102%
1,000	10,000	3,535	4,859	7,107	1,324	37%	3,572	101%
5,000	2,500	12,485	17,067	24,873	4,582	37%	12,388	%66
5,000	5,000	17,169	23,315	33,753	6,146	36%	16,584	%26
5,000	10,000	22,287	30,083	43,325	7,796	35%	21,038	94%
10,000	2,500	41,338	56,115	81,320	14,777	36%	39,982	%26
10,000	2,000	53,868	72,664	104,722	18,796	35%	50,854	94%
10,000	10,000	68,819	92,340	132,418	23,521	34%	63,599	95%
20,000	2,500	237,200	318,607	449,358	81,407	34%	212,158	%68
20,000	5,000	272,205	364,271	506,170	92,066	34%	233,965	%98
20,000	10,000	315,019	420,332	573,829	105,313	33%	258,810	82%
30,000	2,500	966,850	1,283,313	1,682,180	316,463	33%	715,330	74%
30,000	5,000	979,023	1,299,319	1,695,809	320,296	33%	716,786	73%
30,000	10,000	1,013,252	1,343,856	1,742,293	330,604	33%	729,041	72%
				AVERAGE =	88,345	35%	205,317	%06

TABLE M.4 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE OF 1 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A DUAL TIRE SINGLE AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING THE PER TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 150,000 PSI

PERCENT INCREASE		22%	4%	17%	-10%	-13%	-16%	-35%	-33%	-31%	-78%	%99-	-21%	NO TENSION	-92%	%9 2-	-33%
4250 LBS TO	3250 LBS	28	9	28	-400	-610	-808	-18,369	-15,960	-14,801	-4,029,148	-1,376,623	-705,300	NO TENSION	-115,652,203	-14,281,239	-9,721,100
PERCENT INCREASE		% 6	2%	2%	%9-	%8-	%6-	-20%	-18%	-18%	%9 9-	-43%	-35%	NO TENSION	-76%	-53%	-23%
4250 LBS TO	3750 LBS	11	11	11	-238	-356	-469	-10,419	-8,957	-8,266	-2,884,771	-896,774	-434,015	NO TENSION	-95,959,649	-9,962,865	-7,869,053
70 PSI IDS)	3,250	154	155	193	3,484	3,942	4,326	34,768	33,030	32,263	1,158,500	724,249	534,542	17,221,462	10,196,706	4,498,637	AVERAGE =
FIRE PRESSURE = 70 PSI TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	3,750	137	160	176	3,646	4,196	4,665	42,718	40,033	38,798	2,302,877	1,204,098	805,827	528,706,548 47,221,462	29,889,260 10,196,706	8,817,011	A\
TIRE PR TIRE L	4,250	126	149	165	3,884	4,552	5,134	53,137	48,990	47,064	5,187,648	2,100,872	1,239,842	NO TENSION	125,848,909	18,779,876	
	E(SG) PSI	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	
	E(BASE) PSI (E(SG) PSI	1,000	1,000	1,000	2,000	5,000	5,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	

NO TENSION: NO HORIZONTAL TENSILE STRAIN CALCULATED FOR THIS LOADING CONDITION.

TABLE M.5 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE OF 2 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A DUAL TIRE SINGLE AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING THE PER TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 150,000 PSI

PERCENT		64%	%09	28%	45%	39%	34%	31%	26%	22%	10%	8%	%9	-10%	%8-	%2-	25%
4250 LBS TO	3250 LBS	345	412	459	1,454	1,722	1,946	3,786	4,043	4,192	8,463	7,517	6,215	-45,925	-33,395	-26,693	-4,364
PERCENT		24%	24%	23%	18%	16%	14%	13%	11%	%6	4%	3%	2%	% 9-	-5%	**	10%
4250 LBS TO	3750 LBS	128	166	185	595	402	791	1,575	1,655	1,694	3,114	2,622	1,877	-27,880	-20,545	-17,010	-3,355
) PSI	3,250	884	1,098	1,256	4,718	6,194	7,679	15,900	19,586	23,582	94,477	101,520	110,034	403,029	377,807	363,964	AVERAGE =
FIRE PRESSURE = 70 PSI TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	3,750	299	852	982	3,859	5,181	6,524	13,689	17,198	21,084	89,128	96,625	105,696	421,074	390,657	373,647	AV
TIRE PR TIRE L	4,250	539	989	797	3,264	4,472	5,733	12,114	15,543	19,390	86,014	94,003	103,819	448,954	411,202	390,657	
	E(SG) PSI	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	
	E(BASE) PSI	1,000	1,000	1,000	5,000	2,000	5,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	

TABLE M.6 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE OF 3 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A DUAL TIRE SINGLE AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING THE PER TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 150,000 PSI

PERCENT		82%	%62	78%	%29	62%	%65	25%	52%	48%	39%	37%	35%	25%	72%	24%	51%
4250 LBS TO	3250 LBS	1,456	1,719	1,911	5,022	6,052	7,042	11,871	13,587	15,274	37,515	39,315	41,068	78,518	78,602	79,106	27,871
PERCENT		32%	31%	30%	27%	72%	24%	22%	21%	%02	16%	15%	14%	10%	10%	10%	20%
4250 LBS	3750 LBS	568	029	749	2,028	2,406	2,841	4,797	5,503	6,201	15,394	16,077	16,843	32,030	32,046	32,352	11,367
PSI S)	3,250	3,232	3,892	4,374	12,523	15,797	19,012	33,273	39,895	46,957	134,577	146,556	160,069	396,094	398,503	405,848	AVERAGE =
TIRE PRESSURE = 70 PSI	3,750	2,344	2,843	3,212	9,529	12,151	14,811	26,199	31,811	37,884	112,456	123,318	135,844	349,606	351,947	359,094	AVE
TIRE PRE		1,776	2,173	2,463	7,501	9,745	11,970	21,402	26,308	31,683	97,062	107,241	119,001	317,576	319,901	326,742	
	E(SG) PSI	2,500	2,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	
	E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI	1,000	1,000	1,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	

INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE OF 1 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A DUAL TIRE SINGLE AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING THE PER TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 150,000 PSI TABLE M.7

PERCENT INCREASE		33%	31%	30%	2%	-1%	-3%	-20%	-19%	-19%	-53%	-46%	40%	-80%	%99-	-55%	-20%
4250 LBS TO	3250 LBS	17	18	19	17	-7	-33	-1,964	-1,781	-1,699	-135,622	-78,619	-53,722	-4,715,611	-1,265,511	-545,783	-453,352
PERCENT INCREASE		13%	14%	13%	%0	-1%	-2%	-12%	-11%	-10%	-33%	-27%	-23%	%69-	43%	-34%	-14%
4250 LBS TO	3750 LBS		æ	80	۴-	-16	-31	-1,124	-997	-950	-83,637	-46,544	-31,047	-3,460,576	-829,471	-333,375	-319,183
00 PSI IDS)	3,250	69	77	83	1,078	1,180	1,264	669'2	7,462	7,367	120,225	94,055	79,604	1,152,959	650,491	443,348	AVERAGE =
PRESSURE = 100 PSI E LOAD (POUNDS)	3,750	69	29	72	1,058	1,171	1,266	8,539	8,246	8,116	172,210	126,130	102,279	0 2,407,994	1,086,531	655,756	A/
TIRE PRE TIRE L	4,250	52	59	64	1,061	1,187	1,297	9,663	9,243	9,066	255,847	172,674	133,326	5,868,570	1,916,002	989,131	
	E(SG) PSI	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	
	E(BASE) PSI	1,000	1,000	1,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	

NOTE: E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS E(SG) = SUBGRADE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE M.8 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE OF 2 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A DUAL TIRE SINGLE AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING THE PER TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 150,000 PSI

PERCENT	INCREASE		%02	%29	65%	52%	47%	43%	39%	35%	31%	19%	18%	16%	2%	4%	4%	34%
4250 LBS	<u>و</u>	3250 LBS	227	266	294	857	1,007	1,134	2,092	2,289	2,430	5,823	5,778	5,671	2,726	4,246	5,033	2.658
PERCENT	INCREASE		28%	27%	26%	21%	19%	17%	16%	14%	13%	8%	2%	%9	%0	1%	1%	14%
4250 LBS	10	3750 LBS	06	106	117	346	412	461	857	935	286	2,356	2,313	2,235	-149	694	1,197	864
00 PSI	DS)	3,250	551	999	749	2,519	3,161	3,778	7,521	8,894	10,313	36,350	38,435	40,873	125,745	120,225	117,092	AVERAGE =
TIRE PRESSURE = 100 PSI	LOAD (POUNDS)	3,750	414	206	572	2,008	2,566	3,105	6,286	7,540	8,870	32,883	34,970	37,437	122,870	116,673	113,256	AV
TIRE PRE	ш	4,250	324	400	455	1,662	2,154	2,644	5,429	6,605	7,883	30,527	32,657	35,202	123,019	115,979	112,059	
		E(SG) PSI	2,500	2,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	
		E(BASE) PSI	1,000	1,000	1,000	5,000	2,000	5,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	

NOTE: E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS E(SG) = SUBGRADE ELASTIC MODULUS

INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE OF 3 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A DUAL TIRE SINGLE AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING THE PER TIRE LOAD. E(AC) = 150,000 PSI TABLE M.9

_					_	-		_					_				_
PERCENT INCREASE		87%	84%	83%	72%	%69	%99	63%	29%	26%	47%	45%	44%	38%	35%	35%	29%
4250 LBS TO	3250 LBS	1,062	1,234	1,357	3,322	3,957	4,556	7,501	8,411	9,371	21,997	23,050	24,190	50,143	46,941	47,279	16,958
PERCENT INCREASE		33%	33%	32%	29%	27%	27%	25%	24%	23%	19%	18%	18%	14%	14%	14%	23%
4250 LBS TO	3750 LBS	409	477	529	1,312	1,568	1,827	2,984	3,365	3,755	8,901	9,326	9,783	18,918	18,976	19,102	6,749
00 PSI DS)	3,250	2,285	2,700	2,997	7,925	9,718	11,449	19,471	22,670	26,040	68,784	73,764	79,350	183,552	181,183	183,804	AVERAGE =
TIRE PRESSURE = 100 PSI TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	3,750	1,632	1,943	2,169	5,915	7,329	8,720	14,954	17,624	20,424	55,688	60,040	64,943	152,327	153,218	155,627	AV
TIRE PRE TIRE L(4,250	1,223	1,466	1,640	4,603	5,761	6,893	11,970	14,259	16,669	46,787	50,714	55,160	133,409	134,242	136,525	
	E(SG) PSI	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	2,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	2,000	10,000	
	E(BASE) PSI	1,000	1,000	1,000	5,000	2,000	5,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	

NOTE: E(BASE) = AGGREGATE ELASTIC MODULUS E(SG) = SUBGRADE ELASTIC MODULUS

TABLE M.10 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE OF 1-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC)=150,000 PSI

		TIRE P	TIRE PRESSURE = 40 PS	IO PSI	4250 LBS	PERCENT	4250 LBS	PERCENT
F(BASE) PSI IF(SG) PS	F(SG) PSI	4 250	3 750 FOUNDS	3.250	10 3750 I BS	INCREASE	10 3250 I BS	INCKEASE
1 000	2 500	445	589	895	144	320%	250 550	7007
1,000	5.000	579	762	861	183	32%	282	%0% Y 0%
1,000	10,000	677	891	926	214	32%	299	44%
5,000	2,500	16,488	22,718	30,393	6,230	38%	13,905	84%
2,000	5,000	20,964	28,967	37,690	8,003	38%	16,726	%08
5,000	10,000	25,200	34,939	44,551	9,739	36%	19,351	77%
10,000	2,500	243,263	349,591	562,826	106,328	44%	319,563	131%
10,000	5,000	216,804	313,233	539,107	96,429	44%	322,303	149%
10,000	10,000	202,170	293,391	476,206	91,221	45%	274,036	136%
20,000	2,500	44,270,499	890,113,914	3,418,795,691	845,843,415	1911%	3,374,525,192	7623%
20,000	5,000	20,920,903	35,492,306	75,240,464	14,571,403	%02	54,319,561	260%
20,000	10,000	5,461,407	8,797,080	16,642,507	3,335,673	61%	11,181,100	205%
30,000	2,500	17,476,152	24,251,648	36,951,133	6,775,496	39%	19,474,981	111%
30,000	2,000	51,667,710	70,446,256	106,777,575	18,778,546	36%	55,109,865	107%
30,000	10,000	340,416,315	439,024,091	4,827,693,385	98,607,776	29%	4,487,277,070	1318%
				AVERAGE =	65,882,053	166%	533,523,632	695%

INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE OF 2-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC)=150,000 TABLE M.11

		TIRE PI	TIRE PRESSURE = 40 PSI) PSI	4250 LBS	PERCENT	4250 LBS	PERCENT
		TIRE LO	LOAD (POUNDS))S)	10	INCREASE	10	INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	946	1,279	1,849	333	35%	903	%56
1,000	5,000	1,272	1,709	2,459	437	34%	1,187	83%
1,000	10,000	1,635	2,058	2,950	423	26%	1,315	80%
5,000	2,500	7,521	10,109	14,578	2,588	34%	7,057	94%
5,000	5,000	11,381	15,196	21,222	3,815	34%	9,841	86%
5,000	10,000	16,109	21,379	28,321	5,270	33%	12,212	%9/
10,000	2,500	32,577	43,846	60,987	11,269	35%	28,410	87%
10,000	5,000	45,601	61,047	81,364	15,446	34%	35,763	78%
10,000	10,000	62,753	83,624	106,434	20,871	33%	43,681	%02
20,000	2,500	287,907	387,309	515,243	99,402	35%	227,336	%62
20,000	5,000	331,046	444,941	579,424	113,895	34%	248,378	75%
20,000	10,000	387,976	520,593	661,746	132,617	34%	273,770	71%
30,000	2,500	1,892,101	2,534,820	3,237,596	642,719	34%	1,345,495	71%
30,000	5,000	1,737,571	2,337,239	2,995,429	599,668	35%	1,257,858	72%
30,000	10,000	1,655,347	2,232,834	2,865,007	577,487	35%	1,209,660	73%
				AVERAGE =	148,416	34%	313,524	80%

TABLE M.12 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE OF 3-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC)= 150,000 PSI.

		TIRE PRES TIRE LOA	FIRE PRESSURE = 40 PSI TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	o PSI SS)	4250 LBS TO	PERCENT INCREASE	4250 LBS TO	PERCENT INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	2,552	3,535	5,205	983	39%	2,653	104%
1,000	5,000	3,093	4,279	6,271	1,186	38%	3,178	103%
1,000	10,000	3,506	4,837	7,089	1,331	38%	3,583	102%
2,000	2,500	13,354	18,229	26,479	4,875	37%	13,125	%86
5,000	5,000	17,767	24,109	34,840	6,342	36%	17,073	%96
5,000	10,000	22,711	30,661	44,099	7,950	35%	21,388	94%
10,000	2,500	44,237	59,835	86,578	15,598	35%	42,341	%96
10,000	5,000	55,847	75,160	108,246	19,313	35%	52,399	94%
10,000	10,000	70,238	94,055	134,829	23,817	34%	64,591	95%
20,000	2,500	246,017	329,963	464,220	83,946	34%	218,203	%68
20,000	5,000	277,097	370,801	514,760	93,704	34%	237,663	86%
20,000	10,000	317,834	423,683	578,861	105,849	33%	261,027	82%
30,000	2,500	947,354	1,258,234	1,653,136	310,880	33%	705,782	75%
30,000	5,000	962,473	1,278,561	1,673,173	316,088	33%	710,700	74%
30,000	10,000	1,001,671	1,328,793	1,725,837	327,122	33%	724,166	72%
				AVERAGE =	87,932	35%	205,191	%06

TABLE M.13 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE OF 1-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC)=150,000 PSI

		TIRE P	RESSURE = 70 PSI	70 PSI	4250 LBS	PERCENT	4250 LBS	PERCENT
		TIRE	IRE LOAD (POUNDS)	(SQN)	0	INCREASE	5	INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PS	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	127	138	155	11	%6	28	22%
1,000	5,000	150	162	179	12	8%	29	19%
1,000	10,000	167	177	195	10	%9	28	17%
5,000	2,500	3,883	3,661	3,505	-222	%9-	-378	-10%
5,000	5,000	4,562	4,223	3,976	-339	%2-	-586	-13%
5,000	10,000	5,169	4,718	4,374	-451	%6-	-795	-15%
10,000	2,500	51,113	41,626	34,198	-9,487	-19%	-16,915	-33%
10,000	5,000	48,320	39,825	33,042	-8,495	-18%	-15,278	-32%
10,000	10,000	47,062	39,046	32,576	-8,016	-17%	-14,486	-31%
20,000	2,500	6,907,400	2,802,452	1,330,400	-4,104,948	-59%	-5,577,000	-81%
20,000	5,000	2,393,522	1,493,799	778,707	-899,723	-38%	-1,614,815	%29-
20,000	10,000	1,273,780	841,405	556,904	-432,375	-34%	-716,876	-56%
30,000	2,500	16,376,178	25,306,655 39,358,291	39,358,291	8,930,477	25%	22,982,113	140%
30,000	5,000	49,788,019		39,579,572 12,134,950	-10,208,447	-21%	-37,653,069	%9/-
30,000	10,000	22,046,920	9,871,655	4,876,403	-12,175,265	-55%	-17,170,517	-78%
			٩	AVERAGE =	-1,261,151	-14%	-2,653,234	-20%

TABLE M.14 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE OF 2-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC)=150,000

		TIRE PR	E PRESSURE = 70 PSI	70 PSI	4250 LBS	PERCENT	4250 LBS	PERCENT
		TIRE	IRE LOAD (POUNDS)	(SQ)	10	INCREASE	10	INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	547	685	895	138	25%	348	64%
1,000	5,000	693	857	1,106	164	24%	413	%09
1,000	10,000	803	987	1,501	184	23%	869	87%
5,000	2,500	3,338	3,942	4,815	604	18%	1,477	44%
5,000	5,000	4,532	5,245	6,271	713	16%	1,739	38%
5,000	10,000	5,788	6,605	7,760	817	14%	1,972	34%
10,000	2,500	12,372	13,948	16,162	1,576	13%	3,790	31%
10,000	5,000	15,694	17,399	19,777	1,705	11%	4,083	26%
10,000	10,000	19,518	21,250	23,773	1,732	%6	4,255	22%
20,000	2,500	85,085	88,494	93,950	3,409	4%	8,865	10%
20,000	5,000	93,375	96,244	101,288	2,869	3%	7,913	%8
20,000	10,000	103,580	105,696	110,163	2,116	2%	6,583	%9
30,000	2,500	417,382	396,437	383,340	-20,945	-5%	-34,042	%8-
30,000	5,000	395,409	378,453	368,608	-16,956	-4%	-26,801	-1%
30,000	10,000	383,669	368,920	360,606	-14,749	-4%	-23,063	%9-
			A\	AVERAGE =	-2,442	10%	-2,785	27%

TABLE M.15 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE OF 3-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC)= 150,000 PSI.

PERCENT INCREASE		81%	%62	78%	%99	62%	29%	54%	51%	48%	38%	37%	35%	25%	25%	25%	51%
4250 LBS TO	3250 LBS	1,509	1,745	1,905	5,225	6,220	7,139	12,260	13,794	15,368	38,223	39,759	41,437	79,020	79,374	79,730	28,181
PERCENT INCREASE		32%	31%	30%	26%	25%	23%	23%	21%	19%	16%	15%	14%	10%	10%	10%	20%
4250 LBS TO	3750 LBS	589	680	743	2,070	2,490	2,851	5,103	5,622	6,273	15,712	16,329	17,032	32,128	32,252	32,479	11,490
'0 PSI DS)	3,250	3,365	3,942	4,355	13,150	16,215	19,290	34,840	40,855	47,579	138,076	148,639	161,445	393,024	396,437	405,141	AVERAGE =
TIRE PRESSURE = 70 PSI TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	3,750	2,445	2,877	3,193	9,995	12,485	15,002	27,683	32,683	38,484	115,565	125,209	137,040	346,132	349,315	357,890	AV
TIRE PRI TIRE L	4,250	1,856	2,197	2,450	7,925	9,995	12,151	22,580	27,061	32,211	99,853	108,880	120,008	314,004	317,063	325,411	
	E	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	
	E(BASE) PSI	1,000	1,000	1,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	

INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE OF 1-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC)=150,000 PSI TABLE M.16

	TIRE PR TIRE L	RE PRESSURE = 100 PSI TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	100 PSI NDS)	4250 LBS TO	PERCENT INCREASE	4250 LBS TO	PERCENT INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
2,500	52	59	69	7	13%	17	33%
5,000	9	67	78	7	12%	18	30%
10,000	65	72	83	7	11%	18	28%
2,500	1,067	1,063	1,081	4-	%0	14	1%
2,000	1,199	1,180	1,187	-19	-5%	-12	-1%
10,000	1,311	1,279	1,274	-32	-2%	-37	-3%
2,500	9,555	8,447	7,619	-1,108	-12%	-1,936	-20%
5,000	9,268	8,246	7,462	-1,022	-11%	-1,806	-19%
10,000	9,166	8,180	7,424	-986	-11%	-1,742	-19%
2,500	286,543	188,146	128,703	-98,397	-34%	-157,840	-55%
2,000	183,419	143,498	97,773	-39,921	-22%	-85,646	-47%
10,000	137,983	106,615	81,404	-31,368	-23%	-56,579	-41%
2,500	8,289,603 3,024,252	3,024,252	1,347,178	-5,265,351	-64%	-6,942,425	-84%
5,000	2,193,962	1,201,130	700,635	-992,832	-45%	-1,493,327	%89-
10,000	1,056,372	689,925	460,844	-366,447	-35%	-595,528	%9 5-
			AVERAGE =	-453,164	-15%	-622,454	-21%

TABLE M.17 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE OF 2-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC)=150,000

		TIRE PRE TIRE L	REPRESSURE = 100 PSI TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	100 PSI NDS)	4250 LBS TO	PERCENT	4250 LBS TO	PERCENT INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI	E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
1,000	2,500	328	419	558	91	28%	230	70%
1,000	5,000	403	510	671	107	27%	268	%29
1,000	10,000	458	976	838	118	26%	380	83%
5,000	2,500	1,692	2,040	2,547	348	21%	855	51%
5,000	5,000	2,181	2,585	3,180	404	19%	666	46%
2,000	10,000	2,670	3,124	3,794	454	17%	1,124	42%
10,000	2,500	5,519	6,380	7,619	861	16%	2,100	38%
10,000	5,000	6,672	7,619	8,967	947	14%	2,295	34%
10,000	10,000	7,946	8,943	10,402	266	13%	2,456	31%
20,000	2,500	30,406	32,763	36,214	2,357	8%	5,808	19%
20,000	5,000	32,617	34,926	38,370	2,309	7%	5,753	18%
20,000	10,000	35,275	37,500	40,926	2,225	%9	5,651	16%
30,000	2,500	117,583	118,148	121,391	565	%0	3,808	3%
30,000	5,000	113,458	114,471	118,148	1,013	1%	4,690	4%
30,000	10,000	11,269	112,522	116,395	101,253	8668	105,126	933%
				AVERAGE =	7,603	73%	9,436	%16

TABLE M.18 INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE OF 3-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD, BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD. E(AC)= 150,000 PSI.

	TIRE PRE	PRESSURE = 100 PSI	100 PSI	4250 LBS	PERCENT	4250 LBS	PERCENT
	TIREL	TIRE LOAD (POUNDS)	VDS)	10	INCREASE	<u>م</u>	INCREASE
E(BASE) PSI E(SG) PSI	4,250	3,750	3,250	3750 LBS		3250 LBS	
00	1,272	1,698	2,370	426	33%	1,098	%98
5,000	1,482	1,967	2,731	485	33%	1,249	84%
000'0	1,635	2,162	2,991	527	32%	1,356	83%
2,500	4,826	6,179	8,268	1,353	28%	3,442	71%
5,000	5,901	7,482	9,936	1,581	27%	4,035	%89
10,000	6,981	8,797	11,551	1,816	26%	4,570	65 %
2,500	12,523	15,593	20,168	3,070	25%	7,645	61%
5,000	14,578	18,002	23,111	3,424	23%	8,533	29%
000,01	16,881	20,670	26,308	3,789	22%	9,427	26%
2,500	47,927	56,763	70,166	8,836	18%	22,239	46%
5,000	51,402	009'09	74,535	9,198	18%	23,133	45%
000'0	55,635	65,236	79,816	9,601	17%	24,181	43%
2,500	132,500	151,345	178,853	18,845	14%	46,353	35%
5,000	133,492	152,524	180,074	19,032	14%	46,582	35%
0,000	136,269	155,425	183,301	19,156	14%	47,032	35%
			AVERAGE =	6,743	23%	16,725	58%

Appendix N: Effects of Lower Tire Pressure and Tire Load on Fatigue Failure of Asphalt Concrete Roads [E(ac)= 1,000,000 psi

PERCENT INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE FOR A 1 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A DUAL TIRE SINGLE AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD AND PRESSURE INDIVIDUALLY AND COMBINED. E(AC)=150,000 PSI TABLE N.1

LOAD FROM		TIRE PRESSURE				
4250 LBS		FROM 100 PSI				
5	PERCENT	2	PERCENT	COMBINED	PERCENT	SYNERGISTIC
3250 LBS	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE	EFFECT	INCREASE	FACTOR
17	33%	391	752%	640	1231%	1.57
18	31%	518	878%	797	1351%	1.49
19	30%	612	326 %	806	1419%	1.44
17	2%	15,803	1489%	29,784	2807%	1.88
-7	-1%	20,139	1697%	36,874	3106%	1.83
-33	-3%	24,199	1866%	43,455	3350%	1.80
-1,964	-20%	262,122	2713%	612,217	6336%	2.35
-1,781	-19%	222,251	2405%	525,806	2689%	2.38
-1,699	-19%	201,612	2224%	482,288	5320%	2.41
-135,622	-53%	5,607,791,575	2191854%	1,801,935,662	704302%	0.32
-78,619	-46%	31,725,248	18373%	98,664,318	57139%	3.12
-53,722	-40%	6,259,318	4695%	19,127,002	14346%	3.08
-4,715,611	%08-	1,033,843,522	104520%	2,699,181,229	272884%	2.62
-1,265,511	%99 -	NO TENSION	NO TENSION	NO TENSION	NO TENSION	NO TENSION
-545,783	-55%	1,033,843,522	104520%	2,699,181,229	272884%	2.61
-453,352	-20%	551,015,059	174210%	522,844,444	96583%	2.07
AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE

PERCENT INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE FOR A 2 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A DUAL TIRE SINGLE AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD AND PRESSURE INDIVIDUALLY AND COMBINED. E(AC)=150,000 PSI **TABLE N.2**

LOAD FROM		TIRE PRESSURE				
4250 LBS		FROM 100 PSI				
10	PERCENT	<u>5</u>	PERCENT	COMBINED	PERCENT	SYNERGISTIC
3250 LBS	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE	EFFECT	INCREASE	FACTOR
227	%02	604	186%	1,494	461%	1.80
266	%29	855	214%	2,032	208%	1.81
294	65%	1,063	234%	2,467	542%	1.82
857	52%	2,667	341%	12,597	758%	1.93
1,007	47%	9,094	422%	18,707	868%	1.85
1,134	43%	21,007	795%	25,426	962%	1.15
2,092	39%	26,510	488%	54,406	1002%	1.90
2,289	35%	38,711	286%	74,195	1123%	1.81
2,430	31%	54,747	694%	98,181	1245%	1.72
5,823	19%	265,207	%698	495,489	1623%	1.83
5,778	18%	306,102	937%	557,017	1706%	1.79
5,671	16%	359,182	1020%	633,962	1801%	1.74
2,726	2%	2,051,973	1668%	3,515,605	2858%	1.71
4,246	4%	1,770,863	1527%	3,089,983	2664%	1.74
5,033	4%	1,623,157	1448%	2,859,521	2552%	1.76
2,658	34%	435,649	762%	762,739	1378%	1.76
AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE

PERCENT INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE FOR A 3 INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A DUAL TIRE SINGLE AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD AND PRESSURE INDIVIDUALLY AND COMBINED. E(AC)=150,000 PSI TABLE N.3

LOAD FROM		TIRE PRESSURE				
4250 LBS		FROM 100 PSI				
ОТ	PERCENT	2	PERCENT	COMBINED	PERCENT	SYNERGISTIC
3250 LBS	INCREASE	40 PSI	INCREASE	EFFECT	INCREASE	FACTOR
1,062	%28	1,204	%86	3,725	305%	1.64
1,234	84%	1,591	109%	4,713	321%	1.67
1,357	83%	1,895	116%	5,467	333%	1.68
3,322	72%	7,882	171%	20,270	440%	1.81
3,957	%69	11,408	198%	27,992	486%	1.82
4,556	%99	15,394	223%	36,432	258%	1.83
7,501	93%	29,368	245%	09:320	%629	1.88
8,411	29%	39,609	278%	90,463	634%	1.88
9,371	26%	52,150	313%	115,749	694%	1.88
21,997	47%	190,413	% 20 *	402,571	%098	1.90
23,050	45%	221,491	437%	455,456	888%	1.86
24,190	44%	259,859	471%	518,669	940%	1.83
50,143	38%	833,441	625%	1,548,771	1161%	1.75
46,941	32%	844,781	%629	1,561,567	1163%	1.75
47,279	35%	876,727	642%	1,605,768	1176%	1.74
16,958	%69	225,814	331%	431,131	701%	1.79
AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE

INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE FOR AN 1-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD AND PRESSURE INDIVIDUALLY AND COMBINED. E(AC) = 150,000 PSI TABLE N.4

10 INCREASE FROM 100 PSI TO 40 PSI T	INCREASE FROM 100 PSI TO 40 PSI 33% 393 30% 519 28% 612 1% 15,421 -1% 19,765 -20% 233,708 -19% 207,536	10CREASE 756% 865% 942% 1445% 1648% 1822%	COMBINED EFFECT 643 801 911 29,326 36,491 43,240 553.271	1237% 1335% 1402% 2748% 3043%	SYNE
	INCREASE FROM 100 PSI TO 40 PSI 33% 393 393 219 28% 612 11% 15,421 -1% 19,765 -3% 23,889 -20% 233,708 -19% 207,536	1NCREASE 756% 865% 942% 1445% 1648% 1822% 2446%	COMBINED EFFECT 643 801 911 29,326 36,491 43,240 553.271		
40 PSI 33% 393 30% 519 28% 612 1% 15,421 -1% 19,765 -3% 23,889 -20% 233,708 -19% 207,536 -19% 207,536 -41% 20,737,484 -41% 5,323,424 -84% 9,186,549 -68% 49,473,748		756% 865% 942% 1445% 1648% 1822% 2446%	EFFECT 643 801 911 29,326 36,491 43,240 553.271		
33% 393 30% 519 28% 612 1% 15,421 -1% 19,765 -23,889 -20% 233,708 -19% 207,536 -19% 193,004 -55% 43,983,956 -47% 20,737,484 -41% 5,323,424 -84% 9,186,549 -68% 49,473,748		756% 865% 942% 1445% 1648% 1822% 2446%	643 801 911 29,326 36,491 43,240 553.271	1237% 1335% 1402% 2748% 3043%	1.57 1.49 1.90
30% 519 28% 612 1% 15,421 -1% 19,765 -3% 23,889 -20% 233,708 -19% 207,536 -19% 207,536 -41% 20,737,484 -41% 5,323,424 -84% 9,186,549 -68% 49,473,748		865% 942% 1445% 1648% 1822% 2446%	801 911 29,326 36,491 43,240 553.271	1335% 1402% 2748% 3043%	1.90
28% 612 1% 15,421 -1% 19,765 -3% 23,889 -20% 233,708 -19% 207,536 -19% 193,004 -55% 43,983,956 -47% 20,737,484 -41% 5,323,424 -84% 9,186,549 -68% 49,473,748		942% 1445% 1648% 1822% 2446%	911 29,326 36,491 43,240 553.271	1402% 2748% 3043%	1.90
1% 15,421 -1% 19,765 -3% 23,889 -20% 233,708 -19% 207,536 -19% 193,004 -55% 43,983,956 -47% 20,737,484 -41% 5,323,424 -84% 9,186,549 -68% 49,473,748		1445% 1648% 1822% 2446%	29,326 36,491 43,240 553,271	2748% 3043%	1.90
-1% 19,765 -3% 23,889 -20% 233,708 -19% 207,536 -19% 193,004 -55% 43,983,956 -47% 20,737,484 -41% 5,323,424 -84% 9,186,549 -68% 49,473,748		1648% 1822% 2446%	36,491 43,240 553.271	3043%	
-3% 23,889 -20% 233,708 -19% 207,536 -19% 193,004 -55% 43,983,956 -47% 20,737,484 -41% 5,323,424 -84% 9,186,549 -68% 49,473,748		1822%	43,240	20000	1.85
-20% 233,708 -19% 207,536 -19% 193,004 -55% 43,983,956 -47% 20,737,484 -41% 5,323,424 -84% 9,186,549 -68% 49,473,748		2446%	553.271	0/0676	1.81
-19% 207,536 -19% 193,004 -55% 43,983,956 -47% 20,737,484 -41% 5,323,424 -84% 9,186,549 -68% 49,473,748				2190%	2.39
-19% 193,004 -55% 43,983,956 -47% 20,737,484 -41% 5,323,424 -84% 9,186,549 -68% 49,473,748	_	2239%	529,839	5717%	2.58
-55% 43,983,956 -47% 20,737,484 -41% 5,323,424 -84% 9,186,549 -68% 49,473,748		2106%	467,040	2095%	2.44
-47% 20,737,484 -41% 5,323,424 -84% 9,186,549 -68% 49,473,748	_	15350%	3,418,509,148	1193018%	78.00
-41% 5,323,424 -84% 9,186,549 -68% 49,473,748		11306%	75,057,045	40921%	3.63
-84% 9,186,549 -68% 49,473,748		3858%	16,504,524	11961%	3.13
-68% 49,473,748		111%	28,661,530	346%	12.77
		2255%	104,583,613	4767%	2.18
-595,528 -56% 339,359,943 321259		32125%	4,826,637,013	456907%	14.25
-622,454 -21% 31,250,663 52859		5285%	564,774,296	115839%	8.76
AVERAGE AVERAGE AVERAGE AVERA		AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE

TABLE N.5
INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE FOR AN 2-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT
TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD AND PRESSURE INDIVIDUALLY AND COMBINED.
E(AC) = 150,000 PSI

LOAD FROM	PERCENT	TIRE PRESSURE PERCENT	PERCENT		PERCENT	
4250 LBS TO	INCREASE	INCREASE FROM 100 PSI TO INCREASE	INCREASE	COMBINED	INCREASE	SYNERGISTIC
3250 LBS		40 PSI		EFFECT		FACTOR
230	%02	618	188%	1,521	464%	1.79
268	%19	869	216%	2,056	510%	1.81
380	83%	1,177	257%	2,492	544%	1.60
855	51%	5,829	345%	12,886	762%	1.93
666	46%	9,200	422%	19,041	873%	1.87
1,124	42%	13,439	203%	25,651	961%	1.76
2,100	38%	27,058	490%	55,468	1005%	1.90
2,295	34%	38,929	583%	74,692	1119%	1.81
2,456	31%	54,807	%069	98,488	1239%	1.72
5,808	19%	257,501	847%	484,837	1595%	1.84
5,753	18%	298,429	915%	546,807	1676%	1.80
5,651	16%	352,701	1000%	626,471	1776%	1.75
3,808	3%	1,774,518	1509%	3,120,013	2653%	1.75
4,690	4%	1,624,113	1431%	2,881,971	2540%	1.77
105,126	933%	1,644,078	14589%	2,853,738	25324%	1.63
9,436	%/6	406,884	1599%	720,409	2869%	1.78
AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE

INCREASE IN LOADS TO FATIGUE FAILURE FOR AN 3-INCH ASPHALT CONCRETE ROAD SUBJECT TO A TANDEM AXLE LOAD BY REDUCING TIRE LOAD AND PRESSURE INDIVIDUALLY AND COMBINED. E(AC) = 150,000 PSI TABLE N.6

	The second secon	The second secon	And the last of th	The state of the s		
MO	PERCENT	TIRE PRESSURE	PERCENT		PERCENT	
4250 LBS TO	INCREASE	INCREASE FROM 100 PSI TO INCREASE	INCREASE	COMBINED	INCREASE	SYNERGISTIC
3250 LBS		40 PSI		EFFECT		FACTOR
8	%98	1,280	101%	3,933	309%	1.65
o	84%	1,611	109%	4,789	323%	1.67
1,356	83%	1,871	114%	5,454	334%	1.69
7	71%	8,528	177%	21,653	449%	1.81
4,035	%89	11,866	201%	28,939	490%	1.82
70	65%	15,730	225%	37,118	532%	1.83
7,645	61%	31,714	253%	74,055	591%	1.88
33	29%	41,269	283%	93,668	643%	1.88
27	56%	53,357	316%	117,948	%669	1.88
22,239	46%	198,090	413%	416,293	%698	1.89
33	45%	225,695	439%	463,358	901%	1.86
24,181	43%	262,199	471%	523,226	940%	1.83
46,353	32%	814,854	615%	1,520,636	1148%	1.77
82	35%	828,981	621%	1,539,681	1153%	1.76
32	35%	865,402	635%	1,589,568	1166%	1.74
16,725	28%	224,163	332%	429,355	%E0 2	1.80
AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	AVERAGE